Bringing history into accord with the facts in the tradition of Dr. Harry Elmer Barnes

THE BARNES REVIEW

A JOURNAL OF NATIONALIST THOUGHT & HISTORY

VOLUME XIII NUMBER 1 JANUARY/FEBRUARY 2007 www.barnesreview.org



The Amazing Baltic Origins of Homer's Epics

A Christmas Day Civil War Atrocity: The Wilson Massacre

Japan's Emperor Komei Killed by Rothschild Agents

The Nazis & Pearl Harbor: Was the Luftwaffe Involved?

Exposing the Judas Goats: The Enemy Within vs. American Nationalists

Psychopaths in History:

Who are they? Do you have one next door?

ALSO:

- Gen. Leon Degrelle
- September 11 Foul Ups
- Founding Myths
- The Relevance of Christianity
- Much more . . .

Bringing History Into Accord With the Facts in the Tradition of Dr. Harry Elmer Barnes

THE BARNES REVIEW

A JOURNAL OF NATIONALIST THOUGHT & HISTORY

JANUARY/FEBRUARY 2007 ❖ VOLUME XIII ❖ NUMBER 1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

4 BALTIC ORIGIN OF HOMER'S TALES
JOHN TIFFANY
The tall tales of the still universally read storyteller Homer involve ancient Greeks, so we naturally assume (as do almost all scholars) any events related to them must have taken place in the Mediterranean. But we tend to forget the Greeks originally came from somewhere else, somewhere to the north. The author of a new book has amassed amazing evidence that Homer's heroic events transpired in the Baltic and Scandinavian world. . . .

11 A CIVIL WAR ATROCITY
CLINT E. LACY
Southern historians say civilians and soldiers were brutally murdered by Union troops on Christmas Day, 1863, near Doniphan, Missouri. This event is known in the South as the Wilson Massacre. Northern sympathizers say it never happened. Was it truly a Civil War war crime? . . .

19 ROTHSCHILD INTRIGUE IN JAPAN
RYU OHTA
You might expect the royal family of Japan to be the most Japanese of the Japanese. But you'd be wrong. This tribe of changelings got into power when the real royals were quietly rubbed out in the middle of the 19th century, for the benefit of the Rothschild bankers and their minions, according to Japan's No. 1 Revisionist author. . . .

24 CHINESE CANNIBALISM
ALI ALIABADI
China is much in the news these days as it emerges as the world's "other superpower." So it behooves us in the West to learn more about the Chinese and the cultural differences between us and them. One of the things that may come as a shock to Western readers is the longstanding tradition of Chinese cannibalism. What is fact? And what is myth? . . .

25 FDR'S PEARL HARBOR PROPAGANDA
DANIEL W. MICHAELS
It is not generally remembered today, but back in 1941 when the Pearl Harbor "sneak attack" went down, the establishment went out of its way to pin the blame on Germany. German bombers were seen over Pearl. German pilots were spotted in fighter planes. Did Hitler really help orchestrate the "Day of Infamy" attack? . . .

35 TODAY'S PEARL HARBOR BOTCHED?
VICTOR THORN
The evil masterminds who orchestrated the crimes of 9-11 were indeed evil, but they were not as smart as they think, and as we have been led to believe. We are talking, of course, about the establishment elitists who many researchers say pulled off this latter-day Pearl Harbor event, not about that cave dweller in Afghanistan who usually gets the blame. Some conspiriologists claim the crime went off like clockwork, but here is a list of the many slip-ups of these so-called criminal geniuses. The whole thing was, in fact, totally botched up—thank goodness. . . .

40 EXPERIENCES WITH AN ADL AGENT
MICHAEL COLLINS PIPER
A Judas Goat is an animal trained to lead others to the slaughterhouse. A human "Judas Goat" performs the same function in an allegorical way. Typical of this human breed of "Judas Goat" was an ADL agent the author knew personally, and liked. Roy Bullock was charming, skilled and clever, but a man trained to infiltrate and destroy patriotic organizations. Here is the true story of how Judas Goat Roy Bullock was finally exposed. . . .

46 PSYCHOPATHS IN HISTORY
JOHN NUGENT
Most normal people are intrigued—yet naturally repelled—by the images of cold-blooded, conscienceless murderers that increasingly dominate our movies and newspaper headlines. But psychopaths often seem completely normal to their unsuspecting targets. Surprisingly, one in 25 people in America could be defined as "psychopathic." . . .

51 THE RELEVANCE OF CHRISTIANITY
WILLIS A. CARTO
From the standpoint of an unrepentant and outspoken advocate of the white race, most Christian denominations are doing nothing to preserve this endangered species. However, a splinter group called the Identity Christians is seeking to prevent the disappearance of whites from planet Earth. Here is a hard-hitting commentary from TBR's editor and publisher, an avowed Protestant Christian, who has some important things to say about the state of Christianity and the white race today. . . .

55 THE RUSSIAN HELL
GEN. LEON DEGRELLE
Adolf Hitler's Operation Barbarossa saved Europe from a well-planned invasion by Josef Stalin's Soviet army, which was massing on the USSR's western border. But just as the German army was ready to sweep the Soviet hordes back across the Volga—and capture the vital oil fields of Baku, in Azerbaijan—disaster struck. Gen. Leon Degrelle of the Belgian Waffen SS tells how World War II reached its turning point in Europe when the Germans tried—and failed—to put the dagger in the heart of the Russian bear at Stalingrad. . . .

61 REVOLUTIONARY MYTHS
JOHN TIFFANY
Author Ray Raphael has a new book out which all lovers of real history are going to want to read. *Founding Myths: The Stories That Hide Our Patriotic Past* has tackled some of the "creation myths" of the United States in a way that strips the gloss from the schoolboy legends handed down for generations and brings Revolutionary American history into accord with the facts. But far from stealing our pride in our Founders and those who fought to give us freedom from the British, it shows them in a new light, which is no less bright. Truth, you see, is always more inspirational than fiction. See John Tiffany's TBR book review. . . .



Features:

Personal from the Editor: 2.
Editorial—America awakens: 3.
History You May Have Missed: 18.
Japanese Imperial Stability: 20.
Cannibalism in China: 24.
Mother of All Conspiracies: 29.
TBR Conference Videos: 31-34.
Grasping the Nature of Psychopathy: 48.
The Degrelle Series—Chapter 9: 55.
Letters to the Editor: 62-63.

PERSONAL FROM THE EDITOR

Controversy. It's a good thing. There is perhaps nothing as intellectually stimulating as a good debate over a controversial topic. Much of what you read in THE BARNES REVIEW may shock, intrigue or enrage you. Many of our articles in the past year have generated quite a bit of controversy. One such article I can think of was the piece on "Hitler's Nazi Enemies" by Troy Southgate in the March/April issue in which we discussed the Social Nationalist philosophies of the Strasser brothers—Gregor and Otto. And there was also the recent article by Dr. Harrell Rhome on the Judas Gospels found in the September/October issue. On both of these articles we received many letters, both pro and con—some quite laudatory while others were equally vitriolic. To my colleagues here on the staff I said "Job well done. You've done exactly what we want to do: stimulate minds."

We do not expect you to agree 100% with every single article we publish, but we do see it as our job to stimulate your minds. The one thing we *do not* want is for you to finish reading a TBR article and have no opinion at all. If you do not agree with an article or a stance an author takes in TBR, please pick up a pen and write us a letter, or send us an email. We love to hear the opinions of our readers—no matter what they are.

You'll also notice, unlike many timid publications who go out of their way to avoid controversy, we publish your letters whether they agree with our articles or not. And that is one of the greatest beauties of TBR: uncensored free speech at its very best on topics that it is dangerous in many nations across the globe to discuss at all. You know this is true. Just take a look at the letter from imprisoned historian David Irving written to TBR publisher Willis A. Carto, found on page 63 of this issue.

Nowhere—in any other publication in North America or anywhere else—are topics discussed like the ones we discuss in TBR. And the first thing you need to know, if you want to understand and enjoy a publication like TBR, is that there is nothing wrong with controversy and debate. In fact, it is the panacea for a bored mind.

To all new subscribers of TBR who are now reading this because you responded to our recent promotional mailing, we welcome you on board. We know you will find TBR all we said it would be—and maybe even a little more.

Our lead story this issue deals with a fascinating subject: the epics tales of the classical writer Homer and where exactly he got the inspiration for those sagas. Most of us read the *Iliad* and the *Odyssey* during our time in school. It turns out these tales may be much older than Homer and that they were in fact reminiscences of even more ancient cultures from Northern Europe, specifically located on the shores of the Baltic and Arctic seas. The evidence is convincing. See Assistant Editor John Tiffany's article. (On the cover this issue is a portion of an oil painting by John William Waterhouse (ca. 1891) entitled *Circe Offering the Cup to Ulysses* [Odysseus], illustrating a scene from the *Odyssey*.

We've also published an article from Southern partisan Clint Lacy on a little-known Civil War massacre of Confederate troops and their families which took place, horrifyingly enough, on Christmas Day, 1863. You'll also hear from Ryu Ohta, the most prominent Japanese Revisionist in print today. Ohta reveals the truth about the murder of anti-Westernization Emperor Komei by agents of the Rothschilds soon after U.S. Commodore Mathew Perry made his trip to Japan in the late 1800s. Talk of this murder was considered treason in Japan until quite recently, so much of this is "new" info.

We also offer author and talk show host Michael Collins Piper's reminiscences of his encounters with Roy Bullock, a "judas goat" who infiltrated Arab and American patriot groups while working as a top spy for the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith. We follow that up with a piece from veteran Revisionist Dan Michaels on the Pearl Harbor propaganda machine and an article from investigative journalist Victor Thorn on "today's Pearl Harbor," which took place on Sept. 11, 2001. We think you'll also find the article from John Nugent on psychopaths in history a riveting read. It's a topic that is much in the news these days and helps explain why so many mass murderers end up as world leaders. And an issue of TBR would not be complete without the exclusive memoirs of the high-ranking Belgian Waffen SS officer Leon Degrelle.

So as you read this issue, remember, if you want something that isn't controversial and provocative, subscribe to *Better Homes & Gardens* (no offense to the publishers).

—PAUL ANGEL, ASSISTANT MANAGING EDITOR

THE BARNES REVIEW

Editor & Publisher: WILLIS A. CARTO
Assistant Editor: JOHN TIFFANY
Art Director/Assistant Managing Editor: PAUL ANGEL
Advertising Director: SHARON ELLSWORTH
Webmaster: JASON SNOW

Board of Contributing Editors:

RICK ADAMS *Providence, Rhode Island*
MATTHIAS CHANG *Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia*
ROBERT CLARKSON, J.D. *Anderson, South Carolina*
HARRY COOPER *Hernando, Florida*
DALE CROWLEY JR. *Washington, D.C.*
SAM G. DICKSON, J.D. *Atlanta, Georgia*
VERNE E. FUERST, PH.D. *Farmington, Connecticut*
JUERGEN GRAF *Basel, Switzerland*
A.B. KOPANSKI, PH.D. *Klang Lama, Malaysia*
RICHARD LANDWEHR *Brookings, Oregon*
DANIEL W. MICHAELS *Washington, D.C.*
EUSTACE MULLINS *Staunton, Virginia*
MICHAEL COLLINS PIPER *Washington, D.C.*
HARRELL RHOME, PH.D. *Corpus Christi, Texas*
VINCENT J. RYAN *Washington, D.C.*
GERMAR RUDOLF *Gulag Germany*
HANS SCHMIDT *Pensacola, Florida*
EDGAR STEELE *Sandpoint, Idaho*
FREDRICK TÖBEN *Adelaide, Australia*
JAMES P. TUCKER *Washington, D.C.*
UDO WALENDY *Vlotho, Germany*

THE BARNES REVIEW (ISSN 1078-4799) is published bimonthly by TBR Co., 645 Pennsylvania Avenue SE, Suite 100, Washington, D.C. 20003. Periodical rate postage paid at Washington, D.C. For credit card orders including subscriptions, call 1-877-773-9077 toll free to use Visa or MasterCard. Other inquiries cannot be handled through the toll free number. For address changes, subscription questions, status of order and bulk distribution inquiries, please call 951-587-6936. All editorial (only) inquiries please call 202-547-5586.

All rights reserved except that copies or reprints may be made without permission so long as proper credit and contact info are given for TBR and no changes are made.

All manuscripts submitted must be typewritten (doublespaced) or in computer format. No responsibility can be assumed for unreturned manuscripts. Change of address: Send your old, incorrect mailing label and your new, correct address neatly printed or typed 30 days before you move to assure delivery. **Advertising**: MEDIA PLACEMENT, Sharon Ellsworth, 301-729-2700 or fax 301-729-2712. **Website:** barnesreview.org. **Email. Business Office:** tbrca@aol.com **Editor:** barneseditor@yahoo.com. **Send regular mail to:** TBR, P.O. Box 15877, Washington, D.C. 20003.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE BARNES REVIEW, P.O. Box 15877, Washington, D.C. 20003.

TBR SUBSCRIPTION RATES & PRICES

(ALL ISSUES MAILED IN CLOSED ENVELOPE)

U.S.A.
Periodical Rate: **1 year:** $46 **2 years:** $78
First Class: **1 year:** $70 **2 years:** $124

Foreign Countries: ***All payments must be in U.S. dollars.***
Regular Surface: **1 year**, $58 **2 years**, $102

Foreign Airmail:	**1 year**	**2 years**
Canada and Mexico	$72	$130
Western Hemisphere	$80	$144
Europe	$88	$161
Asia and Africa	$95	$176
Pacific Rim	$96	$178

Quantity Prices:	1-3	$10 each
(Current issue U.S.A.):	4-7	$9 each
	8-19	$8 each
	20 and more	$7 each

Bound Volumes: $99 per year for 1996-2005 (Vols. II-XI)
Library Style Binder: $25 each; year & volume indicated.

THE AMERICAN MIND AWAKENS

I am sure you know, unless you have been living in a cave like Osama bin Laden, in the recent election, the Democrats (called by some wags the demoncrats) swept the Republicrats from power in the U.S. House of Representatives and also captured the Senate. America has spoken, issuing a direct warning to King George Bush II to change his bloody neo-con-inspired policies of interventionism. The cheering can be heard not just in America herself, but also around the planet.

These elections have dealt a decisive rebuff to the arrogance that has increasingly been the hallmark of both the Bush administration and the "new," non-conservative Republican leadership.

Is this merely the periodic alternation of the Rocky-Dems and Rocky-pubs that we are seeing—a shell game to make the American people think they have a measure of control over how they are governed?



ROSS PEROT
"Skee-daddled" after a good showing.

We like to think it may be a whole lot more than that. We would like to believe the people are catching on to how they have been lied to about politics and history—about almost everything. Is this the opening of the American mind? Is the public waking up? Are they tired of the lies? Tired of being manipulated by the special interests, the elitists and certain foreign powers whose best interests are not ours?

Are we witnessing the stirring of the American soul? This could be the start of something big—a real modern-day American Revolution. It is about time we had a real one. (The first "revolution" was really more of a war of secession from the British empire.)

We think this may signal the rebirth of a freethinking America.

Are Americans learning at last to disbelieve politicians, the mass media and corporate America—as TBR told its readers way back when? Were we too far ahead of our time?

Disbelief in Iraqi WMDs is more widespread than ever (as it should be). TBR, of course, was about the first print medium to tell you it was a Bushite hoax. Unlike the "streetwalker" mainstream media, we are America *first* (which is also best for the world).

Incidentally, did you notice how Saddam Hussein was sentenced to death just before the election? For allegedly executing one or two hundred conspirators who were trying to overthrow him? If that was a ploy to win the election, it laid an egg. (Donald Rumsfeld, the ousted defense secretary, on the other hand, is wanted in Germany for war crimes against the Iraqi people.)

Is the great American sleeping giant waking up at last to the fact, as TBR has been telling everyone since we got started in 1994, that the public has been lied to about nearly everything by our government and the establishment media? And we mean everything—from the Spanish American War to Pearl Harbor to Vietnam, September 11 etc etc *ad nauseam.*

The GOP may be kaput—and that may not be a bad thing, as rotten as it has become. Hopefully it can reform itself and go back to its real conservative principles. Or maybe it is simply too late for the Tweedledum-Republicans. But populists do not want to be stuck in a Democrat one-party state, either, that is for certain.

One thing we can hope for is the possibility of a new party arising from the ashes of this election. Unfortunately, the Reform Party is pretty much dead, while the Libertarians never really caught fire with the public. Many people think they are kooks. The Constitution Party has much the same problem as the Libertarians, except that most people do not even know who they are. But perhaps a new party that is socially "moderate," fiscally conservative, a backer of personal freedom for citizens and above all free from corruption would draw responsible Democrats and reasonable Republicans away from their old parties to take a firm command of the middle ground.

A "moderate" third party would be better than the radicals we have now. Today is the best time for a third party to arise since any time since Ross Perot and the Reform Party appeared back in 1992. The public is convinced that neither party today is worth much when it comes to ruling with integrity.

Bush would be mad to continue along the warpath his controllers have established for him, but frankly we don't expect him to do anything sensible. The Iraq-Afghanistan agony is not going to end soon. It's nice to hope, but the mess is so bad even the "end the war" talk of the Democrats probably won't amount to much. And if U.S. troops do withdraw, will Iraq partition itself through bloody civil war? (By the way, "sectarian violence" is just a euphemism for "civil war," so the Iraqi civil war has already begun.)

Meanwhile, TBR must keep hammering away at the unpalatable truths, such as the evidence that the Israelis and top elements in the U.S. government were involved in the 9-11 attacks—along with debunking the hundreds of other lies we have been taught to believe about current events and history. If you do not believe there was some funny business on 9-11, then explain why that third World Trade Center tower fell and why the other two massive towers fell at free-fall speed as many top scientists have pointed out.

The truth hurts, somebody said. And it sure can be bitter. But there is nothing bitter about freedom.

Stick with TBR. We have been right so many times in the past we've made the so-called "pundits" look silly. But being right while American men and women die in Iraq and any other place King George II deploys them is no consolation. There is much work to do.

But thank goodness on Election Day some people were listening to voices of reason like THE BARNES REVIEW. ❖

—ASSISTANT EDITOR JOHN TIFFANY

NEW EVIDENCE INDICATES LEGENDARY GREEK TALES TOOK PLACE IN THE BALTIC



Some have said that there were many "Homers" and that the name "Homer" is not a name at all but simply means "blind man" or "hostage." It has been much questioned whether the same poet was responsible for both the *Iliad* and the *Odyssey*, which seem to be written in notably different styles. Certainly both are the result of a tradition of ancient oral sagas. The bust of Homer shown above is in the typical classical Greek style.

WHEN WE READ HOMER'S *ILIAD* AND *ODYSSEY*, (which just about every schoolchild in America has been asked to do for generations) we naturally assume them to be largely tall tales, set in the eastern Mediterranean area. However, while there are elements of the fantastical in these epics, there is also a solid historical core. That may not surprise TBR readers, but what is surprising is that the setting of the events is not in the modern areas of Greece and Turkey at all (forget about Heinrich Schliemann). According to a growing number of thinkers, these events happened even earlier than we might have thought, and far to the north, in the lands we think of as the home of the Vikings. Sound farfetched? Read on . . .

BY JOHN TIFFANY

The idea that the Mykenaens had a northern origin is not really a new one, although it may come as a novelty to most TBR readers. It may also come as a surprise to learn that the "Troy" (actually at least nine Troys at what is today Turkey's Hisarlik) discovered by Heinrich Schliemann may not have been the original Troy of Homer.

Consider the region of Troy. In the *Iliad* it is stated to be located along the Hellespont, which is systematically described as being a "wide" or "boundless" sea. We can therefore exclude the notion that it refers to the Dardanelles, where the city found by Schliemann lies. The identification of this city with Homer's Troy continues to raise doubts among the cognoscenti. One of the first critics was Moses Finley in his famous *The World of Odysseus.*

Amazingly, evidence has now emerged to make a convincing case that Homer's Troy was in what today we call Finland, and the ancient Achaeans, as the forerunners of the Mykenaeans are called, resided, at the time of the legendary Trojan War, in the region of Scandinavia.

Impressive passageway at Tiryns.



Mykenaean shaft graves contained Baltic amber.

Above, Mykenaean shaft graves from the 16th century B.C. Two groups of shaft graves were discovered at Mykenae (southern Greece) in different parts of a large cemetery area. The burials in them seem to have ranged over a period of 150 years, from shortly before about 1600 B.C. to the middle of the 15th century. Each group was eventually surrounded by a circular enclosure wall. The wealthy burials belonged to leading, if not royal, families of the culture that would eventually supplant Knossos as the chief center of the Aegean. A fantastic array of gold and silver cups, jewelry, and dress ornaments had been placed with the dead, especially in one area of graves. Golden diadems and elaborate hairpins decked the heads of women. Beads in necklaces were of amethyst, probably from Egypt, and amber, from the Baltic. **Structures in Tiryns, also in southern Greece (upper left, lower left)** show the unusual "cyclopean" type of architecture, reminiscent of pre-Incan Peru. Cyclopean architecture (so called because of the classical Greeks' belief that only the mythical Cyclopses had the strength to move these enormous boulders) is a type of stonework, built with huge limestone boulders, roughly fitted together with minimal clearance between stones and no mortar. The most notable examples of cyclopean masonry are found in the walls of Mykenae and Tiryns. The style is characteristic of Mykenaean fortifications. Apart from the Tirynthian and Mykenaean walls, other cyclopean structures include some "tholos" (beehive-shaped) tombs in Greece and the fortifications of a number of Mykenaean sites, such as at the famous Gla. **Right, samples of grave goods** from the shaft graves of Mykenae included precious stones (shown) and some fine Baltic amber. Amber, a fossilized tree resin, has been found at Neolithic sites far from its source on the shores of the Baltic Sea. There is strong evidence for the theory that the Baltic area during the advanced civilization of the Bronze Age was in fact the source of most amber in Europe. For example the amber jewelry found in graves from early Mykenaean Greece has been found to originate from the Baltic coast, specifically from the Samland area. Did the Achaeans bring it with them when they migrated from Scandinavia to become the Mykenaeans? Amber was mentioned frequently by ancient writers, including Homer.

Were the Mykenaeans from the Baltic?

Secondary entrance gate at Tiryns.

Swedish historian Martin P. Nilsson was one of the first to come out with this amazing thesis that the Mykenaeans had a Nordic origin. In *Homer and Mycenae* and *The Minoan-Mycenaean Religion and Its Survival in Greek Religion*, Prof. Nilsson reports on archeological evidence uncovered in Mykenaean sites in Greece that supports the theory that the Achaean population came from the north. Examples: the presence of large amounts of Baltic amber in the most ancient Mykenaean tombs in Greece (but not in the later ones), the Nordic features of Mykenaean architecture and the racially Nordic skulls found in the Kalkani necropolis.

Between 13,000 and 8000 B.C. the vast glaciers of the last ice age melted, and the levels of the world oceans rose by 360 feet, submerging vast areas of what we now call the continental shelves of the world. The effect of this glacial melting and sea level rise on archaic European life marked the end of the Paleolithic and the beginning of the Neolithic era. Much of the civilization of that time may have been in areas that are now under the sea.

One needs further to realize that the climate in northern Europe, including Scandinavia and the Baltic area, was significantly milder in the Bronze Age, until the second millennium B.C., than it is today. This period of warmth, from 8000 B.C. up till 500 B.C., is known as the postglacial climatic optimum (we will call it the PGCO), which can in turn be broken down into four phases. Here we are concerned with the warmest of these, which is called the Atlantic phase. This was quite long lasting, from 5500 B.C. until 2000 B.C.—over 3,000 years, which allows plenty of time for civilizations to arise, flourish and fall.

During this time, the winters were mild and humid; the red fir, alder and hazel forests of the cooler phases preceding it in the Baltic area gave way to mighty oaks, which require warmer climate. The climate then began to cool off again, and beech trees and firs began to prevail during what is called the Sub-Boreal phase of the PGCO. Research on pollens proves beyond doubt that these changes in climate and vegetation actually occurred, as Mario Pinna, professor of earth sciences at the University of Turin, explains in his traits on climatology.

Before the Atlantic era came the Recent Pre-Boreal (RPB; 8000-7000 B.C.) and Boreal (7000-5500 B.C.) periods.

Homer's works may well have had their real-life setting in the Sub-Boreal period.

According to scholar Pia Laviosa Zambotti, the Atlantic period, peaking about 2500 B.C., was "the best climatic period Scandinavian countries have ever known, which justifies the high cultural level achieved in Scandinavia around 2500 B.C. . . . This long, favorable climatic period saw the development of northern cultures, including the Maglemose and Erteboelle civilizations, and Bronze Age culture, and the construction of dolmens and 'passage grave' tombs."

Zambotti reports of the Sub-Boreal era: "[T]he temperature dropped. . . . Beech trees spread, and leafy flora migrated from northern Sweden to more southern areas. . . ."

"It may come as a surprise to learn that the 'Troy' (actually at least nine Troys in what is today Turkey) discovered by Heinrich Schliemann may not have been the original Troy of Homer."

The idea that the Atlantic era Baltic area was the homeland of Homer's heroes is most recently set forth by a magisterial new book, *The Baltic Origins of Homer's Epic Tales*, by Felice Vinci.

But is this just another crank theory, some of which may appear convincing at first sight? On the contrary, Vinci—a nuclear engineer with an extensive background in Latin and Greek studies, who has been researching his theory on the northern origin of Greek mythology for many years, has done his homework well. Nearly every page of his 370-page book offers additional evidence for this remarkable theory.

But probably the most convincing single piece of evidence concerns place names, which often serve as "fossils" that tell the

TBR BOOK CLUB

THE BALTIC ORIGINS OF HOMER'S EPIC TALES

The book that started the controversy!



HERE IS COMPELLING EVIDENCE that the events of Homer's *Iliad* and *Odyssey* took place in the Baltic and not the Mediterranean. Reveals how a climate change forced the migration of a people and their myths to ancient Greece and identifies the true geographic sites of Troy and Ithaca in the Baltic Sea and Calypso's Isle in the North Atlantic Ocean—following Homer's own geographic descriptions. For years scholars have debated the incongruities in Homer's famed works, given that his descriptions are at odds with the geography of the areas he purportedly describes. Inspired by Plutarch's remark that Calypso's Isle was only five days sailing from Britain, Felice Vinci convincingly argues that Homer's epic tales originated not in the Mediterranean, but in the northern Baltic Sea. Using meticulous geographical analysis, Felice Vinci shows that many Homeric places, such as Troy and Ithaca, can still be identified in the landscape of the Baltic. Vinci offers a key to open many doors that allows us to consider the age-old question of the Indo-European diaspora and the origin of the Greek civilization from a new perspective. Softcover, 384 pages, #456, ***$22.95*** minus 10% for TBR subscribers. Add $3 per book S&H inside the U.S. Add $6 per book S&H outside the U.S. Send payment to TBR BOOK CLUB, P.O. Box 15877, Washington, D.C. 20003 using the form on page 64 of this issue. Call TBR toll free at 1-877-773-9077 to charge to Visa or MasterCard.

SOLVING THE DULICHIUM MYSTERY

The geography of the Odyssey and the *Iliad*, while it seems to be internally consistent, has always given rise to confusion. The poet names and describes cities, countries and other topographic features with considerable detail. But those names and descriptions make for only a partial match-up with the known places in the Mediterranean world, or fail to match up at all.

These glaring anomalies are not merely the result of chance or sloppiness, considering their consistency throughout the two poems.

As an example, the *Iliad*, 625, section 13 tells us: "And those from Dulichium and the Echinae, the holy isles, that lie across the sea, over against Elis, these again had as leader Meges, the peer of Ares, even the son of Phyleus, whom the horseman Phyleus . . . begat—he that of old had gone to dwell in Dulichium in wrath against his father. And with Meges there followed 40 black ships."

This dolmen on Ristinge Klint, on a tiny, narrow peninsula clearly visible from the sea, is situated on the west of Langeland. Is Langeland the fabled Dulichium?

But where is Dulichium? This has long been a puzzle to scholars who try to find the setting of the *Iliad* in the Mediterranean Sea.

Dulichium, the "Long Island" ("*dolichòs*" means "long" in Greek), which is located by the *Odyssey* in the vicinity of Ithaca, is repeatedly mentioned in the *Iliad* as well, but no such island can be found in the Mediterranean.

According to Dr. Felice Vinci, however, Dulichium is clear on the other side of Europe, in Denmark. If you look to the south of the Kattegat, you will see three or four large islands, and lots of smaller ones. The westernmost of the large islands is called Fyn, and southeast of it is a small island that may or may not be labeled on your map. This elongated island running mostly north to south is known today as Langeland—obviously meaning "long land."

To the west of Langeland (Dulichium) is the island of Tåsinge, which Vinci has identified with Homer's Zacynthus. Southwest of that is Aerø, or Homeric Same (Samos). To the north of the west end of Aerø is Avernako, or what Homer calls Asteris, where Penelope's suitors tried to waylay Telemachus. And just west of Avernako is Lyø, which to Homer is Ithaka.

You may remember how the Phaeacians took Odysseus to Ithaka, located on the far side of an archipelago Homer talks about in detail. A series of precise parallels makes it possible to identify this group of Danish islands, in the south of the Baltic Sea, as corresponding exactly to all Homer's indications.

It is astonishing how Lyø coincides with the indications of the poet, not only insofar as its position is concerned, but also its topographic and morphological characteristics. One can, for example, identify the ancient "Phorcys's Harbor" and the "Crow's Rock" (corresponding to a Neolithic dolmen standing in the west of the island).

investigator what sort of people once lived in an area, because quite often the names they give to local features persist long after the people themselves, and even the memory of them among the modern locals, have vanished from the region. (This is quite obvious from our own country, the United States, which still has thousands of place names based upon old American Indian languages.) Vinci gives example after example of how supposedly Greek place names correspond with place names in the Baltic area.

Often an ethnic group when it migrates from one region to another, will take their place names with them and apply them to what seem appropriate features in their new, adopted homeland.

But could the correspondences cited by Vinci be caused by mere coincidence? The catalog of ship names proves there is more to this situation than coincidence alone.

The reason: if you read the list of ship names as given in Homer's *Iliad* starting with *Iliad* 2.494 to 97 and 507-10 (266 lines of verse, known collectively as The Catalog of Ships), which present us with 29 Achaean fleets that took part in the Trojan War, and match them as best you can with the place names of Greece, you find they skip all over the region. Neither is the sequence hierarchical. For example, the commander-in-chief, Agamemnon, who "was the most eminent; he led a great many troops" (*Iliad* 2.580), is listed ninth.

Yet when you match them to places in the Baltic area, you can go down the list, item by item, and they correspond not to random locations in the Baltic; rather they proceed in stately fashion in a counterclockwise direction around the Baltic Sea. (This is a traditional way of ordering things that goes back into the mists of time; Vinci gives several examples.)

Vinci provides the reader with a map of the Baltic showing the exact, counterclockwise progression of places and peoples listed in Homer's Catalog of Ships (see page 8):

Hyrie (modern Herraeng), in Sweden, Aulis (Norrtaellje), Thebes (Taeby), Boeotia, Minyhae, Phoci, Crisa (Kisa), Thronion (Tranas), Tarphe (Torpa), Locris, Euboea (Oeland), Callarius (Hallarum), Athens (Karlskrona), Asine (Asum), Tiryns (Tyringe), Troezen (Traene), Calydon (Kiel), Pylene (Ploen), Olenus (Wolin), Aetolia (Jutland), Crete, Rhodes (Rodniki), Lindus (Lida), Crapethus (Klaipeda), Curetes (Kurland), Casus (Cesis), Libya (Livonia), Cos (Koeo), Phthia, Helias, Thessalus (Teissala), Troy (Toija), Pherae (Voera), Iolcus (Jolkka), Titanus (Tiitonranta), Meliboea (Myllyperae), Pelion (Paljakka), Oloosson (Oulu), and Cyphus (Kuivniemi).

In many cases, the similarity of the ancient and modern names is obvious even to the layman. Such a neat sequence could not happen by accident.

The Achaean migration from the Baltic to what is today called Greece fits in with the diaspora of other Indo-European populations in the first half of the second millennium B.C.: the Hittites in Anatolia, the Cassites in Mesopotamia, the Tocharians in Turkestan and the Aryans in India. As to the latter, it is remarkable that Bal Gangahar Tilak, a Hindu scholar, found traces of the probable Arctic origin of the Aryans in the Vedic hymns. This squares with clues emerging of a still earlier loca-



MAP OF THE BALTIC: Using Homer's Catalog of Ships from the *Iliad* and the nations from whence they originated, and progressing counterclockwise around the Baltic Sea, here are the Greek locations and their Baltic counterparts: 1)Hyrie (modern Herraeng); 2) Aulis (Norrtaellje); 3) Thebes (Taeby); A) Boeotia; B) Minyae; C) Phocis; 4) Kisa (Crisa); 5) Thronion (Tranas); 6) Tarphe (Torpa); D) Locris; E) Euboea (Oeland); 7) Callarius (Hallarum); 8) Athens (Karlskrona); 9) Asine (Asum); 10) Tiryns (Tyringe); 11) Troezen (Traene); 12) Kivik; 13) Calydon (Kiel); 14) Pylene (Ploen); 15) Olenus (Wolin); F) Aetolia (Jutland); G) Crete; 16) Rhodes (Rodniki), 17) Lindus (Lida), 18) Crapethus (Klaipeda); H) Curetes (Kurland); 19) Casus (Cesis); I) Libya (Livonia), 20) Cos (Koeo); J) Phythia; K) Helias; 21) Thessalus (Teissala), 22) Troy (Toija), 23) Pherae (Voera); 24) Iolcus (Jolkka); 25) Titanus (Tiitonranta); 26) Meliboea (Myllyperae); L) Mt. Pelion (Paljakka); 27) Oloosson (Oulu); and 28) Cyphus (Kuivniemi). The Island of Zealand (X) is identified as the Peloponnesus. The Gulf of Bothnia corresponds to the Thracian Sea and the Gulf of Finland to the Hellespont. When comparing this map to a map of the Mediterranean, one can see the amazing similarities in geography, placing the southern end of Sweden as the Greek peninsula. One would expect to find some type of significant ruins at Toija (22), which author Felice Vinci says is the real Troy, but these have yet to be discovered. There are large megalithic structures in Scandinavia, however.

tion of the Achaeans, connected to the mythical world of the gods, even more northerly than the Baltic one, in the Lappish area and even the coast of the Arctic Ocean.

In fact, Vinci finds Olympus, with the name almost unchanged from Achaean times, when it was called Oulympos, in Finland, where it is now called Oulankajoki. (Interchanges between p and k are common in Greek dialects. There is, for example, *pou* and *kou* for "where" and *pote* and *kote* for "when.")

Homer writes of a journey by the goddess Hera, from Olympus to Lemnos. Along the way she passes through Pieria (Lapland), then travels along the Gulf of Bothnia's west side from north to south (Emathia and Thracia to the Achaeans), and finally cuts across the sea toward Lemnos (modern Lemland). This, says Vinci, confirms the location in Sweden of Homeric Thrace, which is also where the Norse god Thor lived.

Bronze Age artifacts are frequently found by Scandinavian farmers plowing their fields, although we hear little about this.

Vinci points out many similarities between the region of the Finnish Toija and Homer's Troy, which can be verified by any visitor to Finland. Aerial surveying of the area of Toija and Kirkkojaervi gives us a good picture of where the ancient Trojan War may well have taken place. (We anxiously await more focused and in-depth archeological investigation.)

It is interesting to note that when Homer speaks of the Styx, he never refers to it as a river. Instead he uses the expression "the waters of Styx" (*hydor Stygos*). "Styx" means "hateful" and its root, styg-, is very similar to the Norwegian *stygg*, meaning "ugly." Vinci identifies the Styx as the lakes Kitka and Livojaervi, one of which flows into the White Sea while the other flows into the Baltic, based on what Homer says about the Styx.

Homer also writes about a murderous whirlpool. There is nothing like this in the Mediterranean, but there is such an eddy in the Lofoten archipelago of Scandinavia. It is known as the Maelstrom, or, in Norwegian, *Moskenstraumen*. For centuries, there have been tales of ships being swallowed up in this region. The British Admiralty advises sailors to steer clear of it.

In short, from all the converging information magisterially summarized by Vinci, the *Urheimat*, the original homeland of the Indo-Europeans, emerges as an Arctic land. It lay in the northernmost part of Scandinavia, or rather, the area stretching from Lapland to the Kola Peninsula. Five or six thousand years ago, the primordial Indo-European civilization developed there, thanks to the favorable climate of that era.

It seems remarkable to think that nowadays the Finns spend their time skiing on their native slopes, unaware that they may be trampling with their ski boots the very ground where lay the "fragrant altar" of the shrine of the king of the gods, Zeus, and where Paris awarded the golden apple to divine Aphrodite.

Whether you are a fan of Greek civilization or simply an Aryan buff, you will find much of interest in Vinci's tome, recently translated into English from his native Italian. ❖

John Tiffany is the assistant editor of The Barnes Review. Tiffany has been active in Revisionist history and the freedom movement for decades. He lives in historic Harpers Ferry, West Virginia, with his wife, Rhonda, who graciously helps out at The Barnes Review offices.

THE MAELSTROM

Håja is, according to Dr. Felice Vinci, the island of sorceress Circe, where there is the midnight sun. Circe is the daughter of Helios, the Sun (like the Lapps, who call themselves the children of the Sun) and is the granddaughter of "the River Okeanos," a phrase Vinci equates with the Gulf Stream. One can imagine the disorientation of Bronze Age sailors finding themselves in a region, and at a time of year, when there was no point on the horizon at which the Sun set.

It was Circe who warned Odysseus as he left her island to beware a deadly whirlpool, created by a fearsome sea monster, Charybdis, sucking in the sea water beneath.

Dr. Felice Vinci identifies the horrifying whirlpool of Charybdis with the Scandinavian Maelstrom. Located in the Lofoten Islands, there is, in the Mediterranean, nothing remotely as powerful as this nightmarish Scandinavian "eddy."

For years there have been tales of ships being swallowed up by this whirlpool.

Circe advises Odysseus to get through the strait between two rocks (one of which is topped by a many-armed beast called Scylla) by "sailing quickly close to Scylla's rock" (Odyssey 12.108) so as not to be sucked under by the powerful whirlpool, which extends beyond the islet.

It is notable that, many centuries after Circe's directions, the British Admiralty recommends the same course to sailors plying these waters: "It is advisable to keep near to Lofotodden." ❖

Above, Olaus Magnus's Carta Marina of 1539 shows the "Maelstrom" sucking down a ship off the Island of Lofot (Lofoten, i.e. Lofotodden). To the left we clearly see the words "Est Horrenda Caribdus," indicating that, as far back as the 1500s, mapmakers were associating Homer's words with the Lofoten whirlpool.

A Courageous, Politically Incorrect Exposé On Race & Race Relations in America ...

Fourteen Experts Smash the Taboo on Race in the U.S.

New from THE OCCIDENTAL PRESS, *Race and the American Prospect* exhaustively punctures the ideological fallacies of racial egalitarianism and multiculturalism. Courageous, controversial and provocatively thoughtful, *Race and the America Prospect* is the book for every American—Democrat or Republican, conservative or liberal—interested in vindicating our country's past, reclaiming its present, and securing its future.



Race and the American Prospect

Essays on the Racial Realities of Our Nation and Our Time

Edited by Samuel Francis
THE OCCIDENTAL PRESS
463 pages (Foreword, Afterword, Index)
$34.95 (Hardback) $19.95 (Softcover)

Edited by Sam Francis before his untimely death in 2005.

THE OCCIDENTAL PRESS • P.O. Box 695 • Mt. Airy, MD 21771
Tel: 301-829-2995 • Fax: 301-829-2911 • www.occidentalpress.com

"I suffered more hardships and trials and experienced more dangers after the war had ended and peace had been declared than I had ever encountered during the four years in the field. . . . I have slept on high eminences in order that I might watch for scouring search parties who were shooting down in cold blood every man that wore the South - ern uniform, and for no other reason. I have seen the horizon at night lit up with the burning houses of my friends whose only offense was that they had been soldiers in the Confederate army."

—Statement of a Texan

The Day Dixie Died:

Southern Occupation 1865-1866

By Thomas and Debra Goodrich. Starting with the assassination of Abraham Lincoln, the authors—true Revisionist historians—trace the history of Reconstruction—the death, destruction, crime, starvation, exile and anarchy that pervaded those terrible years. Many period photos help illustrate the story. A candid history of this period you may not find in mainstream history books—and the true story of those who preyed on the helpless citizens of the South. #273, hardcover, 320 pages, ***$26.95*** minus 10% for TBR subscribers. Add $3 S&H inside the U.S.; $6 per book outside the U.S. Send payment with the coupon on page 64 of this issue to TBR BOOK CLUB, P.O. Box 15877, Washington, D.C. 20003 or call 1-877-773-9077 toll free to charge to Visa or MasterCard. See more books online at www.barnesreview.org.



The Day Dixie Died

Hardcover, #273, 320 pages, ***$26.95*** minus 10% for TBR subscribers. Add $3 S&H inside the U.S.

The Wilson Massacre

A Brutal Civil War Slaughter in Missouri That Some Northern Sympathizers Say Never Happened

A TERRIBLE ATROCITY HAPPENED AT PULLIAM'S FARM in Ripley County, Missouri, when Union Maj. James Wilson attacked Confederate Rev. Col. Timothy Reeves and his men near Doniphan on Christmas Day, 1863. One account lists it as a simple rescue mission to free Yankee prisoners and captured horses. Many locals, however, have stated that the Confederates' families were with them when they were attacked and that many civilians were murdered. Can Clint Lacy bring history into accord with the facts for us?

BY CLINT E. LACY



Above, a Confederate cavalry unit captures Federal supply trains in an engagement near Jasper, Texas. Missouri, however, was the site of exceptionally bitter fighting. One such bloody encounter ended in a Christmas day massacre of about 80 Southern civilians and "Rebs" in Ripley County. The details of the incident are still in dispute today.

One of the most controversial pieces of work the late author and historian Jerry Ponder wrote was his account of the Wilson Massacre in Ripley County, Missouri, which occurred on December 25, 1863. On December 23, members of the 15th Missouri Cavalry, CSA, attacked and captured nearly 100 Union prisoners at Centerville in Reynolds County, Missouri, burning the courthouse down before they left. Ponder wrote that:

> An unusual group assembled at the Pulliam farm in southwestern Ripley County, Missouri for Christmas in 1863. Nearly 150 officers and men of the Missouri State Guard's 15th Cavalry Regiment (Confederate); at least 60 civilians, many of them women and children; and 102 prisoners, officers and men of Company C, Missouri State Militia (Union).
>
> The civilians were family members, friends, and neighbors. Confederate "hosts" and Union "guests" were all Missourians; but they were divided by perhaps the bitterest of all enmities—those of civil war.
>
> The day's activity was to begin with religious services conducted by the Rev. Col. Timothy Reeves, commanding officer of the 15th Cavalry and a Baptist preacher of Ripley County. Then would follow Christmas dinner in the afternoon. The group at Pulliam's farm numbered above 300 at the very least, if the figures on the record are to be believed. It was too many for a mere religious service and holiday dinner. Pulliam's was one of Reeves's regimental camps.
>
> What began as a festive occasion ended in horror and tragedy. As the celebrants sat at dinner, their arms stacked, they were surprised by two companies of the Union Missouri State Militia—more than 200 mounted cavalrymen. Only those guarding the prisoners, about 35 men, were armed. The militia attacked without warning, shooting into the crowd, attacking





Above, from left to right, some of the Confederate leaders who led troops in the Missouri theater of the War for Southern Independence: Brig. Gen. Meriwether Jeff Thompson, Col. Solomon Kitchen, Maj. Gen. John S. Marmaduke and Maj. Gen. Sterling Price. In 1861 Thompson was appointed brigadier general of the First Division of Missouri State Guards. He commanded the First Military District of Missouri, which covered the swampy southeastern corner of the state. Thompson's battalion was later known as "the Swamp Rats." Solomon Kitchen organized several mounted companies during the war. At one point he was said to have raised a regiment to seek vengeance against those who had molested his family and destroyed his personal property in Missouri. Gen. John S. Marmaduke resigned from the U.S. Army and enlisted in the Confederate Missouri State Guard. He was defeated in an embarrassing loss at the Battle of Boonville, Missouri, was wounded at the Battle of Shiloh, rose to brigadier general and even killed his cowardly commanding officer in a duel. Maj. Gen. Sterling Price, known to his men as "Old Pap," was a well-liked Missourian and a former governor of the state. He and his men served with great distinction. Unfortunately, many times, he was under the command of men inexperienced in military matters. He fought on numerous occasions to liberate Missouri but was defeated by a superior force of Union soldiers near Kansas City in 1864. After the surrender of Kirby Smith's Rebel forces in 1865, Price chose exile in Mexico rather than submission to the Union.

with sabers and killing at least 30 of the Confederate men instantly—and mortally wounding several more. According to local tradition, many—perhaps most—of the civilians were killed or wounded as well.

The immediate cause of the Wilson Massacre was a series of events at Centerville, Reynolds County. Centerville Courthouse was some 60 miles north of Doniphan and 25 miles southwest of Pilot Knob. Late in 1863, Centerville was captured by the Union 3rd Cavalry from Pilot Knob. Company C was left as a garrison. On December 21, while engaged in building stables on the courthouse grounds, they were surprised and surrounded by Company N of Reeves's 15th Missouri Cavalry, under command of Capt. Jesse Pratt, before the war the Baptist minister of Centerville. Company N was composed of farmers and merchants of Reynolds County.

Probably Pratt and the Reeves brothers, also Baptist preachers, were longtime acquaintances. [The fact that] Pratt was accorded the honor of recapturing his hometown was not accidental.

Captured were 102 Union men with their horses. Pratt took them south to Ripley County with a small group, leaving most of his men to garrison Centerville. He presented the prisoners to Reeves at Pulliam's on Christmas morning and joined his fellows of the regiment for the day's festivities.

One Union soldier had been allowed to escape at Centerville, doubtless to carry news of the event back to Pilot Knob. Reaction there was swift. Col. R.G. Woodson, commander of the 3rd Missouri, ordered two mounted cavalry companies under Maj. James Wilson to pursue Pratt. They left Pilot Knob mid-morning on the 23rd.

Wilson's force rode swiftly, rising in the darkness of the 25th to be on the road at 3 a.m. They passed through Doniphan that morning and continued west toward Ponder, capturing pickets as they went, and descended on Col. Reeves's group and prisoners just as they were eating Christmas dinner.[1]

Mr. Ponder's research on this subject can be found in his 1987 book *History of Ripley County, Missouri.* His research was also convincing enough that author Paulette Jiles used it in her bestselling 2003 fiction-based-on-fact novel *Enemy Women.*

Controversy arose concerning Ponder's research. Most of the criticism appears to have come from Ripley County historian Ray Burson, who has also seemingly convinced historian and author Kirby Ross that Ponder's account of the Wilson Massacre is fictitious.

However, Ponder, shortly before his death in 2005, sent this author two documents. The first paper is: "The Time of the War," by Lindzy Dudley, written in 1918.

Dudley evidently fought under Col. Reeves. His name does not appear on the official records, but that is not uncommon. Many men "took to the brush" in order to defend their families from Yankee invasion. It is my understanding that Confederate "partisans" were not afforded the same pensions later in life as Union and regular Confederate troops were. Therefore, no pension records would exist to verify their service. In this piece Dudley states (of the massacre):

> Reeves was a Baptist preacher. He backed up every sermon with his pistol. Reeves's men were mean. No quarter was given or asked. He had commanded a company till the end of 1863.
>
> Colonel Righter was captured with General Thompson and Reeves was put in command of the 15th. In November a field hospital was attacked by colored cavalry and about 100 of Reeves's men were killed. Reeves collected revenge but he never got over the loss of sick and wounded not able to fight back. Just shot in their beds. He talked about that until he died.

On Christmas, a month later, several companies were at the Pulliam farm for a service and a meal with their families. This was on the old Tom Pulliam place northwest of Johnston's Chapel and close to Oregon County and the Arkansas line. There was a big spring there on the Mill Branch where folks in that part had picnics. Reeves did a sermon and the group was ready to eat. The well known Major Wilson, the Yankee from Pilot Knob called the murderer, surrounded and attacked. The killed and the wounded were all over the field. This included soldiers, their families and nearby families. All were killed. Those that could got across the creek and up the bluff on the south side and into the timber there to hide or keep on running. It was not right to kill the families. Wilson lived up to his name. The loss of sick and wounded at the hospital and the loss of the men and families at Pulliam's was pretty hard to take. We were ready to wipe out the blues all the way to St. Louis.[2]

It is interesting that Burson, of the Ripley County Historical Society, would question Dudley's credibility in his account of the Wilson Massacre yet, in the book *History and Families of Ripley County Missouri*, the historical society finds Dudley credible enough to relate who the first European settler of Ripley County was: "In an interview with historian Hume in 1900, Lindzy Dudley reported that the first European resident was a 'Wees Riley' who arrived in 1802 with a Delaware Indian wife who soon died in childbirth."[3]

"The well known Major Wilson, the Yankee from Pilot Knob, surrounded and attacked. The killed and the wounded were all over the field. This included soldiers and their families."

The other document was entitled *Doniphan: No Man's Land During the Civil War*, by T.L. Wright Jr. and was written in March 1929. The paper appears to be one written for a school assignment by Wright. On the copy that Mr. Ponder sent me "Doniphan Public Library" is stamped on the upper left hand corner of the page.

I was able to talk to Jerry Ponder over the phone, while he was in Texas (a few months before he died), and he told me that he found the documents after they had been discarded. During the time that he found the documents (1990-1991) the Doniphan Public Library and the Ripley County library were being consolidated.

In addition I contacted the Ripley County Library's Doniphan, Missouri location on Friday July 29, 2006, and talked to two separate librarians, Mr. Allen Rife and Mrs. Rebecca Wilcox. Both told me it was possible that the documents could have been discarded during the consolidation. During a second phone interview conducted on August 7th, 2006, I talked to a third librarian Mrs. Patricia Robison, who told me that though she did not work at the library at the time of the consolidation, she is a lifelong resident of Ripley County and it was "entirely possible" that documents were discarded during the consolidation of the two libraries in the early 1990s.

As a side note, I was also able to check out a book from the Doniphan-Ripley County Library entitled "Doniphan and Ripley County History." There is no copyright date, but the earliest entries appear to be from the early 1900s and the last entry appears to be in the early 1970s and upon examination of the library stamp on this book, and the document that Jerry Ponder sent me, they are the same and one can clearly ascertain that the library stamp on the document Jerry Ponder sent me is valid.

T.L. Wright Jr.'s 1929 paper also gives longtime resident accounts of the Wilson Massacre. Given the fact that this paper was written in 1929, it is possible that the accounts could have been eyewitness accounts. Certainly they could be accounts written by citizens who were living during the time of the Wilson Massacre.

One such account read as follows:

On Christmas Day, 1863, Major James Wilson, later captured and executed by firing squad at Pilot Knob, and 200 Union troops from Fort Davidson at Pilot Knob, passed through Doniphan, traveling on a southeast course to Pulliam's Farm, 17 miles from Doniphan where Colonel Reeves and his cavalry were encamped. A vicious, surprise attack ensued and 35 rebels were killed and 112 taken prisoner when the fighting had ended. But worse, families and neighbors were present and, in the heat of battle, Wilson's soldiers killed over 50 civilians. Mrs. Betty Towell, Tom Pulliam and Ed Cline, longtime residents of the neighborhood, tell that the civilians killed, in camp for a Christmas visit, included women and children who were shot down the same as the rebel soldiers of Reeves's Regiment. That action attests to the cruelty of the war.[4]

According to historian Kirby Ross, T.L. Wright Jr. was born in 1912. That would have made T.L. Wright Jr. 17 years of age at the time he wrote this document. One of the criticisms that Mr. Ross has made in his attempt to discredit Jerry Ponder was posted on an online forum on August 14th, 2005. In it Mr. Ross states (in reference to the document written by T.L. Wright Jr. in 1929) that: "Do you realize that this version of the T.L. Wright article has a four-year-old boy conducting complex historical interviews?"[5]

This criticism by Ross bears examination. First of all, as stated, T.L. Wright Jr. was 17 years of age when he wrote his first version of *Doniphan: No Man's Land in the Civil War* in 1929 and the words "The Colonel told me" seem to be more of a recollection of a story that William Harmon Righter told him when he was a young boy. Nowhere has Jerry Ponder ever written that T.L.Wright Jr. was conducting "complex interviews" at four years of age. It is also important to note that T.L. Wright Jr.'s 1929 version of *Doniphan: No Man's Land During the Civil War*, matches Lindzy Dudley's 1918 version of what occurred at Pulliam's Farm on December 25, 1863.

It appears that in later years T.L. Wright Jr. decided to cite more official sources for his revised *Doniphan: No Man's Land in the Civil War* and his version of the Wilson Massacre seems to follow other versions in *Doniphan and Ripley County in the Civil War* found in the Ripley County Library. This does not make his original version any less valid. Remember the 1929 version quoted longtime residents of Ripley County who lived in the area.

No one knows why T.L. Wright Jr. chose not to include these sources in the two other versions of his paper in later years.

Mr. Burson's criticism seems to be centered around Colonel William H. Righter himself and whether or not he was a real colonel, which is very hard to prove either way. In a personal letter sent to me

by Ray Burson entitled "Jerry Ponder's Sources for the Wilson Massacre and Other Tales," Burson writes:

> The tale goes that William Harmon Righter was the founder and colonel of the 15th Missouri Cavalry, CSA, captured at Pocahontas, Arkansas, with Gen. Jeff Thompson and then sat out the rest of the war in St. Louis. Righter is a prominent figure in Ponder's books on the 15th Missouri Cavalry, the Battle of Chalk Bluff and Maj. Gen. John S. Marmaduke.
>
> Historians have not found any record of Righter's service in the CSA and there is not mention of it in his biographic sketches and three obituaries. He is not mentioned in the records of the capture of Thompson or elsewhere. He was merely a Southern sympathizer. Ponder got the VA [Veterans Administration] to provide a headstone which he had placed in the Doniphan City cemetery for Righter without providing Righter's service record.[9]

A small note was attached to the letter which reads: "Mr. Lacy: Here's the real scope of Ponder's mischief. See IV—what does creating a fake colonel add to the story of Southern valor during the Civil War? Regards, Ray Burson."

Mr. Burson has stated that no service record of Righter in the CSA has been found. However, that doesn't mean that Righter did not serve in some capacity. As stated at the beginning of this article, this was not uncommon. Many men "took to the brush" and fought as informal companies of partisans. It is extremely doubtful that the Veterans Administration would have provided a headstone for Colonel Righter, free of charge, without some kind of documentation that Righter served in some capacity during the war.

The fact that obituaries about Righter did not mention any military service is not proof positive that he did not fight. After all, Col. Timothy Reeves, after the war, was reluctant to discuss his wartime experiences, stating that "he wished to be remembered as a good preacher, not a civil war hero." Obituaries about him made no mention of his military experience.[11]

Jerry Ponder said in his research that Righter's commission came from Brigadier General M. Jeff Thompson. Ponder's critics state that Thompson made no record of this. Is it possible that this could have happened? It is entirely possible. In his book *This Is the War Experiences of Brig.General M. Jeff Thompson*, Thompson himself writes that: "About the first of July 1861, Cyrus Black and Miles Ponder of Ripley County, Missouri, came down to Pocohontas [Arkansas] to inform me that the citizens of Ripley and Carter counties were meeting at Martinsburg to organize a battalion and desired me to come up and take command."[12]

Thompson further states that he was elected to command the battalion and that Aden Lowe was not a candidate because of the strict discipline that he enforced before Thompson's arrival. One of Jeff Thompson's first acts as commander of the Ripley County Battalion was to start enlisting men as partisan rangers. Thompson writes: "I saw at this time the necessity of mounted troops even for my small command, and I authorized James F. White to raise as many men to act as partisans and flankers, as he could find with good horses."[13]

Sam Hildebrand, who later became known as a Missouri bushwhacker, is another partisan who was given a commission by General M. Jeff Thompson. In his autobiography Hildebrand wrote:

> As soon as I could gain admission to the general's headquarters I did so, and he received me very kindly. He listened very attentively to me as I proceeded to state my case to him—how my brother had been murdered, how I had barely escaped the same fate, and how I had finally been driven from the country. General Thompson reflected a few moments, then seizing a pen he rapidly wrote off a few lines and handing it to me he said, "Here, I give you a major's commission; go where you please, take what men you can pick up, fight on your own hook, and report to me every six months."[14]

MAJ. SAM HILDEBRAND
Commissioned by Gen. Thompson.

Even though Hildebrand (pictured left) received a major's commission from Thompson, the act is not mentioned in *This Is the War Experiences of Brig. General M. Jeff Thompson.* Nor is it mentioned in *General M. Jeff Thompson's Letter Book, July 1861-June 1862*, written by Jim McGhee. Therefore the fact that there is no record of Righter's commission, doesn't mean that he was not given one by Thompson.

Yet there is another possibility in this story. If there was a record of Colonel Righter's or Sam Hildebrand's commissions given by M. Jeff Thompson, the records might very well have been destroyed at the time of M. Jeff Thompson's capture in Pocohontas, Arkansas, on August 22, 1863. In the book *This Is the War Experiences of Brig.General M. Jeff Thompson*, Thompson writes:

> Kay spread out my maps to examine them and, by the way, I had the best set of maps that I saw during the war, for I had all kinds of military information on them, and the name and status of nearly every man in southeast Missouri. I sat down in my shirtsleeves to copy some drawings, about 4 p.m. We heard horses running. I did not look up, but Kay did and shouted, "By George, here's the Feds." I sprang to my feet, and sure enough they were within forty yards, with a string of them as far as the eye could reach, all coming at full speed. I gasped as if my heart would jump out of my mouth, but instantly sat down again, and said "Kay, burn those maps."[15]

In the book *The Civil War in Ripley County, Missouri* it states that Colonel W.H. Righter following his capture was "taken to Gratiot Prison in St. Louis. There he agreed not to further take up arms and was paroled. He remained in St. Louis the remainder of the war, reading law. His wife, Anna Wright Righter, died there in February 1864. When the war ended, Colonel Righter returned to Ripley County and, in 1866, was elected as the state representative from Ripley County. Because of his Confederate service, the General Assembly refused to seat him and appointed a 'stand-in' to represent the county."



MPI/GETTY IMAGES

Amongst warring factions in modern Europe and America, it has many times been customary to respect the sanctity of life on Christmas Day. This tradition was violated in a horrendous fashion by troops under the command of U.S. Maj. James Wilson who attacked about 100 Confederates eating Christmas dinner with their families. In the ensuing ambush, 35 unarmed Rebel cavalrymen were killed and an estimated 50 civilians visiting for Christmas. Above, Federal and Confederate pickets toast each other from opposite sides of a stream at Christmas time, circa 1860.

Righter was elected to the State Legislature in 1882, after Reconstruction, when former Confederates were once again allowed to hold office.[21]

Even without the discussion of what role Colonel William Harmon Righter played in the War Between the States, there is plenty of other evidence that proves the "Wilson Massacre" happened. Yankee atrocities happened throughout the Missouri Ozarks during the war and fighting between warring factions was both personal and brutal.

On a U.S. Forestry Service website entitled "History of the Irish Wilderness," a detailed description of Union policy toward Missouri Southerners living in the southeast Missouri Ozarks is given.

The website cites *The War of the Rebellions: Official Records of the Union and Confederate Armies.* One entry in particular shows proof that the women of the area were looked down upon and treated badly by the occupying Union soldiers. Captain Robert McElroy of the 3rd Missouri State Militia (Union) wrote that: "I am of the opinion that the women in that region are even more daring and treacherous, and in fact, worse than the men, as we found in their possession a number of newly made rebel uniforms etc.[22]

Jerry Ponder's critics cite eyewitness accounts of Union soldiers who were present at the Wilson Massacre and stated that all of the prisoners were well cared for. But reading through the U.S. Forestry Service's *The History of the Irish Wilderness,* which cites the official records of the War of the Rebellion, one will find that anyone who was even suspected of being a "bushwhacker" was taken prisoner. In Captain Boyd's (who was a Union scout) report he states that: "We found [a] fresh trail of horses, followed them on Jack's Fork to the residence of Miles Stephens and brother, Jack Stephens, who I'm satisfied were bushwhackers. Burned the house."[23]

Anyone "suspected" of harboring or aiding a bushwhacker had their property burned. And in Captain John Boyd's report of the 6th Provisional Regiment EMM (Union) one will find between November 4-9, 1863, there were over 23 houses burned and 10 men killed by these Union troops, the majority of which were prisoners who "tried to escape" and were shot.[24]

All of this occurred little over a month before the Wilson Massacre and we are supposed to believe that the Union militia treated Reeves men and local civilians any better on December 25, 1863?

There are other pieces of evidence that suggest that the Wilson Massacre did happen. At the Stoddard County Civil War Cemetery in Bloomfield, Missouri, there are monuments erected in honor of Southern soldiers and civilians who were killed during the War of the Rebellion. The monuments are unique due to the fact that they have detailed information about the individual on the front of the



MPI/GETTY IMAGES

Above: Refugees from southern Missouri, driven from their homesteads after fighting between Union and Confederate forces near Rolla, Missouri.

monument, name, rank, unit etc and on the back of the monument a detailed description of where and how the individual died.

One states on the front of the monument: "Pvt., Thomas McKinney, Co. A, 15th Mo. Reg. Cav. CSA. July 16, 1845—Dec. 25, 1863." The back of the monument reads: 'Killed in Action, Ripley County, Mo'."

Another monument is more specific. The front reads: "In memory of Pvt., Jacob Foster, Co. A, 15th Mo. Cav., April 18, 1830—December 25, 1863."

The back of the monument reads: "Died of Wounds received at Christmas Dinner, Doniphan Mo., Wilson Massacre."[25]

If one looks at the events following the Wilson Massacre, a clear picture begins to develop that something very significant happened on December 25, 1863, in Ripley County, Missouri—an event so drastic that the effects of it would be felt throughout the rest of the War of the Rebellion in Missouri, and even after the war had ended.

First of all something must have been weighing very heavily upon Major Wilson's mind, for in March of 1864 he told his nephew, while he was on furlough:"If you ever hear of me being taken prisoner by the guerrilla Tom Reeves you may count me as dead. I know I shall never get away from him alive. I have broken up his recruiting operations three times."[26]

Was Wilson worried about his life because he had broken up Reeves's recruiting operations? Or did he fear retribution for something much worse—something that he did not want his family to know about?

One must not discount the fact that that during General Sterling Price's Missouri Expedition of 1864, at the Battle of Pilot Knob, Missouri, "Maj. James Wilson, Third Cavalry Missouri State Militia, after being wounded, was captured on Pilot Knob and, subsequently with six of his gallant men. was brutally murdered by order of the rebel field officer of the day."[27]

In an article entitled "No Heroes on Either Side," written by Ponder critic Ray Burson and published in *The Prospect-News* (Doniphan, Missouri's local newspaper) and dated Wednesday, July 16, 2003, another Ponder critic, Kirby Ross, attributes Major Wilson's death to the burning of Doniphan, Missouri: "Ross, whose article on the burning of Doniphan will be in an upcoming issue of *North-South* magazine, linked Wilson's death to the destruction of Doniphan "which had taken place earlier, two weeks to the day."[28]

However; in the *Report of Confederate General J.O. Shelby, C.S. Army, Commanding Division. August 29 to December 2, 1864. Price's Missouri*

Expedition," it appears that General Shelby administered justice to the perpetrators who were responsible for the burning of Doniphan, almost as quickly as the act was committed. Shelby wrote that:

> On the 12th of September I moved camp from Sulphur Rock, Arkansas, toward Pocahontas, in anticipation of the arrival of the army and, on the 19th, after having received my instructions, started for Missouri and encamped in Doniphan. Before arriving there, however, couriers from Lt. Colonel Johnson, of Marmaduke's command, brought information that 100 Federals were in the town and pressing him back. I immediately started forward sufficient re-enforcements, but the enemy fled before reaching them, burning the helpless and ill-fated town. That night I dispatched 150 men under Lt. Colonel Johnson to pursue the vandals. They came upon them early the next morning [20th], attacked, scattered, and killed many of them. I pushed on then rapidly for Patterson, destroying on the way the bloody rendezvous of the notorious Leeper, and on the morning of the 22d I surrounded and charged upon the town. Its garrison, hearing of my advance, retreated hastily, but not before many were captured and killed, and some supplies taken. All the government portion of Patterson was destroyed, together with its strong and ugly fort.[29]

Confederate General M. Jeff Thompson offered another reason for the execution of Major James Wilson. As mentioned earlier in this article, Thompson was in a Union prison at the time of the Wilson Massacre, but he was exchanged in time to make his way back to Missouri to join Confederate General Sterling Price's 1864 Missouri Expedition.

In May of 1865 Thompson surrendered 10,000 men at Jacksonport, Arkansas. Out of those 10,000 men, only six were not paroled, one of them being Confederate Col. Timothy Reeves, commander of the 15th Missouri Cavalry, CSA.

Thompson wrote that:

> In a few days we finished all the paroles, except that of Timothy C. Reeves, whom Col. Davis would not agree to parole, considering him outlawed for the shooting of Major Williams [Major Wilson, this was a misprint] and five men on the Price Raid; but I must state for Col. Reeves, that he was as good a man and soldier as any in the command, and his shooting of that party was entirely justifiable; only that it should have been by such an order and form that retaliation would have been avoided. I solicited to have this party turned over to me, that I might have them shot in due form, and Reeves men refrained from killing them for three days in hopes that I would get them; but responsibilities of this kind were not to our commander's liking, and they were turned over to Reeves to guard, with a pretty full knowledge that they would be shot.
>
> I knew Reeves's men, nearly every one of them, and the provocation was bitter, for I had seen the blackened ruins and lonely graves in Ripley county with my own eyes. ❖

CLINT E. LACY is chairman of the Missouri League of Southern Voters and a proud member of the John T. Coffee Camp, Missouri Sons of Confederate Veterans. He resides in Missouri. He is the author of "Stars and Bars: Flag of Honor, Not Hate" in the September/October 2005 issue of TBR.

ENDNOTES:

[1]"Between Missourians: Ripley County in the Civil War," Ponder, *Ozark Watch* magazine, Vol. IV, No. 4, Spring 1991. Lindzy Dudley: *The Time of the War*, 1, 15, 1918.

[2]*Doniphan: No Man's Land During the Civil War*, T.L. Wright Jr., 1929, Doniphan High School.

[3]Article entitled "First Settlers of Ripley County" found in the book *History and Families of Ripley County Missouri*, Ripley County Historical Society.

[4]Kirby Ross post made August 14, 2005, on the "Missouri in the Civil War" message board.

[5]*Ibid.*

[6]Posted by Kirby Ross on Wednesday August 31, 2005, "Missouri in the Civil War" message board.

[7]Thompson, M. Jeff: *This Is the War Experiences of Brig. General M. Jeff Thompson*, 103, Kent Library, South - east Missouri State University.

[8]Posted by Kirby Ross on Wednesday August 31, 2005, Missouri in the Civil War message board.

[9]Personal correspondence from Ray Burson, Ripley County Historical Society entitled, "Jerry Ponder's Sources for the Wilson Massacre and Other Tales."

[10]*Doniphan and Ripley County in the Civil War*, Ripley County Library, Doniphan, Missouri.

[11]"War Hero Timothy Reeves wanted to be remembered as 'good preecher,'" *Daily American Republic* newspaper.

[12]*This Is the War Experiences of Brig. General M. Jeff Thompson*, M. Jeff Thompson, Kent Library, Southeast Missouri State University, 21-22.

[13]*Ibid.*

[14]*The Legend of St. Francois County: Sam Hildebrand's Confession*, Chapter 6, Reprinted from the *County Advertiser* by Farmington News Printing Company, September 26, 1979.

[15]*This Is the War Experiences of Brig. General M. Jeff Thompson*, Thompson, Kent Library, Southeast Missouri State University, 103.

[16]*Ibid.*, 104.

[17]*The Time of the War* by Lindzy Dudley as told to Charles Booker, 1918, 1, 15 (document sent to me by Jerry Ponder shortly before his death).

[18]"The Civil War in Ripley County Missouri," *The Prospect News*, pgs. 27-28.

[19]*Twice a Month* magazine, Sept. 2, 1909, 27-28.

[20]*Ibid.*

[21]*Missouri State Legislators 1820-2000*, information obtained from the Missouri Secretary of State office.

[22]Information obtained from the U.S. Forestry Service Website entitled "The History of the Irish Wilderness" found at the following internet web address in pdf format: www.fs.fed.us/r9/forests/marktwain/ranger_districts/doniphan/Irish_Wilderness_Country.pdf. Also found in *The War of the Rebellions*, Official Records, Volumes XXII, Part 1, 744.

[23]Information obtained from the U.S. Forestry Service Website entitled "The History of the Irish Wilderness" found at the following internet web address in pdf format: www.fs.fed.us/r9/forests/marktwain/ranger_districts/doniphan/Irish_Wilderness_Country.pdf also found in *The War of the Rebellions*, Official Records, Volumes XXII, Part 1, 746-747.

[24]*Ibid.*

[25]Research conducted by author at the Stoddard County Civil War Cemetery, Bloomfield, Missouri

[26]*The Military Record of Major James Wilson*, compiled by Willard S. Bacon, and found at the following internet web address: www.rootsweb.com/~molincol/ misc/ms-military-record-wilson.htm and from Dr. Joseph Mudd's notes for the publication *The History of Lincoln County*, Powell Memorial Library, Troy, Missouri.

[27]"Report of Brig. Gen. Thomas Ewing Jr., U.S. Army, Commanding District of Saint Louis. August 29 to December 2, 1864. Price's Missouri Expedition." Official Records, *War of the Rebellions.*

[28]"No Heroes On Either Side," Ray Burson, *The Prospect-News*, Doniphan, Missouri, July 16, 2003.

[29]Report of Brig. Gen. Joseph O. Shelby, C.S. Army, Commanding Division. August 29 to December 2, 1864, Price's Missouri Expedition.

[30]Thompson, M. Jeff: *This Is the War Experiences of Brig. General M. Jeff Thompson*, Kent State Library, Southeast Missouri State University, 155.

[31]*The Military Record of Major James Wilson*, compiled by Willard S. Bacon and found at the following internet web address: www.rootsweb.com/~molincol/ misc/ms-military-record-wilson.htm.

[32]"The Ponder-Bradbury-Flanders Correspondence," *Ozark Watch Magazine*, Vol. IV, No. 4, Spring 1991, Vol. V, No.1, Summer 1991, 4.

HISTORY YOU MAY HAVE MISSED

Don't Visit South Africa

Neil Watson runs crimexposouthafrica.org. His organization's mission is to let the world know of the real situation regarding violent crime in South Africa. According to Watson, his website became, in just three months, the most-viewed South African political website. Despite accusations that his website is "racist" because he discusses the explosion of black crime against white people, Watson also covers black-on-black crime and shows that non-whites are the largest victims of crime in South Africa. For his efforts to let the truth be known and discourage tourists from supporting the South African regime's economy by visiting, Watson has been rewarded with death threats against himself, his wife and their children.

The Holocaust of 29 Million

Says Johann Hari in India's *The Tribune*: Britain is a nation locked in denial. If you point out basic facts about the British empire—that the Brits deliberately adopted policies that caused as many as 29 million Indians to starve to death in the late 19th century, say—you crash into a wall of British rage. Court historian Lawrence James says the British imperial rulers of India "were humane men and, although hampered by inadequate administrative machinery and limited resources, they made a determined effort to feed the hungry" during the famines of the 1870s and 1890s. His "evidence"? "Between 1871-1901 India's population increased by 30 million," he says. "This is a classic piece of deficient reasoning," Hari notes. "The population of Russia grew during Stalin, and the population of China exploded under Mao. Does James think there were no mass deaths there either?"

Japan's Early Bid for 'the Bomb'

According to *Chugoku Shimbun Peace News*, the U.S. Library of Congress possesses notebooks containing memos by two Japanese scientists involved in Japan's unsuccessful attempt to develop atomic bombs during World War II. Discovered 61 years after the United States dropped the world's first atomic bomb on Hiroshima on Aug. 6, 1945, the notebooks are likely to become an important material for research on Japan's wartime atomic development program, about which much remains unknown. The memos were written by Sakae Shimizu and Yoshiaki Uemura—two of the scientists who worked at a laboratory led by Bunsaku Arakatsu, a professor at Kyoto Imperial University, now Kyoto University, which was ordered by the Japanese navy to develop the A-bomb.

Ironic Flip-Flop

In Oslo, Villa Grande, a mansion used by Norwegian leader Vidkun Quisling during WWII, opened as a center to further defame the ill-fated nationalist. The sprawling mansion now houses the Center for Studies of Holocaust and Religious Minorities in Norway. Its exhibits will focus on the alleged Nazi-led gassing of millions of Jews and on the persecution of other minorities. The once-grand mansion was in poor condition after decades of various uses, including as housing for Allied troops for 18 months after the war. It was restored over several years. In 2000, the Norwegian government promised to donate Quisling's 43,000-square-foot house and the surrounding five-acre estate to the Zionists as part of a $71 million package adopted by the parliament of Norway as compensation for "past crimes" against Noway's Jewish population.

Fritchie & Stonewall Myth May Be False



TBR readers all remember the poem of 1864 by John Greenleaf Whittier about the 95-year-old Barbara Fritchie and her encounter with Stonewall Jackson (pictured right) in Frederick, Maryland: "'Shoot, if you must, this old gray head,/But spare your country's flag,' she said./A shade of sadness, a blush of shame,/Over the face of the leader came;/The nobler nature within him stirred/To life at that woman's deed and word;/'Who touches a hair of yon gray head/Dies like a dog! March on!' he said." In stories it is said Fritchie, who died at age 96, waved the Union flag out of her window despite opposition from Stonewall Jackson's troops, who were passing through Frederick. But the flag incident never occurred, as Fritchie was sick in bed that day. Apparently what did happen may have been that she told the housekeeper to hide the valuables in order to prevent looting, and to take in the U.S. flag that was hanging outside. But it was never moved, and as a result was shot up by the Confederate troops. Some say the legend is rooted in the actions of "the neighbor lady across the street," who waved a flag from her front gate, but no one knows.



No Roman War Chariots?

Don't be fooled by an urban legend circulating on the Internet that claims modern railroad tracks have roots that go back to ancient Roman days. According to *On Your MARC* newsletter, the U.S. standard railroad gauge of 4 feet 8.5 inches did not evolve from the width of the backsides of two horses pulling a Roman war chariot. The Romans did not use chariots in battle. They were expensive, difficult to maintain and quite unstable on rough terrain. Large cavalry horses were much more effective in warfare. They could carry a fully armed warrior who could fight from horseback. Although chariots were used in places like Britain by the Kelts, Roman war chariots are no more than a Hollywood invention.

Swastika

The swastika may be known over much of the world as a symbol of Nazi Germany and it may be banned in some states for that reason, but in Tajikistan it appears on placards, banners and billboards—with the state's blessing. Like other post-Soviet countries, Tajikistan has taken a fresh look its history following independence in 1991. The result is a government campaign to promote the notion that the Tajiks are an Aryan nation—hence the use of the swastika. In the land of the Tajiks, the hooked cross is the new symbol of national identity.

Taking a Bite Out of an Old British Myth

While the history books say Anglo-Saxons replaced the Keltic Britons in England, new scientific research adds to growing evidence that the Anglo-Saxons did not replace the native population after all. Chemical analysis of teeth from a medieval cemetery in Yorkshire found few individuals of European continental origin. Isotopes of oxygen in local drinking water vary across Europe and within the British Isles. A characteristic isotope composition gets set in people's teeth during childhood and can therefore be used by scientists to pinpoint one's geographical origin.

Rothschild Intrigues:

The Assassination of Emperor Komei And the Ruination of Traditional Japan

INTRODUCTION

IT WAS THE SUMMER OF 1853 when four "black ships" of the U.S. Navy appeared off Tokyo Bay with a letter from the president of the United States "asking" Japan to open up to foreign trade, after 300 years of isolation. Commodore Mathew Perry made it clear he expected a "yes" answer from the emperor when he returned the next year with an even larger squadron. This was the absolute worst thing any foreigner could have done to the Japanese emperor: humiliate him in front of all his subjects.

Interestingly, the daughter of Commodore Perry married August Belmont, the American agent for the Rothschild international banking family. Suspicious minds might think that this indicates that Perry himself was acting on behalf of the Rothschilds.

Emperor Komei (Komei Tenno in Japanese) swore an oath to rid Japan of all foreigners—particularly the Americans—but was murdered by poisoning (apparently the conspiracy tried smallpox first) before he could make good on his promise. (Establishment historians claim he died a natural death.)

That was the beginning of the end for traditional Japanese culture—unless it can still manage to make a comeback. The current royal family of Japan is so Westernized it is said they do not even remove their shoes when they enter a house which, to any traditional native of Japan, is a shocking failure on the part of the royals. They also eat beef, contrary to Japanese tradition, and act as thoroughgoing Westerners in many other ways offensive to traditionalist Japanese.

Did Perry and/or other Rothschild agents poison the emperor and his son and force the shogun to step down from power? Revisionist Ryu Ohta says he has found answers. . . .


Auf der Culturreiſe.
Die Japaneſen, getreu ihrer Miſſion, die europäiſche Civiliſation kennen zu lernen, gewinnen in Eſſen einen Einblick in dieſelbe.

EXPERIENCING EUROPEAN CULTURE: The above cartoon, showing a Japanese trip to Germany after "Westernization" began, depicts a Japanese envoy placing his head in a German cannon during a quest for modern armaments. The caption reads: "On a Cultural Trip: The Japanese, true to their mission to become acquainted with European civilization, gained a view of it in Essen."

BY RYU OHTA

The Jyomon (or Jomon) spirit or mentality—the traditional spirit of Japan—was deeply and perhaps fatally wounded with the assassination of traditionalist Japanese Emperor Komei, a crime that has successfully been hidden from Westerners and many Japanese by the court historians, as Harry Elmer Barnes called the bought-and-kept establishment scholars. Basically the cultural link to old Japan has been severed ever since, and only a false appearance has been kept up.

In the second year of Keio, meaning in the second year of

JAPANESE IMPERIAL STABILITY

It is a fact that Japan is being turned into a slave colony. And that process is being accomplished by none other than the so-called Japanese imperial family. Ko Bunyu, a Taiwanese writer residing in Japan, has made the following statement in his book titled *The Cursed Chinese*, published in Japan in 1990: "Starting with the very first emperor of the unified Chinese dynasty until the last emperor, China has had 209 emperors. Of those, 63 emperors had their lives terminated unnaturally (by suicide, assassination etc). That would be about 30% of the total."

As Ko has stated, China in a time span of 2,010 years has had 209 emperors, and about 63 died violently. Furthermore, 24 different dynasties have reigned in China. Then he makes a striking comparison with the Japanese imperial lineage: "It is a stark contrast to Japan, where the emperors have lasted over 125 generations and are continuing still."

There is a widespread myth that in the Land of the Rising Sun there has been one continuous dynasty during a span of 2,666 years since the time of the Sun goddess, Amaterasu O-Mikami, or at least since the first unquestionable historical emperor, Emperor Kimmei. But this myth, commonly believed in America and Japan, is untrue. There have been two known dynasties.

EMPEROR KOMEI
Victim of poisoning.

TOMOMI IWAKURA
Princely assassin.

THOMAS GLOVER
Rothschild agent.

One line, the true royal family of Japan, lasted from A.D. 539 until 1867. Then a new family of imposters or usurpers took over on behalf of the Illuminati-Rothshild-New World Order conspiracy.

Of the 125 emperors usually listed, down to today's Emperor Akihito, the first 28 are considered questionable, and the first 16 may be considered mythical.

Consider the supposed first emperor of Japan. According to Shinto belief, Emperor Jimmu is a direct descendant of the goddess Amaterasu. Amaterasu, legend has it, had a son called Ame-no-Oshihomimi-no-Mikoto and through him a grandson named Ninigi-no-Mikoto. Amaterasu sent her grandson to the Japanese islands, where he eventually married Princess Konohana-Sakuya. Among their three sons was Hikohohodemi-no-Mikoto, also called Yamasachi-hiko, who married Princess Toyotama. She was the daughter of Owatatsumi, the Japanese sea god and brother of Amaterasu.

They in turn had a single son called Hikonagisa Takeugaya Fukiaezu no Mikoto. The boy was abandoned by his parents at birth and was raised by Princess Tamayori, his mother's younger sister. They eventually married and had a total of four sons. The last of them, whose personal name was Kamuhamato Iwarebiko, became Emperor Jimmu. Jimmu is said to have been born in 711 B.C., and reigned from 660 B.C. to his death in 585 B.C. at the ripe old age of 126.

For the sake of completeness, we should mention that the Legendary Period is considered to have ended, and the historical Yamato (or Kofun) Period begun, with Emperor Ojin, the 15th emperor on the list, who reigned from about A.D. 270 to 310 and was deified under the name of Hachiman.

Most historians agree the Yamato dynasty was the first to actually unify Japan.

The 17th emperor, in case you were wondering (going by the traditional list), was Emperor Richu (reigned 400 to c. 405).

The next period, the Asuka Period, was initiated by the 29th emperor, Kimmei (reigned 539-71). The first emperor to be acknowledged as unquestionable, his personal name was Amekuni Oshiharaki Hironiwa no Mikoto.

Japanese historians acknowledge that there have been three assassinated Japanese emperors: Emperor Sushun (A.D. 587-92); Emperor Jyunjin (circa 759); and Emperor Antoku (1180-85).

But there actually is another one—and one very important to the current situation although the assassination is never mentioned in establishment books.

That is the murder of Emperor Komei, occurring at the very end of the Tokugawa feudal regime.

Suffice it to say there had been four murders among perhaps 125 emperors, which would make the rate about 4%. Compared to China's rate, there is a difference of a factor of eight here. But more importantly, there has been one murder in Japan that resulted in the ending of the traditional dynasty. Technically there have been five imperial murders, since the son of Emperor Komei was done away with shortly after Komei himself.

JAPAN'S HISTORICAL CRISES

There have been three major crises threatening the history of Japan. The first crisis occurred when the daimyo (lord) Izumo invaded and took over the province of Yamato and banned the ancestral goddess worship there. The second crisis was the assassination of the emperor by the Buddhist Soga family. (Behind the power and prominence of this family, one can detect probing eyes and influences proceeding from both the Korean peninsula and the well-established Chinese empire.) The third was the assassination of Emperor Komei in 1868. This was committed by Tomomi Iwakura, who, along with a handful of nobility, as an agent of the Illuminati and the Rothschild family, carried out this crime and the subsequent cover-up, changing the course of history.[1]

Within a couple of years, the boy emperor Meiji was secretly done away with, and a "changeling" or impostor from a different Japanese clan was placed on the throne.

❖

ENDNOTE:

[1]Just before the "Meiji restoration," Emperor Komei opposed the supposed reforms of the restoration. Komei died of mysterious causes in 1866 or 1867 (depending which calendar you use), and his son Matsuhito or Mutsuhito (Meiji), 15 years old, promptly succeeded. Even official Japanese historians believe Komei was murdered, and that it was

Mutsohito, born in 1852, reigned as emperor of Japan from 1867 to 1912. Born a year before Commodore Perry (below) arrived in Japan with his contingent of U.S. warships, Mutsohito began his reign in a period of cultural confusion. The assassination of his father, Komei, in 1867 ended the Tokugawa Shogunate and began the restoration of imperial rule—with a new Western twist. Mutsohito adopted as his title "Meiji," which means "enlightened rule." Some claim Mutsohito was not the son of Komei, but an impostor. At any rate, under his reign the Meiji period began, characterized by the swift adoption by Japan of alien customs. Above he is shown with his consort, Empress Shoken (Haruko).

Emperor Komei's reign, on December 25, 1866 (the traditional calendar was still used in Japan at that time, and in Western chronology it would be January 1867), Emperor Komei was murdered by Prince Tomomi Iwakura and a number of co-conspirators.

Obviously, this was done as surreptitiously as possible.

The Rothschild-Sassoon dynasty, with the help of Freemasonry, under the guidance of Thomas Glover—who was outwardly a foreign ambassador for Britain to Japan—manipulated Iwakura and his followers to proceed with the dirty deed. Glover, a native of Aberdeen, Scotland, was a Freemason.

The reason for the murder was that Emperor Komei was strongly against Westernization and so-called modernization, and hence would have hindered their agenda—the rape of Japan for major corporate profit.

Despite the cover-up, the majority of the Japanese people now accept the murder of Emperor Komei as fact.

It is an irony of history that any discussion of Komei's assassination was illegal until Japan's defeat in World War II. From 1867 till 1945, any criticism, research or discussion of the assassination of Komei was treated as a crime against the nation—treason. Punishment was meted out at that level of severity.

This was made possible by the blatant fact that the creators of the Meiji regime were the actual assassins. Hence, Meiji was a government founded and funded by a group of murderers who should have been jailed and executed for regicide. Yet these criminals were running the show, an achievement made possible through deception and cover-up.

This writer came to this conclusion in February of 1991 and started to unravel the mystery surrounding the death of Emperor Komei as much as possible, given that it occurred so long ago and discussion of the topic was immediately suppressed. Only an exceedingly limited amount of documentation was known to be available.

My research was helped by the fact that just prior to the end of World War II, much information had become available concerning the nefarious role of the international banking community and the roots of psychological colonization and warfare.

The truth about Emperor Komei started to leak out after World

This rarely seen lithograph by Sarony of New York after a drawing by W. T. Petris depicts Commodore Mathew Perry meeting the Japanese imperial commissioners at Yokohama during his visit to Japan. The Japanese had no interest in opening relations with the United States, but American imperialists wanted Japan converted to a "corporate asset." Emperor Komei was assassinated for his anti-Westernization stance.

War II. But even then it was limited to specific historical reviews or in historical novels. The assassination never made any headlines.

The key for understanding is to contrast the positions taken by Gen. Saigo Takamori and Okubo Toshimichi. Okubo was a representative of complete modernization and Westernization and a full supporter of the Meiji regime. Saigo wanted to implement what he saw as the advantageous aspects of Westernization while retaining traditional Japanese, and specifically samurai, culture.

To this day, many historians see the Meiji period as an incomprehensible enigma and subject to historical blackout.

No matter how much research I did, it was as if burrowing through a dark tunnel, and even the light at the end of the tunnel was faint until I came upon the hidden conspiratorial incidents and statements as well as evidence of the participation of secret societies I had before dismissed. At last the pieces of the complicated puzzle began to come together.

This is what Fukuyama Kenichi wrote in his research of *Collected Writings* regarding growing Zionist influence in Japan (1979):

> Research on the Jewish [involvement in Japanese history] started in Japan in the Taisho period. But real research of any profundity started in the 10-year Showa period, especially post-Showa (1935 onward, just 10 years before the defeat of World War II). [Only then] was there a real growth and flourishing. Those 10 years were frantic and fruitful years for this research, and it is doubtful if this was ever repeated again in later periods. It is also of importance to understand that these books were published during wartime at the very edge of survival for the nation and hence this research is of a different dimension than that published post-WWII where capitalistic market success influences book publications.
>
> These published researches into this particular period are of a quality, motive and value that may never be repeated again in the history of Japan. Therefore it would be to my utmost chagrin even if one was to become lost and forgotten, and I sincerely hope that every single one of them will be preserved for the future generation's understanding.
>
> The main publication regarding this research occurred with the creation of the

Today perhaps Japan's leading nationalist and Revisionist, ***RYU OHTA*** was born in 1930 on the island of Sakhalin (Saharin to the Japanese). He entered the Tokyo University of Science in 1949. He studied Marxism on his own and became a Communist Party member. In 1952, having learned the truth about Josef Stalin, he denounced the party and left it. By 1967 he was a full-fledged anti-Marxist and opposed the Western "modernization" of Japan. He became active in the Japanese Green Party. After 1990, he concentrated on exposing the "New World Order." He has also been highly active in historical Revisionism and has been instrumental in introducing crucial historical documents and books from the West into Japan. Currently he heads the Tenjyu Society, the Society for the Critique of Contemporary Civilization and the Institute of Historical Verification. He is the chief editor of *Nippon Weekly* newsletter and heads the monthly seminars of the Nihon Gijyuku ("Research Association for Reviving Japan"). He has written well over 40 books and co-authored or translated about 30.

International Society of Politics and Economics in 1936. This society published two journals. One was *Research Regarding the International Secret Financial Power* (1936-1940, published yearly) and the other *Research on Jewry* (1941-1944, published monthly; 36 volumes). Currently, it is nearly impossible to obtain complete sets. However, after long perseverance I finally managed in the year 2000 to get complete sets, and made photocopies for dissemination.

Careful reading and rereading of these volumes enables one to re-create the process of how new information was gained and interpreted to make history logical and comprehensible, step by step.

According to a (translated) statement by the famed Japanese icon Admiral Yamamoto Eisuke published in *Research on Jewry* (1943):

> Judeo-Freemasonry was influential among many elites, and influenced and manipulated [Japan]. It was not able to apply the same pressure successfully on Takamori Saigo. No matter what tactic was applied, Saigo remained aloof and independent. That is why Saigo became doomed, defeated, and his life ended the way it did.[1]

This is what the naval general realized right in the middle of World War II, just two years prior to Japan's defeat.

If what Adm. Yamamoto realized contains any element of truth, then it can be understood that apart from Saigo, all the rest of Meiji's influential personalities were under some kind of secret society manipulations, most likely corrupt elements of Freemasonry.

Part of the problem for historical researchers once was that no one was allowed to delve into Japanese history before the famous Seinan War (Satsuma Rebellion, 1877), wherein Saigo lost his life, for that meant coming to the touchy subject of how the restoration was brought forth; the phony justifications for the new constitution, which enabled the birth of a Japanese empire, would have to have been scrutinized. The Westernized "Japanese" government could not afford such a risk. ❖

ENDNOTE:

[1]Saigo committed suicide after the 1877 defeat of his samurai rebellion in Kyushu.

GRACE EKI-OYAMA, a Japanese Revisionist, translated this article into English for THE BARNES REVIEW. She has been working with many English-speaking authors to translate their works into Japanese as well.

THE ENIGMATIC MEIJI PERIOD

The period known as "Meiji" (1868-1912) is an exceedingly strange and enigmatic anomaly in Japanese history, as can also be said of the subsequent era up till the present. The white- or European-dominated civilization—and with it, the New World Order conspiracy that infests Western civilization—avalanched and overpowered the other races. It was literally a "flooding," as so-called modern empirical science dominated and shaped human thoughts and subsequent modes of behavior. For Japan, it was a disaster.



TAKAMORI SAIGO
Initially supporting Meiji, he led a failed rebellion to cleanse Japan of Western influence.

Those in Japan opposing this enslavement of Nippon for the profit of the bankers and industrialists were destroyed and dominated without leniency or compromise. And those expressing doubts and criticism were labeled as "barbarians."

It appears that "modern statehood" was in reality nothing more than the conversion of Japan into a major corporate asset.

In this sense, Japan should never have become a "modern" nation." But it did.

As Ko Bunyu has said: "Japan completely turned itself inside out to become a Western culture."

What Japan had done long ago in adopting the Chinese civilization, now it had done again, only this time it changed its role model to the "Western" one.

The common sense of the Japanese people, prior to Meiji, was that they followed a natural flow of order—that which pertains to the flow of Nature herself. To unify with Nature as she was originally created and intended, as is in her being and in harmony—meant "the way of gods." That was the only way in order to flourish with the Sun and a criterion of value worthy to be defended.

And in order to protect this concept, one had to be severe to oneself and practice self-restraint with perseverance; which in essence, is nothing more than the way of the "Bushi-do" (the warrior/samurai code).

Much about traditional Japanese culture can be learned by reading good historical fiction. Yamaoka Souhachi (1907-1978) was a traditionalist writer of Japanese historical novels. Among his works are *Date Masamune, Shin Taiheiki* (three volumes) and the 26-volume *Tokugawa Ieyasu.* Yamaoka's concepts would be almost foreign to the current Westernized Japanese society, where egoism and egocentricity reign supreme. Yet, in January 2002, Kodanasho, perhaps the biggest publishing house in Japan, published Yamaoka's history series in portable pocket editions, meaning that there is still a significant audience of readers for his concepts of ancient Japanese culture and history. So all is not completely forgotten or lost in Japan. Among Yamaoka's concepts of how politics and social ethics should be is this nugget:

> The emperor or "Sumeramikoto," the child of heaven, must reflect and express the wishes of Nature and the Cosmos physically and be the leading example and be a moral symbol and embodiment of this intention, linking the energies of mankind and the heavenly realms; communicating to mankind on behalf of Nature and the Cosmos.

Here Yamaoka is stating the essence of Shintoism, reflecting the Jyomon (Jomon) spirit and legacy. (The word "Shinto" means "way of God.") ❖

Cultures Clash Over Cannibalism

CHINA HAS BEEN CIVILIZED FOR THOUSANDS OF years, but it is certainly a different kind of civilization from that of the West. For example, eating human flesh is a kind of traditional Chinese medicine and is deeply rooted in Chinese folklore. This anthropological article may require a strong stomach on the part of some.

BY ALI ALIABADI

When this writer first heard about Chinese cannibalism through Dr. William Pierce, I was incredulous. I thought Dr. Pierce was seriously mistaken, even insane. A few years elapsed and serendipitously I came across *Hungry Ghosts: Mao's Secret Famine* (Becker, 1996). While discussing the role cannibalism played in Mao's instigated famine, the author repeatedly quoted *Cannibalism in China* (Chong, 1990—out of print). In short, Dr. Pierce was right about Chinese history being replete with cannibalism. But he only skimmed the surface.

For instance, an Amazonian tribe had the habit of capturing enemy women, having them impregnated by their captured kin, and then consuming their newly born offspring. The bestial fury of torture before being eaten by the Fijians was worthy of note. In all scholarly fairness, the author cites the opposing view of the cultural anthropologist Dr. Arens (Arens, 1979), who maintains that cannibalism is a myth: it simply never existed. This we know is false.

Dr. Chong classifies cannibalism into two categories: survival and learned.

In Chinese history, survival cannibalism (SC) occurred during periods of drought, flooding, and the ravages of war, all of which incurred famine. Villagers traded children, killed them and ate them. One can justify survival cannibalism as a response to the inordinately brutal situation created by famine. Indeed, according to Chong, the Chinese dynastic annals going back to even before the former Han (3rd century B.C.) had an emperor proclaim an edict allowing the eating of children to alleviate the pangs of hunger during famine. Dr. Chong does a thorough job of citing cases of survival cannibalism.

On the other hand, learned cannibalism (LC) takes a lot more sophistry to explain away. Dr. Chong defines LC as an act that was not due to an issue of survival, but rather, revenge, filial piety, superstitious beliefs of the salubriousness of consuming human parts or just plain tastiness on the palate. Citing numerous cases, the author describes learned cannibalism. Dating back to Chinese ancient history, learned cannibalism was first used as a tactic of revenge. Apparently, the ancient Chinese believed that in order to show disdain, vindictiveness and noble hauteur toward one's enemy, the absolute punishment would go beyond death to include boiling the enemy to make a soup or a pickle of his flesh. After 100 days of pickling, the enemy's flesh was passed around for consumption.

Revenge LC was also done to test one's loyalty. For instance, an emperor would test the subservience of one of his noblemen by killing and pickling the nobleman's son or concubine, and seeing whether he would eat it. If he did, then he was loyal and would receive material benefits. If not, he would be executed and probably pickled and eaten in turn. Surprisingly, nowhere has revenge LC been censured in the dynastic annals.

In cases of extreme filial piety, adult offspring of parents and adult sons-in-law and daughters-in-law would cut off parts of their bodies to make a broth or soup to help their elders convalesce. This was highly lauded by some, and practiced especially during the Ming (A.D. 1368-1644) and Ching (A.D. 1644-1912) dynasties. It occurred so often that it became necessary for imperial edicts to be given forbidding such damaging acts of altruism.

As to the purported health benefits believed to arise from consuming human flesh, the Chinese have historically held that the liver and heart are the best and most health inducing organs. In addition, the blood of executed convicts was sought by women who believed they could cure their impotent husbands. The Chinese also ate human brains. They still eat placentas and even human embryos (fetuses).

Cannibalism is the eating of human flesh by humans. The word comes from the Arawakan language name for the Carib Indians of the West Indies. Here, an illustration from Theodor de Bry's *Great Voyages* depicts an American Indian cannibal feast. Critics say de Brys may have exaggerated his scenes of the cannibals somewhat to help justify further conquest of the Indians of the Americas.

Finally, eating of humans came to be practiced for the tastiness of human flesh, if for no other reason. Chinese epicureans discussed in detail how to cook human flesh, and what parts were the tastiest. It was believed baby- and woman-flesh were the most succulent. Baby boys are held to be the *non plus ultra* of salubrious anthropophagy. One could go to a Taiwanese market in the 19th century and purchase the flesh of non-Chinese minorities as easily as buying pork. Dr. Chong gives ample examples from seminal literary and pharmaceutical works discussing the tastiness of human flesh. ❖

BIBLIOGRAPHY:

Arens, W., *The Man-eating Myth: Anthropology and Anthropophagy*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 1979.

Becker, J., *Hungry Ghosts: Mao's Secret Famine*, New York, the Free Press, 1996.

Chong, Key Ray, *Cannibalism in China*, Wakefield, Longwood Acad., 1990.

An American of Iranian descent, ***ALI ALIABADI*** has an MS in chemistry and teaches in the Pacific Northwest. His passions, besides history, are classical music and opera.

Germany & Pearl Harbor

President Roosevelt's Successful Propaganda Effort Convinced America that Japan *and* Germany Carried Out the Dec. 7 Attack in Tandem

By Daniel W. Michaels

Since about 1937, at the onset of President Roosevelt's second term, the flow of American presidential and media propaganda against Adolf Hitler and the Third Reich had reached such a flood stage that, when on December 7, 1941, the Japanese attacked Pearl Harbor, the American people were deceived by their own government and an all-too-accommodating media to believe that the Nazis had either masterminded or actually directed the infamous attack. The U.S. government knew better, of course, but failed to inform the media because President Roosevelt wanted to keep Germany his No. 1 priority for defeat and destruction; Japan would have to wait until Hitler had been crushed.

German propagandists depicted the Pearl Harbor attack as the inevitable reaction of Japan to a decade of U.S. provocation and humiliation. This German magazine cover shows FDR, the sword swallower, impaling his tongue on a sword marked "Japan." There has never been any evidence to show that Germany participated in or helped plan the Japanese "sneak" attack, propaganda to the contrary.

Because the American people were uninformed and deliberately misinformed by their government and the controlled media, they did not know that Hitler's Germany had tried constantly to avoid war with Britain, and (even more so) sought not to provoke the United States. German proposals to resolve Polish attacks on German civilians and businesses in the Germany-Poland border region were being made right up to the day war broke out.

The Germans knew full well that after Great Britain had declared war it would mean the European border dispute, which had been created by unjust provisions of the Versailles Treaty, would eventually lead to a world war—with the United Kingdom's colonies and the United States, the former American colonies, all rallying around the crown. Encouraged by President Franklin Roosevelt to reject the peace proposals, Poland and Britain refused to negotiate. Consequently, within a few years a border dispute in Poland had exploded into World War II.

It is quite understandable that Britain, pursuant to her centuries-old policy of preventing any dominant power arising on the European continent, would have wanted to thwart Germany's economic and military strength. It is equally understandable that world Jewry would want to destroy the Third Reich for attempting to expel the Jews from Europe. How and why President Roosevelt wanted to lead the United States, whose people in poll after poll expressed their desire to stay out of the European war, into a "crusade" against Nazism, is partially explained below.

It is true, of course, that up until World War II American Anglo-Saxon Protestants, with close blood and cultural ties to Britain, still governed the United States. Commencing in the late 1930s, power began to be shared with America's Jewish community, whose wealth, media control and intelligence operations had won them access to the highest circles of the U.S. government. The Zionist reverence for Roosevelt was such that in the 1940 election 90% of the Jewish vote went for FDR. President Roosevelt acknowledged their support and reciprocated by appointing many Zionists to high office and to his personal "brain trust," whose bellicose advice he would follow later in his presidency.

Thus it was that the energies and efforts of the British, world Jewry and the Americans came to coalesce in opposition to National Socialism in Germany. It remained for President Roosevelt to find a way to rally the American people, who wanted no involvement in

foreign wars, to abandon their "isolationism" and join with Great Britain and Stalinist Russia in a crusade against Nazism. The president knew that the American people would not do this unless or until they were first attacked by one of the belligerents and the foreign war became a truly American war. It would take a terrible shock to stampede the American people into war, and their president was prepared to arrange it.

Conveniently for President Roosevelt, at about this same time the Empire of Japan, a "have not" nation of the day, like Germany, with almost no raw materials, limited territorial space, but with an industrious, talented people, undertook militarily to take possession of areas in the Far East that happened to be blessed with natural riches, especially oil and rubber. The "have" nations, primarily the British empire, the United States and Soviet Russia, already controlled most of the Earth's surface, enjoyed a very sparse population density, and preferred to keep it that way. Japan and Germany, on the other hand, whose people were crammed into a small area, could only think of "Lebensraum." But the "haves" would have none of that.

The stratagem President Roosevelt first decided upon to herd the American people onto the warpath against Germany was to provoke the German government into seemingly declaring war against the United States first. To implement this scheme, the president used the U.S. Atlantic Fleet to harass German shipping, draw a quarantine zone around Germany, report the positions of U-boats to the British navy, impound German ships, transfer 50 American destroyers to Britain, eventually to shoot German warships on sight, deliver "lend-lease" aid to Germany's enemies (Soviet Russia and England), and finally to militarily occupy Greenland and Iceland.[1]

"The U.S. fleet reported the positions of U-boats, attacked German warships, transferred destroyers to Britain, delivered 'lend-lease' aid to her enemies and occupied Greenland and Iceland."

All this was done while the United States declared herself to be neutral. However, when the Germans did not take the bait and declare a *casus belli*, Roosevelt turned to the Pacific Ocean, where, he hoped, Japan, now an ally of Germany under the Axis Tripartite Pact (Germany, Japan, Italy), which called for mutual assistance if any one member were attacked, might not be so cautious and patient.

In this hope President Roosevelt proved correct. After prolonged provocations and humiliations the Japanese took the bait and bombed Pearl Harbor. Thanks to the research done by Robert B. Stinnett, we now know the specific provocations the Roosevelt administration used to bait the Japanese. In October 1940 Lieutenant Commander Arthur H. McCollum, head, Far East Desk, Navy Intelligence, at his superiors' request, sent an eight-action memo, consisting of seven provocations and the bait, to two of Roosevelt's most trusted military advisors: Navy Capt. Walter S. Anderson, director of the Office of Naval Intelligence (ONI), and Dudley W. Knox, a naval strategist and head of the ONI library, listing eight hostile actions that he predicted would surely provoke the Japanese to attack. They were:

1) Make an arrangement with Britain for the use of British bases in the Pacific, particularly Singapore; 2) Make an arrangement with Holland for the use of base facilities and acquisition of supplies in the Dutch East Indies (Indonesia); 3) Give all possible aid to the Chinese government of Chiang Kai-shek; 4) Send a division of long-range heavy cruisers to the Orient, Philippines, or Singapore; 5) Send two divisions of submarines to the Orient; 6) Keep the main strength of the U.S. Fleet, now in the Pacific, in the vicinity of the Hawaiian Islands, i.e., in Pearl Harbor [the bait]; 7) Insist that the Dutch refuse to grant Japanese demands for economic concessions, particularly oil; 8) Completely embargo all trade with Japan, in collaboration with a similar embargo imposed by the British empire.

The Roosevelt administration, with great hopes, implemented all of Commander McCollum's recommendations, and on December 7, 1941, the patience of the Japanese was finally exhausted. Mr. Stinnett also insists that the U.S. government was reading both the Japanese Diplomatic Code Purple as well as their *Kaigun Ango* navy code before Pearl Harbor.[2]

An outraged and bloodied America went to war. This duplicitous stratagem of President Roosevelt of, on the one hand, promising to keep the American people out of the war, but, on the other hand, actually provoking it, was quickly recognized by American historians and labeled the "back door to war."[3] It only remained for the president to transfer America's newly found belligerent spirit and thirst for vengeance away from the Japanese and back to the hated Germans. To do this, the American media were all too willing accomplices.[4]

An important new book, essentially a companion and supplement to the works of the "back-door" historians, Richard F. Hill's *Hitler Attacks Pearl Harbor,*[5] now reveals how President Roosevelt was able to dupe the American people.[6]

To determine how the Roosevelt administration was able to redirect the emotions of the American people, enraged by the attack on Pearl Harbor, and keep them focused on Nazi Germany—the president's primary target—Hill examined all the public political statements of the president, the Congress and the press. He also drew extensively from FDR's speeches, the *Congressional Record*, public opinion polls plus newspapers and magazines from across the nation published in the period 1938-1945. Hill also challenges the received wisdom that the United States only called for the defeat and destruction of Germany after the German government declared war on us on December 11, 1941. In fact it is clear from the evidence Hill uncovers that the primary reason for openly waging war against Germany was because the United States public believed that Germany had conspired with Japan to attack Pearl Harbor. Germany's later declaration of war had absolutely nothing to do with America's declaration of war against Germany, a war America had been waging (while officially neutral) for years.

On December 8 the White House issued a formal statement accusing Germany of conspiratorial guilt of doing everything it could to push Japan into the war. From December 8 through 11 the U.S. press repeatedly reported, "President Roosevelt let the nation know last night that the U.S. was as much at war with Germany and Italy as it was with Japan. Typically, *The Cincinnati Enquirer* posed a rhetorical question and then supplied the desired answer: "Will any realistic man contend that the issue is merely between Japan and the United States? Too clearly, it is between the Axis powers and ourselves. Hitler is the real enemy, and it is all one battle. . . ."

The president made it clear that Germany was and is behind Japan in the war supposedly thrust upon us. Secretary of War Henry

L. Stimson and some highly placed military figures in the administration, as well as many citizens, wanted the president to declare war on Germany immediately. But Roosevelt demurred, preferring (for appearance's sake) to respond to a German declaration of war.

[Unfortunately, Hitler felt compelled to make a speech clarifying the situation, which the United States interpreted as a declaration of war (which it was not), giving FDR his pretext to demand that Congress declare war on Germany. Diplomatic historian Hill read Hitler's presumed war declaration and found it surprisingly informative and more of a defensive declaration against the undeclared war FDR initiated against Hitler and against the assault FDR planned against Europe according to Plan Rainbow Five, which envisioned a 10-million-member armed forces for America by 1943, of which 5 million would invade Europe to "get" Hitler.—Ed.]

In his speech of December 9 as reported by the *New York Times* (*NYT*) and other papers the president said:

> Germany and Italy are actually at war on the side of Japan, even if they had not made a formal declaration . . . your government *knows* [emphasis added] that for weeks Germany has been telling Japan that if Japan did not attack the United States, Germany would not share in dividing spoils with Japan when peace came. She was promised by Germany that if she came in she would receive the complete and perpetual control of the whole of the Pacific area—and that means not only the Far East, but also all of the islands in the Pacific, and also a stranglehold on the West coast of North, Central and South America. We know also that Germany and Japan are conducting their military and naval operations in accordance with a joint plan. That plan considers all peoples and nations which are not helping the Axis powers as common enemies of each and every one of the Axis powers. That is their simple and obvious grand strategy.
>
> A German attack against Algiers or Morocco opens the way to a German attack against South America and the canal [clearly an absurdity—Ed.]. . . . Remember always that Germany and Italy, regardless of any formal declaration of war, consider themselves at war with the United States at this moment just as much as they consider themselves at war with Britain or Russia. And Germany puts all the other republics of the Americas into the same category of enemies. (44)

The president concluded his December 9 speech by depicting the current global divide as a struggle of "good" versus "evil." In his speech Germany was presented either as a "coconspirator" or even as the "puppetmaster," controlling all of Japan's actions. This view of Japan as a mere puppet of Germany was evidenced even before war erupted on November 25, 1941, when Secretary of State Cordell Hull said: "Japan is in alliance with Hitler and is carrying out his policy of world aggression." The *Times* was quick to concur with this view, reporting on November 28: "[T]he decisive battle of our times will be fought with Hitler, and not with one of his satellites." (111)

Also on December 9 President Roosevelt proclaimed, "I, Franklin D. Roosevelt as president of the United States and as commander-in-chief of the Army and Navy of the United States, do hereby make public proclamation to all whom it may concern that an invasion or predatory incursion is threatened upon the territory of the United States by Germany." With this, U.S. authorities began the roundup of Japanese, German and Italian aliens and their incarceration in detention camps.

On December 15 in a White Paper on U.S.-Japan relations, as again reported by *The New York Times*, President Roosevelt not only repeated but also elaborated on the outrageous premise made in his earlier speech:

> The president offered evidence to show that Japan, Germany and Italy together arranged to effect joint plans for world dominance and mentioned how the three finally and openly concluded last year a treaty of alliance aimed at the United States. . . . Throughout the document, the president showed how the three totalitarian countries "reached an understanding to time their acts of aggression to their common advantage and to bring about the ultimate enslavement of the rest of the world." (47)

"Goering would have been astonished to learn, if he read U.S. newspapers, that his Luftwaffe was actively cooperating with the Japanese forces throughout the Pacific area, the Philippines & even China."

By conflating Germany with Japan and the Atlantic with the Pacific, Roosevelt set the tone for the irresponsible and stupid reportage that followed. Herman Goering would have been astonished to learn, if he read American newspapers, that his Luftwaffe was actively cooperating with the Japanese forces throughout the Pacific area, in the Hawaiian Islands, the Philippines and even China and Malaysia. Not only did eyewitnesses report four-engine German bombers operating in the Pacific, but Nazi torpedo planes, Stuka dive-bombers, and Messerschmitt aircraft as well were said to have been involved in attacks on U.S. bases. German pilots with blue eyes were also reported to have been at the controls. In cases where the Japanese operated their own aircraft, believed by the press to be of German design, the media pundits surmised that the Nazis must have had thousands of advisors in Japan training the "Nips."

Author Hill attributes part of the American people's ready acceptance of such demonstrable nonsense to the fact that, in those days, they trusted their government and, as proud Americans, underestimated the competence and effectiveness of the Japanese military. Before World War II the American image of Japan was that of a country that produced cheap, shoddy products, usually copies of something Western. There was of course a touch of white racial superiority thinking in this attitude, although respect for the Japanese fighting man would increase as the war progressed.

Most of these tales were based on eyewitness accounts, unidentified reliable sources, unconfirmed reports, insider information, a responsible source, and the like. *The Chicago Tribune* reported, "Many congressmen believed German pilots had carried out the damaging blitzkrieg in planes marked with swastikas." *The New York Times* and *Chicago Tribune* later ran a story the headline of which was "Stukas at Hawaii, eyewitness says."

A U.S. doctor (Bernard Witlin), in a letter to his sister (Mrs. Samuel Weisfeld), said Nazi pilots "were shot down together with

Japanese in the original attack." A report from Manila: "Japanazis' Tokyo air force is equipped by Nazis." In another fantasy, this written by James Reston and published in *The New York Times* read:

> It was reported in diplomatic quarters but not officially confirmed that the new German battleship *Tirpitz*, sister ship of the *Bismarck*, was operating with the Japanese navy in the Far East and took part in the sinking of the British ships *Prince of Wales* and *Repulse*. Coincidentally, another report, also unconfirmed, indicated that at least one new German aircraft carrier cooperated with the Japanese in the attack on the main U.S. base in Pearl Harbor. (63)

The London Daily Express and the INS reported that the Germans have never before been observed to use a combination of high-altitude bombers and low-flying torpedo planes to attack enemy surface ships as they had in the engagement with the *Repulse* and the *Prince of Wales*.

A few days later *The Times* carried another such fairy tale:

> Reports from Hawaii that four-engine Japanese planes took part in the assault on Pearl Harbor have not been confirmed. If such aircraft were used, the assumption is they flew from land bases. Four-engine bombers are not launched from airplane carriers. Germany, however, has two catapult ships that launch four-engine planes, and there is a possibility that they may be in the Pacific. Back in 1938, these two German surface vessels, the *Schwabenland* and the *Frieseland*, catapulted three four-engine 17-T planes from their decks many times for survey flights between Europe and America. (67)

The Cincinnati Enquirer contributed its wisdom concerning the naval air engagements in the Pacific:

> It is virtually a certainty the four-motored bombers that appeared over Hawaii were German planes, and perhaps they were actually flown by German crews. They were too big to take off from an aircraft carrier, and perhaps came from the Marshall Islands, mandated to Japan. The whole nature of the attack showed the Nazi technique. It is unlike any use of airplanes the Japanese made against China. It showed that in the Pacific the United States is fighting German brains. (67)

A typical eyewitness account of the attack was that of Mrs. Roy Shanaberger of New York City, reported in all seriousness by *The New York Times*, the U.S. "newspaper of record":

> Blue-garbed Japanese airmen sporting brown shirts with red swastikas manned some of the planes that swooped down on Pearl Harbor. "I myself [Mrs. Shanaberger] saw some airmen who had opened the tops of their overall one-piece blue suits, exposing the brown shirts underneath. There were swastikas on those shirts." Mrs. S. also reported that numbers of the Japanese aviators were wearing the insignias of American educational institutions—the McKinley High and the Univ. of Hawaii.

Someone on the *Times* who must have had a wry sense of humor added that poor Mrs. S. had suffered a concussion from a Japanese bomb. (88)

Another newspaper pundit, Pierre Huss, chief Berlin correspondent for the INS, who had once interviewed Hitler and "got a fleeting glimpse deep into his heart," explained how the Nazi plot was hatched:

> Hitler's pencil drew a circle around the spot identified as Pearl Harbor in Hawaii. He drew similar circles around tiny dots of Midway, Wake Island and Guam, denoting the Philippines with a cross for occupation by Japan. My guess is that the Dutch East Indies, Singapore and Australia were treated similarly. Each and every pencil mark called for action at a given moment by the Japanese fleet and air force. The consultations over details with the German General Staff and the Japanese military must have been long and lengthy, guided always by the Hitler plan. (145)

"When neither the president nor Secretary of the Navy Knox denied the veracity of any of this bogus reportage, the American people's hatred for Germany was understandably intensified, just as the president hoped."

When neither the president nor Secretary of the Navy Knox denied the veracity of any of this reportage, the American people's hatred for Germany was understandably intensified, just as the president hoped. It was simply not in the interest of the Roosevelt administration to dampen any of the alarming speculations that increased U.S. belligerency against Germany. These inane, but propagandistically useful, reports would continue into 1942, when the German declaration of war rendered them no longer necessary.

A national poll taken during the period December 11-19, 1941, proved the effectiveness of the government campaign to redirect public opinion. The poll found that, even though the Japanese had attacked Pearl Harbor and mauled the U.S. fleet moored there, 64% of Americans thought Germany the greater threat, while only 15% said Japan was.

Richard Hill concludes his fascinating book by noting that the government only retracted the propagandistic fantasy that Germany had planned and participated in the attack on Pearl Harbor after the war when Army Chief of Staff Gen. George C. Marshall informed Congress that in fact there was absolutely no evidence of coordination between Germany and Japan during the war. (186)

In his declaration of war speech on December 11, 1941, Hitler commented on his own reaction when he heard of the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor:

> President Roosevelt's shameless misrepresentations of truth and violations of law are unparalleled in history. I am sure that all of you [members of the Reichstag] have regarded it as an act of deliverance that Japan has finally acted [the Pearl Harbor attack] to protest against all this in the very way that this man had actually hoped for, and which should not surprise him now.
>
> After years of negotiating with this deceiver, the Japanese government has had its fill of being treated in such a humiliating way.
>
> All of us, the German people and, I believe, all other decent peoples around the world as well, regard this with deep appreciation.

Pearl Harbor: The Mother of All Conspiracies

• **27 January 1941**—Dr. Ricardo Shreiber, the Peruvian envoy in Tokyo, told Max Bishop, secretary of the U.S. embassy, that there would be a surprise attack on Pearl Harbor.

• **31 March 1941**—A Navy report predicted that if Japan made war on the U.S., they would strike Pearl Harbor without warning at dawn.

• **10 July 1941**—The U.S. military attache, Smith-Hutton, at Tokyo reported the Japanese navy was secretly practicing aircraft torpedo attacks against ships in Ariake Bay—a bay resembling Pearl Harbor.

• **July 1941**—The U.S. military attache in Mexico forwarded a report that the Japanese were constructing special small submarines for attacking the American fleet in Pearl Harbor.

• **10 August 1941**—Top British agent Dusko Popov told the FBI of a planned attack on Pearl Harbor and that it would be soon.

• **Early in the Fall**—Kilsoo Haan, an agent for the Sino-Korean People's League, told Eric Severeid of CBS that the Korean underground in Korea and Japan had positive proof that the Japanese were going to attack Pearl Harbor before Christmas. U.S. Senator Guy Gillette alerted FDR personally of this information.

• **24 September 1941**—The "bomb plot" message in J-19 code from Japan Naval Intelligence to Japan's consul general in Honolulu requesting grid of exact locations of ships for the benefit of bombardiers and torpedo pilots was deciphered. The chief of Naval Intelligence, Capt. Kirk, was replaced because he insisted on warning Hawaii.

• **October 1941**—Soviet top spy Richard Sorge informed the Kremlin that Pearl Harbor would be attacked within 60 days. Moscow informed him that this information was passed to the United States.

• **16 October 1941**—FDR humiliated Japan's ambassador and refused to meet with Premier Konoye. The desired result occurred: The war party, led by General Tojo, came into power in Japan.

• **1 November 1941**—JN-25 Order to continue drills against anchored ships and prepare to "ambush and . . . destroy the U.S. enemy" was sent.

• **13 November 1941**—German Ambassador to the U.S. Dr. Thomsen told the U.S. (IQ) that Pearl Harbor would be attacked.

• **14 November 1941**—The Japanese Merchant Marine was alerted that wartime recognition signals would be in effect Dec 1, 1941.

• **23 November 1941**—JN-25 Order—"The first air attack has been set for 0330 hours on X-day"—was deciphered.

• **25 November 1941**—The British decrypted the "Winds" setup message sent Nov. 19. The U.S. decoded it Nov. 28. It was a J-19 Code message that there would be an attack and the signal would come over Radio Tokyo as a weather report.

• **25 November 1941**—Secretary of War Stimson noted in his diary "FDR stated that we were likely to be attacked perhaps as soon as next Monday."

• **25 November 1941**—Navy Department ordered all U.S. trans-Pacific shipping to take the southern route. PHH, 1946 Congressional Report.

• **25 November 1941**—Yamamoto radioed this order in JN-25: "The task force, keeping its movements strictly secret and maintaining close guard against submarines and aircraft, shall advance into Hawaiian waters and upon the very opening of hostilities, shall attack the main force of the United States Fleet in Hawaii and deal it a mortal blow." (PHA Congressional Hearings Report, vol. 1 p. 180, transcript pps. 437-8)

• **26 Nov. 3 a.m. 1941**—Churchill sent an urgent secret message to FDR. This message caused the greatest agitation in D.C. Stark testified under oath that "On November 26 there was received specific evidence of the Japanese intention to wage offensive war against Great Britain and the United States." C.I.A. Director William Casey, who was in the OSS in 1941 wrote: "The British had sent word that a Japanese fleet was steaming east toward Hawaii." Washington, in an order of Nov. 26 ordered both U.S. aircraft carriers, the *Enterprise* and the *Lexington,* out of Pearl Harbor "as soon as practicable." This order stripped Pearl of 50 planes or 40% of its already inadequate fighter protection. FDR secretly cabled Churchill him that afternoon: "Negotiations off. Services expect action within two weeks."

• **26 November 1941**—Cordell Hull sent an ultimatum that Japan must withdraw from Indochina and all China. FDR's Ambassador to Japan called this "The document that touched the button that started the war."

• **27 November 1941**—Secretary of War Stimson sent a confused and confusing hostile action possible or "DO-DON'T" warning. The Navy Court found this message directed attention away from Pearl Harbor, rather than toward it.

• **29 November 1941**—Cordell Hull sat in Lafayette Park across from the White House with UPI reporter Joe Leib and showed him a message stating that Pearl Harbor would be attacked on December 7.

• **29 November 1941**—An FBI embassy wiretap made an intercept of an uncoded Japanese telephone conversation between Ambassador Kurusu in D.C. and Tokyo Chief Foreign Officer K. Yamamoto: "Zero hour is December [7] at Pearl Harbor." (U.S. Navy translation Nov. 29, 1941.)

• **30 November 1941**—The Japanese fleet was radioed this Imperial Naval Order (JN-25): "Japan, under the necessity of her self-preservation and self-defense, has reached a position to declare war on the United States of America." U.S. ally China recovered it in plain text from a shot-down Japanese plane near Canton. This caused an emergency [Japanese] conference because they knew the Chinese would give the information to Britain and America. In a related J-19 message the next day, the U.S. translated elaborate instructions from Japan dealing in precise detail with the method of internment of American nationals in Asia.

Because of the somewhat ambiguous wording of the official German declaration of war, it was believed by some observers that it was not so much a war declaration as an attack on the belligerent and carefully crafted policies of President Roosevelt—intended to get Germany or Japan to attack America first.

It was obviously written and delivered with great reluctance, as something that simply had to be done. It is quite possible that the German government would have gladly withdrawn the declaration if the United States side made any effort at reconciliation. The German declaration of war read:

The government of the United States of America, having violated in the most flagrant manner and in ever increasing measure all rules of neutrality in favor of the adversaries of Germany and having continually been guilty of the most severe provocations toward Germany ever since the outbreak of the European war, brought on by the British declaration of war against Germany on September 3, 1939, has finally resorted to open military acts of aggression.

On September 11, 1941, the president of the United States of America publicly declared that he had ordered the

American Navy and Air Force to shoot on sight any German war vessel. In this speech of October 27, 1941, he once more expressly affirmed that order was in force.

Acting under this order, American war vessels have systematically attacked German naval forces since early September 1941. Thus, American destroyers, as for instance, the *Greer*, the *Kearny* and the *Reuben James*, have opened fire on German submarines according to plan. The American secretary of the Navy, Mr. Knox, himself confirmed that the American destroyers attacked German submarines.

Furthermore, the naval forces of the United States, under order of their government and contrary to international law, have treated and seized German merchant ships on the high seas as enemy ships.

The German government therefore establishes the following facts:

Although on her part [Germany] has strictly adhered to the rules of international law in her relations with the United States during every period of the present war, the government of the United States from initial violations of neutrality has finally proceeded to open acts of war against Germany. It has thereby created a virtual state of war.

The government of the Reich consequently breaks off diplomatic relations with the United States and declares that in these circumstances brought about by President Roosevelt, Germany, too, as from today, considers herself as being in a state of war with the United States of America.[6]

"Adolf Hitler's speech was not a declaration of war against America. Technically it was nothing else but a statement of facts created by President Roosevelt's aggressive policy."

The very next day, December 12, the following strange retraction/denial story was distributed by the Associated Press and appeared in *The Chicago Tribune* and other papers.

> Spokesmen at the Wilhelmstrasse declared today that Adolf Hitler's speech yesterday was not a declaration of war against the United States. Technically, they said it was "nothing else but a statement of facts created by President Roosevelt's aggressive policy"—a "registration of a condition already existing between the United States and Germany." (Hill, 196)

CONCLUDING COMMENTS

Through unprecedented provocations and deceptions, President Roosevelt managed to lead the American people into war against Germany by baiting the Japanese, an ally of Germany, into attacking Pearl Harbor, but nonetheless accusing Germany of being responsible. It was the old "bait and switch" game as applied to foreign affairs. The Germans had seen it played before in Wilson's "Fourteen Points." In so doing, President Roosevelt deliberately ignored the will of the American people, preferring to follow the wishes of his personal advisors whose own hostility toward Germany predated the president's. The president proved himself a Machiavellian of the highest order, a master of duplicity. How this permanently affected the American people's faith in the veracity of their president, the credibility of their media, and the competence of their intelligence services can be seen today.

Many historians have tried to determine if President Roosevelt had any serious political philosophy, but without success. Perhaps, as it now seems, the president was all surface glitter—a pragmatist to be sure, with a strong touch of narcissism. He thoroughly enjoyed being president and a world figure.

Perhaps President Truman's evaluation of his predecessor is the best we can do: "Inside, he was the coldest man I ever met. He didn't care about you or me or anyone else in the world on a personal level, as far as I could see. But he was a great president. He brought this country into the 20th century."

If President Roosevelt will indeed be remembered as a great president, it can never be forgotten that his greatness was bought at a very high price—the destruction through bombing of many historic cities and landmarks of Europe, the enslavement of Eastern Europe under Communism for 50 years, and the death of millions of people in a world war we could have easily avoided. ❖

ENDNOTES:

[1]All of these American hostile actions against Germany were undertaken before the United States had even entered the war and before the endless war crimes and atrocities attributed to Germany were invented. Some critics of the Roosevelt administration believe that Germany had to be painted in the worst possible way in order to justify our alliance with Stalinist Russia, as a result of which the eastern half of Europe was enslaved for 50 years and the American people burdened financially and militarily for the same period of time. American hostile actions against Germany became the basis for the German declaration of war. They are also described by Patrick Abbazia in his book *Mr. Roosevelt's Navy: The Private War of the U.S. Atlantic Fleet, 1939-1942*, published by the Naval Institute Press, Annapolis, Md., 1975.

[2]Stinnett, Robert B., *Day of Deceit: The Truth about FDR and Pearl Harbor*, Touchstone edition published by Simon & Schuster, 2000, 8, 156, 261. Mr. Stinnett served in the U.S. Navy from 1942 to 1946, where he earned 10 battle stars and a Presidential Unit Citation.

[3]For example: Tansill, Charles, *Back Door to War: Roosevelt Foreign Policy, 1933-1941*, Regnery Publisher, Chicago, Ill., 1952; Beard, Charles A., *President Roosevelt and the Coming of the War, 1941: A Study in Appearances and Realities*, Yale University Press, New Haven, 1948; Barnes, Harry Elmer, *Perpetual War for Perpetual Peace: A Critical Examination of the Foreign Policy of Franklin Delano Roosevelt and Its Aftermath*, Caxton Publishers, Caldwell, Idaho, 1953.

[4]Although the degree of Zionist control of the media at that time had not reached the level it did after the war (See Buchanan, Patrick J., *A Republic Not an Empire*, 336), the Polish ambassador to the United States, Count Jerzy Potocki, in February 1938 reported to Warsaw: "The pressure of the Jews on President Roosevelt and on the State Department is becoming ever more powerful. The Jews are right now the leaders in creating a war psychosis which would plunge the entire world into war and bring about general catastrophe." In January 1939, Ambassador Potocki wired Warsaw: "The feeling now prevailing in the United States is marked by a growing hatred of Fascism and, above all, of Chancellor Hitler and everything connected with Nazism. Propaganda is mostly in the hands of the Jews who control almost 100% radio, film, daily and periodical press. Although this propaganda is extremely coarse and presents Germany as black as possible—above all religious persecution and concentration camps are exploited—this propaganda is nevertheless extremely effective since the public here is completely ignorant and knows nothing of the situation in Europe."

[5]Hill, Richard F., *Hitler Attacks Pearl Harbor: Why the United States Declared War on Germany*, L. Rienner Publisher, Boulder, Co., 2002, 227 pages.

[6] See *FDR: The Other Side of the Coin—How We Were Tricked Into World War II* by former congressman Hamilton Fish Jr. (softcover, 265 pages, $18 minus 10% for TBR subscribers plus $3 S&H inside the U.S.), available from TBR BOOK CLUB, P.O. Box 15877, Washington, D.C. 20003.

[7]"Hitler's Declaration of War Against the United States," *The Journal of Historical Review*, Vol. 8, No. 4, 1988-89, 389-416.

DANIEL W. MICHAELS was a translator of Russian and German texts for the Department of Defense and the Naval Maritime Intelligence Center. He is a valued contributor to TBR.

TBR 2006 CONFERENCE AUDIOS AND VIDEOS ON SALE NOW!

INGRID ZÜNDEL
Wife of Ernst Zündel

MICHAEL COLLINS PIPER
Nationalist author

L. MICHELE RENOUF
Revisionist activist

WILLIAM RODRIGUEZ
Last man out of WTC

FREDRICK TÖBEN
Revisionist scholar

The 2006 AFP-TBR Labor Day Conference on Video & Audio!

SEE and HEAR the amazing speakers at The Fifth International Conference on Authentic History, Real News & the First Amendment!

Over Labor Day weekend a fascinating array of speakers from around the globe assembled—in the presence of more than 300 like-minded truth-seekers—to discuss and debate some of the most interesting and controversial topics of our day.

SPONSORED JOINTLY BY *American Free Press*, THE BARNES REVIEW, Freedom Law School and a dozen other groups, this important gathering has been captured for your viewing (and/or listening) pleasure **and** education . . .

Eight different forums—available in video (both VHS & DVD) and on audio (audio cassette only). Mix and match. Or buy the complete set. Take your pick. Use the coupon on page 34 of this issue of TBR.

Every forum a winner—educational, exciting, eye-opening, ever an intellectual challenge.

Ideal for introducing friends and family (and even the most outrageous skeptics) to "forbidden" topics that they won't hear discussed anywhere else. . . .

ELLEN MARIANI
9-11 widow

MARK GLENN
Nationalist author

TITO HOWARD
USS *Liberty* expert

DALE CROWLEY
Anti-Zionist pastor

RAY GOODWIN
Revisionist academic

Forum #1 (DVD/VHS/Audio Cassette)

LECTURE 1: Famed populist publisher **Dr. James K. Warner** discusses the little-known subject of "Proportional Representation," a unique electoral system that could break up the two-party monopoly in America.

LECTURE 2: **Alex G. Hassinger**, founder of Nordwave, tells us how we can protect European-American heritage in America by thinking about who we let into our nation. Veteran white civil rights activist and attorney **Sam Dickson** discusses the failed policy of U.S. interventionism. Mr. Dickson wrote the very popular article "Smashing the Icon of Lincoln" in the January/February issue of TBR.

LECTURE 3: TBR contributing board member **Dr. Harrell Rhome** takes a look at the realities of modern-day warfare, contrasting it with the old style of fighting and dying of global empires. From First Generation warfare (armies in pretty uniforms standing and shooting at each other in rows) to Fourth Generation Warfare (the unbeatbale guerrillas of Iraq, Afghanistan and Lebanon), Rhome tells us why modern-day Western nation states are on the decline and how they can survive if they have the will to do so.

Forum #2 (DVD/VHS/Audio Cassette)

9-11 PANEL: **William Rodriguez**, the last man out of the North Tower of the World Trade Center, tells his gripping saga of survival and how the mainstream media and the U.S. government have suppressed the truth at every turn. Crusading 9-11 activist **Ellen Mariani**, widow of Louis Mariani, who was on one of the airliners reported to have crashed into the trade center, gives her first-hand account of governmental harassment and suppression. But this gutsy gal won't shut up like she's been told to by the powers that be. Rodriguez and Mariani are joined by 9-11 truth seekers **Victor Thorn**, **Lisa Guliani** and **Dave von Kleist**.

LECTURE 2: **Dr. Doug Rokke**—soldier, scientist, scholar and patriot—has come forth to expose the truth of how depleted uranium from U.S. weapons has been spreading sickness and tragedy—and will continue to do so for generations. He ought to know: he was in charge of the program to deploy uranium weaponry and also the make sure those troops exposed to the deadly munitions got help. Here is his story—one the U.S. government doesn't want you to hear!

Forum #3 (DVD/VHS/Audio Cassette)

LECTURE 1: **Prof. Ray Goodwin**, a longtime college professor attacked for refusing to spread the lies he found in textbooks, tells of the censorship of honest historians who present politically incorrect—but historically correct—facts and the destructive nature of academic bias. He focuses on his classroom experience teaching the truth—and the heat he took for it.

LECTURE 2: **Tito Howard**, who has produced several films on the *USS Liberty* incident, details the 1967 attack on the *Liberty*, why the U.S. government covered it up and his efforts to get American politicians to publicly come clean about it. He has the backing of some high-ranking military officers. Find out why he thinks politicians are scared to tell what they know about Israel's murder of 34 U.S. servicemen and wounding of many more.

LECTURE 3: **Joan Veon**, a courageous independent investigator, unmasks the many intrigues of the global elite. She has attended dozens of the meetings of the Trilaterals, CFR boys and the Bilderbergers. What does she know they'd rather keep under wraps?

LECTURE 4: **Dr. Virginia Abernethy**, a professor of anthropology and a European-American rights activist, exposes the true numbers of illegal aliens in America and the harm they are causing. The statistics she unveils at this conference will shock and astound you. Hear her unmask the lies of the U.S. Census Bureau in person!

Forum #4 (DVD/VHS/Audio Cassette)

LECTURE 1: **John Stadtmiller**, the driving force behind the Republic Broadcasting Network, recounts his own efforts to grow alternative media in today's politically correct world. John presently offers the most politically incorrect radio programs in America and has been a staunch supporter of the efforts of honest media.

LECTURE 2: **Eustace Mullins**, the well-known populist author and lecturer, offers colorful anecdotes about his years battling corruption in places both high and low including the Federal Reserve. Hear of his interviews with American poet and outspoken anti-FDR personality Ezra Pound and his encounters with Paul Cohen, Federal Reserve chairman.

LECTURE 3: **Peymon Mottahedeh** of Freedom Law School tells us why we must know our rights and use them to save the Constitution. He also offers us inside information on how we can battle the IRS and win.

LECTURE 4: **Steve Lynch** offers revelations about the secretive science of mind control by the CIA, Mossad, KGB etc. Think mind control is just a figment of the imagination? Guess again when you hear Lynch's presentation on modern-day remote mind control operations.

Forum #5 (DVD/VHS/Audio Cassette)

LECTURE 1: **Former FBI agent Ted Gunderson** tells us about the millions of missing kids in America and why no one is investigating. This conspiracy goes right to the top of our own government. Think the recent antics of congressional page stalker Rep. Tom Foley are unique? Guess again!

LECTURE 2: Famed world-traveling lecturer, author and investigative

DOUG ROKKE
"DU" expert

HESHAM TILLAWI
Talk show host

EUSTACE MULLINS
Populist author

NADER TALEBZADEH
Iranian filmmaker

VIRGINIA ABERNETHY
Immigration expert

journalist **Michael Collins Piper**, tells us of the long history—and still ongoing efforts—of the ADL, FBI, CIA and others to sabotage the patriot movement from within. Piper has met the beast firsthand: He was the one who unmasked professional ADL agent Roy Bullock in the pages of the old *Spotlight* newspaper. But that's not all—Piper also delivers a special message from Malaysian author Matthias Chang, whose works have been published and advertised in the pages of THE BARNES REVIEW.

LECTURE 3: **Hard-hitting** anti-Zionist Christian evangelist Dale Crowley, who has been a special target of the ADL and other pro-Zionist groups, tells us of the state of free speech in America and why we must fight Zionism at every turn. Wonder why Dale's radio show was recently taken off the air through efforts of the ADL? Find out from this master orator and longtime pro-Christian activist himself!

Forum #6 (DVD/VHS/Audio Cassette)

Lecture 1: **Dr. Ingrid Rimland Zündel**, the keynote speaker of this conference, tells us of the fight of her husband, Ernst Zündel, against the thought police and her own gripping remembrances of post-WWII Germany. Mrs. Zündel is more than just "the wife of thought criminal Ernst Zündel." Find out why the worldwide censors of free speech want her behind bars too!

Lecture 2: **Historian Harry Cooper**, founder of Sharkhunters International and *KTB Magazine*, tells why he believes Martin Bormann and Hitler escaped from the Berlin bunker. Cooper, recognized as the foremost American authority on WWII submarine warfare, maintains the most extensive network of surviving U-Boat and sub captains and has been privy to some amazing—but true—stories over the years. Hear his exciting tales of WWII intrigue.

Lecture 3: **Dr. Fredrick Töben**, known as Revisionism's world ambassador, tells of his experiences in Iran at the holocaust conference and the promising state of Revisionist history in the world today. Töben, who has been jailed in Germany and is under investigation in Australia for publishing historical research relating to the holocaust, is a charming and intelligent man who will convince you that Revisionists are not what the mainstream media and Oprah Winfrey say they are. They are instead serious and thoughtful scholars on the cutting edge of history!

Forum #7 (DVD/VHS/Audio Cassette)

LECTURE 1: **Dr. Udo Walendy**, jailed for thought crimes several times in Germany, discusses the causes of World War I and the aftermath of the Versailles Treaty. Dr. Walendy, now in his 80s, is barred from talking about the holocaust in Europe. He has already been persecuted for raising rational questions about the guilt question of WWII. This brave octogenarian historian traveled from Germany for this lecture. See what he had to say!

HOLOCAUST PANEL: **Paul Fromm** (head of the Canadian Association for Free Expression and a target of Zionist thugs in his home country of Canada), **Lady Michele Renouf** (a sophisticated British researcher), **Richard Krege** (an Australian holocaust researcher of impeccable credentials), **Eustace Mullins** (expert on the Christian holocaust of WWII), **Willis Carto** (longtime Revisionist publisher, author and anti-Zionist activist) and **Fredrick Töben** (the founder of the Adelaide Institute), gather together on one dynamic "superstar" panel to talk about a subject they would be unable to discuss in more than 10 "free" nations in the West. Find out what it is about this panel that sent shivers down the back of the thought police!

Forum #8 (DVD/VHS/Audio Cassette)

LECTURE 1: **Lady Michele Renouf**, a member of the holocaust panel above, discusses jailed truth-telling historians and why they have become the number one target of those wishing to destroy Revisionism. Lady Renouf, who has dedicated much of her time over the past year to trying to get jailed Revisionist David Irving freed, also brings us up to date on other imprisoned Revisionists and what the chances are they will be freed soon.

THE MIDEAST PANEL: Jewish attorney **Mark Lane**, Palestinian Muslim talk show host **Hesham Tillawi**, anti-Zionist Jewish Rabbi **Yisroel Dovid Weiss**, Lebanese-American, anti-Zionist author **Mark Glenn**, Iranian Muslim filmmaker **Nader Talebzadeh**—producer of the most popular film in Iranian history—interestingly enough about the life of Jesus—plus Iranian-born American constitutional activist **Peymon Mottahedeh** and TBR publisher **Willis A. Carto**—all take the gloves off in this amazing example of free speech at its very best. This panel has been called the highlight of the entire conference and more than one conference attendee was brought to tears by the tales told by these amazing gentlemen. Not even at the United Nations will you ever see Jews, Muslims and Christians together discussing the important topics covered in this energetic panel lecture.

GET ALL EIGHT OF THESE FORA on DVD, VHS or Audio Cassette or buy them individually. The whole set of 8 fora on DVD or VHS is $180 inside the U.S. No charge for S&H. The full set is $200 outside the U.S. The full set of 8 AUDIO CASSETTES is $80 inside the U.S.—$90 outside the U.S.

TURN OVER FOR ORDERING COUPON!

You Don't Have to Miss a Single Minute of the 2006 Conference!

JOHN STADTMILLER
RBN Network founder

HARRELL RHOME
Revisionist philosopher

JOAN VEON
Anti-globalist reporter



UDO WALENDY
Revisionist scholar

VICTOR THORN
9-11 researcher

ORDER THE COMPLETE SET OF AUDIOS & VIDEOS OR BUY INDIVIDUALLY!

Order the complete set of **EIGHT VHS or DVD videos**, archiving the AFP-TBR FIFTH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE for posterity, **$180** ($200 outside the U.S.). **Individual DVDs or VHS tapes are $24.95 each.** Order the complete set of **EIGHT Audio Cassettes for $80** ($90 outside the U.S.). **Individual Audio Cassette tapes are $14.95 each.** Send payment to AFP, 645 Pennsylvania Avenue SE, Suite 100, Washington, D.C. 20003 or call **1-888-699-NEWS (6397)** toll free to charge to Visa or MasterCard. No S&H charges inside the U.S.! (Outside U.S. add $3 per item for S&H.)

AFP-TBR 2006 Free Speech Conference Audios & Videos Now Available

(Allow 4 weeks delivery time.) Order ASAP! Once we sell out they are gone!

Use this Form to: Order Conference Videos & Audios, Subscribe to TBR or AFP. Help Ensure TBR & AFP will hold another conference next year too!

NOTE: See the ad above for content. VHS, DVD, AUDIO CASSETTES have corresponding lectures.

❑ **Send me the complete 8-VIDEO, 12-hour CONFERENCE VIDEO SET** for $180. (Outside the U.S. cost is $200.) **CIRCLE ONE: VHS DVD**

❑ **Send me the following SINGLE VIDEOS** @ $24.95 each. *(circle selections)*

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 CIRCLE ONE: VHS DVD

❑ **Send the complete 8-AUDIO CONFERENCE SET** for $80. (Outside the U.S. cost is $90). **NOT AVAILABLE IN CD**

❑ **Send me the following SINGLE AUDIOS** @ $14.95 each: *(circle)*

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 AVAILABLE IN AUDIO CASSETTE ONLY

❑ **I will not be ordering the video set today, but I would like to help** AMERICAN FREE PRESS continue its vital work of fighting "political correctness" in news and preserving FREE SPEECH in America. I know these conferences are expensive. I want you to have another one. I am enclosing a donation to AFP for:

❑ $5 ❑ $10 ❑ $25 ❑ $50 ❑ $100 ❑ $250 ❑ $500 ❑ Other $ _____

❑ **Begin my NEW one-year subscription to American Free Press for $59** (52 issues) **OR** ❑ **two years of AFP** for $99 (104 issues). Subscribe today and take 10% off your audio/video purchase! (Domestic)

❑ **Begin my NEW one-year subscription to TBR Magazine for 46** (SIX 64-page issues plus SIX *TBR Newsletters*) **OR** ❑ **2 years of TBR** for $78. Subscribe today and take 10% off your audio/video purchase! (U.S. price)

PAYMENT OPTION: ❑ Check/MO ❑ Visa ❑ MasterCard

CARD # _____ EXP. _____

NAME _____

CITY, STATE, ZIP _____

I ENCLOSE: $ _____ *(TBR subscribers take 10% off video/audio purchase.)*

RETURN THIS FORM WITH PAYMENT TO: AMERICAN FREE PRESS, 645 Pennsylvania Ave. SE, Suite 100, Washington, D.C. 20003 or call our toll free orders line at **1-888-699-6397** and charge to Visa or MC. VBR17

September 11, 2001: Today's 'Pearl Harbor'?

THERE IS A SCHOOL OF THOUGHT that claims the 9-11 attacks were intricately orchestrated and went off like clockwork. Supposedly only a supergenius could have managed such a magnificent crime, according to this school. But as you will learn from this article, the 9-11 mass murder was botched and bungled at many junctures. The "intricate" timing turned out to be way off. Sept. 11 could have been far worse had the event sequence matched the conspirators' timetable more precisely. And when things went "agley" for the conspirators, they had to improvise as best they could, making still further mistakes as they went along. It is these crime "anomalies" we must examine more closely. They are the open doors through which truth seekers will—and must—enter the 9-11 investigation.

BY VICTOR THORN

When the psychopathic masterminds who were ultimately behind 9-11 planned their "terrorist" strikes on America, they wanted them to be executed with lightning-quick precision. They wanted it to appear as if 19 Muslim kamikazes—unknown to any governmental entity—coordinated the nefarious "airliner hijacking attacks" entirely on their own.

On paper at least, the entire event would have been over before anyone knew what hit him. There would not have been a plethora of glaring errors, which ultimately allowed people to realize that 9-11 was (in part) an inside job, or that anyone other than some fanatical Muslims was directing the crime.

But lo and behold, as was the case with previous examples of state-sponsored terrorism, the "brains" behind the military might fell prey to utter incompetence and failed to pull off their caper without a hitch. What, you may wonder, do I precisely mean? Well, on April 19, 1995, the Alfred P. Murrah Federal Building in Oklahoma City was supposed to have been completely demolished by ordnances set off inside the structure by government operatives who were working out of Elohim City (namely Andreas Strassmeir and his henchmen). But much to their dismay, many of the explosives inside the building did not detonate. So they had a disaster on their hands when bomb squads were filmed by local television cameramen carrying these unexploded devices out of the building. At that moment, the whole made-up story of Timothy McVeigh being a "lone nut" in a rented Ryder truck filled with ANFO (ammonium nitrate and fuel oil) became a bad joke. That was just one conspiracy blooper from that "terrorist attack."

"If the planners had nailed everything perfectly, I would not be writing these words today, and hundreds of 9-11 investigators would not have exposed the crimes for everyone to see."

Similarly, an Egyptian army officer named Edam Salem was recruited as an informant by the FBI to infiltrate an extremist organization that intended to bomb the World Trade Center towers in 1993. He was also—at least according to the original plan—to have helped them build their bomb, then clandestinely substitute a harmless powder for the real explosives. But at the last moment [verified through hundreds of hours of secret tape recordings Salem made of his conversations with law enforcement officials], FBI Supervisor John Anticev told Salem not to interfere with the crime, and thus on February 26, 1993, the WTC complex was bombed for the first time. The biggest blooper, though, was that the saboteurs did not properly position their explosives, and the resulting structural damage was only minimal (at least in comparison to that which occurred in 2001).

In addition, how could we forget Israel's deliberate, savage attack on the *USS Liberty*, which took placed on June 8, 1967? Ideally, the Israel Defense Forces (IDF) intended to bombard this American ship (which was floating peacefully in international waters) by air and sea; sink it; then blame it on the Egyptians, against whom Israel was fighting during the Six-Day War. But miraculously the *Liberty* stayed afloat, and an incredible embarrassment and cover-up developed that implicated President Lyndon Baines Johnson, Defense Secretary Robert McNamara and Israel's Moshe Dayan, among others. Another blooper for a bunch of "satanic" conspirators.

Last but not least, who could overlook the logistical nightmare that grew out of the botched John F. Kennedy assassination? Lee Harvey Oswald was supposed to be implicated as a "lone nut" assassin, who offed the commander-in-chief, no questions asked. But

after this broad-daylight slaying took place, the real "satanic" culprits had to dance around Arlen Specter's preposterous "magic bullet" theory, a last-minute motorcade alteration with no Secret Service protection around the president's limousine, a triangulation of multiple shooters and then the ludicrously sloppy hit on Oswald by longtime mobster Jack Ruby (Rubinstein). The whole affair turned out to be one monstrous conglomeration of bloopers.

This same amateurishness is applicable to the 9-11 "terrorist attacks" in New York City and the Washington D.C. area. If the planners had nailed everything perfectly, I would not be writing these words today, and hundreds of "conspiracy theorists" worldwide would not have exposed the crimes for everyone to see (at least those who care enough to see).

SIX SIMPLE FACTORS

Yes, if only six simple factors had worked according to plan, the monsters behind 9-11 might have gotten away with their treasonous crime against our nation without anybody being the wiser. Then they could have conveniently blamed it on their fall guys (Osama bin Laden and his al Qaeda organization), and since there would supposedly be no loose ends or unanswered questions, everyone would simply accept the "official" explanation of events, and that would be that. (For the most part, that is what happened anyway.)

But whether it was due to bad karma, a negative fate associated with doing evil, or simple ineptitude, yet again the "brain trust" behind this false-flag terrorist attack screwed up in another cluster of bloopers. Yes, they dropped the ball so horribly on the morning of September 11, 2001, that their bloody hands and dirty deeds will forever haunt them, just as happened with OKC, WTC in 1993, the *USS Liberty* and JFK (not to mention still other botched operations such as the murders of Robert Kennedy, Martin Luther King, the Waco church holocaust and the attack on our military men at Pearl Harbor, deliberately provoked and allowed by Franklin D. Roosevelt). [See previous article.—Ed.]

With this introduction in mind, let us examine the six primary tactical blunders that transpired on the morning of September 11, 2001.

9-11 BLOOPER ONE: FLIGHT 93'S DELAYED TAKEOFF

In the perfect (albeit grotesquely twisted) world of those atop the global government organized crime syndicate who planned 9-11, Flight 93 and Flight 77 were supposed to have taken off almost simultaneously (at 8:01 and 8:10 a.m. respectively) from Newark and Dulles International Airports. According to researcher Dave McGowan, in this way they both could have struck their targets in Washington, D.C. (specifically the Pentagon and quite possibly the White House or U.S. Capitol) before the World Trade Center towers in Manhattan were demolished.

This point is of vital importance, because if the Pentagon were hit at or before 8:46 a.m. when Flight 11 careened into WTC 1, the conspirators would not have had to deal with an enormous unforeseen problem: the U.S. military's inordinately lengthy stand-down wherein the jet fighters were grounded for over an hour instead of being immediately dispatched. This major snafu resulted from Flight 93's takeoff being delayed from 8:01 a.m. to 8:42 a.m.

As you will see, Flight 93's delay affected the scheduled course for Flight 77, which was supposed to strike the Pentagon at or around 8:30-8:40 a.m. Then, with chaos and pandemonium surrounding the Pentagon, they had a ready-made explanation as to why no pilots were dispatched—there was nothing but bedlam in our nation's capital with the dual strikes. (Flight 93 was intended to take out another strategic target.) Plus, if the Pentagon were hit at 8:40 a.m., and WTC 1 at 8:46 a.m., there would not have been time to intervene. Then, only 17 minutes later—at 9:03 a.m.—Flight 175 barreled into WTC 2, and the entire scenario would have been over within 23 minutes.

Subsequently, the government would not have had to worry about a military stand-down, "hijacked" planes flying around the eastern portion of the United States until 10:03 a.m. without any defensive response, or any of the other debacles that have plagued the official story. Instead, they had wanted four strikes by 9:03 a.m., with prearranged explanations as to why these attacks could not have been prevented. Quite simply, the military would have been temporarily stymied by the attack of Flight 77 on the Pentagon before the towers were attacked, while the White House and/or Congress would be scrambling for protection after Flight 93 hit them. In the meantime, only minutes later, New York City's twin towers would have been hit, and nobody could have done a thing about it.

"Flight 93's 41-minute delay in takeoff confounded the entire timeline. Its belated departure set off a chain of events that would prove disastrous for the effectiveness of this inside job."

That is the way the "supergenius" masterminds wanted events to transpire. But with the 41-minute delay in takeoff of Flight 93 from Newark, their entire timeline got confounded. Flight 93 is the key to everything. Its belated departure set off a chain of events that could prove disastrous for the effectiveness of this "inside" job to be pulled off without a hitch.

9-11 BLOOPER TWO: FLIGHT 77 IN LIMBO

With Flight 93 sitting on the runway in Newark, American Airlines Flight 77 presented the "inside" conspiracy with a problem when it lifted off 10 minutes late from Dulles International Airport near Washington, D.C. If we return to McGowan's rationale, both planes were supposed to have converged on D.C. prior to 8:40 a.m., then "unexpectedly" make strikes before the towers in New York City were hit.

But since Flight 93 was delayed, whoever was in charge of Flight 77 made a drastic mistake. Rather than going ahead with their initial plan of a two-pronged attack, they should have commanded (or commandeered if you are partial to the remote-control angle) Flight 77 to go solo and target the Pentagon anyway. This way, at least a tactical excuse could still be given as to why there was no military response to the WTC strikes. They had been temporarily disabled and thrust into chaos. Plus, the Manhattan events would have taken place within minutes anyway, so they would not have been capable of initiating any type of reasonable response.

But that is not what the coordinators chose to do. Instead, Flight

Patriots Mourn Death of Gutsy Catholic Priest

Father John O'Connor was a rock for America's traditional Catholics

By Paul T. Angel



FR. JOHN O'CONNOR

Father John O'Connor passed away Dec. 7 at his home in Alpharetta, Georgia, due to complications from cardiac arrest. The traditionalist Catholic priest had created a massive following with his outspoken views about the modern Catholic Church, the moral decay of society and the threat of Zionism.

Born and raised in Chicago, O'Connor entered the Dominican Order in 1949. He studied for a year in Winona, Minnesota, before moving on to the Dominican Order's House of Studies in River Forest, Illinois.

O'Connor was ordained at Old St. Mary's Cathedral in San Francisco in 1955. A decade later, he began preaching the Christian faith, which took him across the United States, Canada, England and the Philippines.

Since that time, tens of thousands of copies of his sermons have been sent around the world.

Beloved among his many followers, O'Connor became a thorn in the side of the mainstream Catholic leadership for his candid and truthful criticisms of, among other things, political Zionism and the power Zionist ideologues hold over U.S. policy, particularly as it relates to the Middle East. O'Connor was also a devoted and fearless truthteller when it came to speaking out on such issues as the New World Order, atheism, Communism and paper money.

For his outspoken critiques of the Catholic Church, O'Connor was expelled from the Dominican Order, which was founded in the 13th century to preach the gospel and fight heresy. The Order was reputed for its intellectual tradition and produced a number of leading theologians.

O'Connor's cutting assessment of the post-Vatican II Catholic Church, including his contention that the church had been infiltrated by homosexuals, put him at odds with church leaders. However, it was his public outing of superiors in the church as homosexuals and crooks that caused the church to act against O'Connor. He was offered the chance to keep his job and his priesthood if he agreed to a term in a mental institution. O'Connor refused to submit. He was then sacked on direct orders from Rome in 1991.

This only increased his following—and his integrity—as his accusations were proven correct soon thereafter. Shortly before his dismissal, he wrote, "When I made my vow of obedience 40 years ago, it was first and foremost to Jesus Christ, His Mother and St. Dominic and in obedience to them, only death will silence my witnessing to the Truth." Until the day he died, he refused to stay silent.

O'Connor was buried along side his parents John and Elizabeth O'Connor on Dec. 15 in Wheaton, Illinois, about 30 miles outside of Chicago.

One of Father O'Connor's longtime friends, John Maffei, told TBR, "Father O'Connor was the bell ringer for traditional Roman Catholics. He warned us decades before others about what Father called 'the synagogue of Satan.'" Maffei quoted Ron Wheeler, a devout patriotic Protestant, who said, "Father John O'Connor should be canonized. . . . He took so much abuse from church leaders. Yet still he kept on [spreading his message]."

The world has lost a great freedom fighter. TBR hopes to transcribe some of the speeches of Father O'Connor for future issues.

❖

To get a copy of some of Father John O'Connor's most poignant speeches on audio cassette or DVD, call Catholic Counterpoint at 1-800-539-9364 or write P.O. Box 445, Broomall,

77 took off at 8:20 a.m., and rather than quickly setting its sights on D.C., it flew across Virginia, West Virginia, into Ohio and Kentucky—simply buying time until 8:42 a.m., when Flight 93 finally departed.

By now 41 minutes behind schedule, Flight 77 turned around in Kentucky and made a beeline for D.C. But at this juncture things were already running afoul, for at 8:46 a.m.—only four minutes later—Flight 11 hit WTC 1 in lower Manhattan. Obviously, the Pentagon was immediately notified, and at that moment—within minutes of 8:46 a.m.—the Department of Defense should have sprung into emergency-action mode, dispatching warplanes with an urgency unparalleled in history.

But it did not. Rather, a massive military stand-down resulted because Flight 77, which was only now *en route* to D.C., had been lollygagging for the past 22 minutes waiting for Flight 93 to take off. This coinciding of events proved extremely embarrassing for the government. How could they explain their inactivity for what seemed like an eternity, especially under such dire conditions?

After all, does not the National Military Command Center, which is located in the Pentagon's basement, monitor every inch of airspace over the northeastern seaboard, while the White House has anti-aircraft missiles mounted on its roof? Furthermore, have not fighter jets been dispatched thousands of times before 9-11 when aircraft flew into restricted airspace, with nary a failure? But now, on the morning of 9-11, four supposedly hijacked planes were flying around all over the place, and nobody at the Pentagon was doing anything about it. Why?

In simplest terms, the 9-11 "supergenius" masterminds blew it when they did not send Flight 77 into the Pentagon right away, as was planned. Once they hesitated when Flight 93 was delayed, they opened a can of worms that was impossible to close back up.

9-11 BLOOPER THREE: LACK OF FIRE AT THE TOWERS

Many people who witnessed the complete destruction of the WTC towers live on television (or later on the nightly news) realized instantly that structures such as these simply could not fall like that, especially when the fires inside them were dwindling and/or near the point of extinction. Steel buildings have never in the history of the world collapsed due to fire.

To make this scenario more plausible, the planners need to have created rip-roaring infernos inside each tower that rivaled the Meridian Plaza fire in Philadelphia (1991) or at the First Interstate Bank in Los Angeles (1998). And even though we know such fires could not have leveled these steel towers (as was proven by the sur-

vival of Madrid's 32-story Windsor Hotel in February, 2005, which stood for two days without falling as uncontrollable fires ravaged the structure), it would have at least made the scenario more believable. But neither tower was even remotely consumed by blazes.

In fact, since WTC 2 was struck at such an oblique angle on its corner that 90% of the jet fuel splashed outside the building, firemen were able to easily reach the 78th floor and stated unequivocally that they could have doused the flames within an hour.

"The controlled demolition of WTC 7 is the greatest smoking gun in the 9-11 inside job scenario. It is such an embarrassment the 9-11 Whitewash Committee did not even confront the issue."

Such an outcome would not have sufficed for the 9-11 masterminds, for (due to a massive insurance scam) the towers had to completely collapse. But since Thomas Eager of MIT has admitted that the planes' impact was not sufficient to destroy the towers, and the fires were waning only minutes after being ignited, what we saw was an unmitigated controlled demolition live on national television. What the government actually needed that day to preserve credibility were out-of-control infernos that literally consumed the entire structures. Such a scenario never played out, and many people soon knew the wool had once again been pulled over their eyes.

9-11 BLOOPER FOUR: NO FLIGHT 77 AT THE PENTAGON

If the government truly wanted us to believe that Flight 77 struck the Pentagon on the morning of September 11, 2001, all they would have to do is show us the videotapes which FBI agents immediately confiscated from a nearby Citgo gas station, Sheraton hotel, Department of Motor Vehicles building and from their own on-site cameras. It's that simple.

Similarly, after initially claiming that Flight 77 was "vaporized" upon impact with the Pentagon, they later changed their story and said that virtually all the wreckage had been collected, and was now stored in an undisclosed warehouse. Subsequently, after being bombarded with an array of questions about the lack of physical evidence from a Boeing 757, the small entry hole, the pristine "Pentalawn" etc—one may ask: why doesn't the government simply get a few news reporters with cameras, then open the doors of this "hangar" and show us the wreckage from Flight 77? Then everything would be cleared up.

But they cannot do that, because it is my belief that Flight 77 never hit the Pentagon, and thus the official story is enveloped by even more doubt.

9-11 BLOOPER FIVE: THE SHOOT-DOWN OF FLIGHT 93

Here is what happened in southwest Pennsylvania on the morning of 9-11. Since Flight 93 was delayed for 41 minutes, by the time it departed and reached Cleveland at 9:36 a.m., it had become nothing more than an albatross—a liability to the game plan.

This conclusion is based upon McGowan's premise, which maintains that flights 93 and 77 were supposed to depart at nearly the same time on the morning of 9-11, and then simultaneously strike strategic locales in the Washington area, before the towers were attacked.

The primary target in this scenario was the Pentagon, for if this venue were disabled, then the government would have a readymade excuse as to why it was not able to intercept the jets heading for New York City. The military stand-down that became such an enormous embarrassment would have been rendered a non-problem.

But since Flight 93 was delayed for so long, by the time it lifted off, then turned around at Cleveland, it had no usefulness anymore for the plotters. Still, Flight 93 was heading directly for Washington, and it would have been a public-relations disaster for the military to openly shoot down a U.S. passenger jet over our nation's capital.

Thus, from the masterminds' perspective, since their plan already had more holes in it than a one-ton block of Swiss cheese, the government surreptitiously shot down Flight 93 over Indian Lake, Pennsylvania, and then immediately cordoned off the area where it crash landed in New Baltimore. But being that they absolutely did not want anyone inspecting the wreckage, a second plane used ordnance to create a crater at an abandoned strip mine near Shanksville, Pa., which was subsequently used as a diversionary site to keep the public (and media) from the actual crash site in New Baltimore. (See story in TBR, September/October, 2006.)

9-11 BLOOPER SIX: WTC 7'S INEXPLICABLE COLLAPSE

The controlled demolition of WTC 7 at 5:20 p.m. has become, quite possibly, the greatest enigma in the entire 9-11 inside job scenario. It has turned into such an embarrassment that the 9-11 Whitewash Committee did not even confront the issue in its quasi-Warren Report. Why? Because there is no defending the indefensible, and there is no way to rationally and logically explain the destruction of this 47-story building other than via controlled demolition.

With this notion in mind, one has to ask: why would the devils who were ultimately behind 9-11 put so much at stake by flagrantly imploding a building in broad daylight, especially after WTC 1 and 2 were felled seven hours earlier?

The answer is, they did not want WTC 7 to fall at 5:20 p.m., but instead wanted it to come down much earlier—within an hour of when the first two towers were destroyed.

Here is what happened: WTC 7 served as the 9-11 command center, or strategic headquarters, for those who were in charge of logistically destroying the WTC towers. This site was selected because the 23rd floor of WTC 7 was Mayor Rudolf Giuliani's $15-million emergency bunker, so it was already outfitted for such maneuvers. Plus, the 23rd floor was perfectly suited to view both WTC 1 and WTC 2—a quality of no small importance.

So, after Flights 11 and 175 crashed into the towers, the planners realized that not only weren't the resulting jet fuel fires large enough to destroy the structures, but firemen were quickly ascending the steps of WTC 2 and had reached the point of impact on the 78th floor. Their radio dispatches even conveyed the fact that if a couple of extra units were sent, they could completely put the fires out within an hour. In addition, the "cap" of WTC 2 suddenly began to topple from its base and actually tilted to 23 degrees past verticality. Such a scenario was disastrous beyond comprehension for the 9-11 criminals, because if the cap plunged down onto the streets of

Manhattan, it would look entirely farcical for the rest of the tower—the lower section which had not been struck by an airliner and was not on fire—to suddenly collapse straight down, in broad daylight, for no apparent reason, in front of millions of live TV viewers.

At that precise moment, the 9-11 implementers in Rudy Giuliani's 23rd-floor bunker pushed the panic button for WTC 2 and began a controlled demolition, bringing the tower to its knees. Only 56 minutes had passed since Flight 175 had struck it. Shortly thereafter, WTC 1 experienced a similar fate via a series of pre-planted explosives, which were set off from the 23rd-floor bunker in WTC 7.

Naturally, all hell broke loose in lower Manhattan, which, if you remember correctly, was enveloped by enormous clouds of smoke, most of which came from the WTC towers' pulverized concrete. This time would have been ideal for the controllers to destroy their final bit of evidence—WTC 7 and the 23rd-story bunker, which served as their nerve center. After all, they could simply evacuate the building, then, under the cover of concrete dust and smoke from the fires, perform their third and final controlled demolition in Manhattan. And, with so much bedlam surrounding the towers—fire engines, police sirens, and screaming citizens fleeing in all directions—they could explain that falling debris from the other towers struck WTC 7, and therefore it crashed to the ground. Best of all, at least from their perspective, due to the thick cloud cover blanketing the area, there would be no snooping cameras to record this obvious controlled demolition.

But something went wrong. Once the psychopaths exited WTC 7 and hit the panic button, the building did not fall. There had been some sort of malfunction. So they had to frantically send a crew of technicians back into the tower and figure out what went wrong and then correct the screw-up. I wonder if they used the same personnel who fouled up the OKC bombing.

Obviously, though, this new mistake put the planners in another quandary. First of all, they had to destroy this tower, for if investigators ever made it to the 23rd floor and discovered the command center that was used to destroy WTC 1 and WTC 2 via controlled demolitions, their entire plot would be exposed. But with the concrete and smoke clouds quickly dissipating from the streets of Manhattan, their cover would soon be blown. I ask: how do you just destroy a 47-story building, for no apparent reason, in broad daylight, and then explain it away? It is impossible.

As we all know by now, that is exactly what they did, for the evidence on floor 23 was so damning to the plotters that they would risk anything to destroy it. So, at 5:20 p.m., WTC 7 was imploded via a controlled demolition that took approximately 6.6 seconds. Out of the blue, with only negligible fires on the 7th and 12th floors that could hardly have been seen from the streets, this structure fell into its own footprint. And worst of all, the government has not to this day offered any explanation whatsoever for how it collapsed. Not only is this scenario the height of depraved arrogance, but also it is incredible beyond words that the powers behind the 9-11 conspiracy have gotten away with this blatant crime for so long.

A "PERFECT CRIME" GONE HORRIBLY WRONG

As you can easily determine for yourself, if the 9-11 brain trust had not allowed any of the above six logistical errors to take place, they very well could have gotten away with the crime of the century. But as it stands now, their unforgivable deeds have been revealed for anyone who wants to see them.

Thankfully for us, the individuals who lurk behind the scenes are not "*Uebermenschen*," nor are they infallible. Instead, they are the epitome of bumbling evil, and, due to their many bloopers, they have been exposed for their role in planning, coordinating and executing the greatest betrayal against this nation we have ever seen. ❖

VICTOR THORN is an internet-based researcher and the founder of WingTV. Thorn has written four books on the incidents of Sept. 11. Three of them are described here. For a fuller technical explanation of the WTC collapse, see Thorn's ***9-11 on Trial: The World Trade Center Collapse*** (softcover, 175 pages, #1178, $14) and ***9-11 Exposed*** (softcover booklet, 48 pages, #1162, $8) available from FIRST AMENDMENT BOOKS, 645 Pennsylvania Avenue SE, Suite 100, Washington, D.C. 20003. The author believes that Israel and Israel's Mossad played a central role in the events of 9-11. For his shocking book on this subject read ***9-11 Evil: Israel's Central Role in the 9-11 Terror Attacks*** (softcover, 123 pages, $1513, $15). No charge for S&H inside the U.S. for the above items. To order by Visa or MasterCard, call toll-free at 1-888-699-NEWS (6397). See also wingtv.com.

DEBUNKING 9-11

In *Debunking 9-11* from *American Free Press*, you'll get commentaries from William Rodriguez, the Trade Center's "last man out," Ellen Mariani, crusading wife of one of the victims killed on 9-11, renowned patriot and Pentagon insider Col. Donn de Grand Pré and more 9-11 insiders.

You'll also get all of AFP's groundbreaking coverage of the event from the beginning: the spies operating in New York; the many theories put forth by independent researchers who reject the government's explanation of many of the events of Sept. 11; alternative theories as to why the twin towers collapsed; detailed information from a dozen sources presenting evidence of foreknowledge by the government and foreign intelligence agencies of the event; scientific debate over what really happened at the Pentagon on Sept. 11 and theories as to the downing of Flight 93 over Pennsylvania. There are also articles on the advance planning of the Afghanistan and Iraq wars as premeditated resource grabs and the veracity of the Osama bin Laden videos. Includes high-quality black-and-white photography—more than 80 photographs!


AMERICAN FREE PRESS
DEBUNKING
9-11
INCLUDES 100 UNANSWERED QUESTIONS ABOUT SEPT. 11
PLUS
ALL NEW MATERIAL FROM WILLIAM RODRIGUEZ, ELLEN MARIANI, COL. DONN DE GRAND PRÉ, VICTOR THORN, CHRISTOPHER BOLLYN, GREG SZYMANSKI, ERIC HUFSCHMID AND MORE...

This is THE LAST WORD on the events of Sept. 11 from independent, courageous journalists, lawyers, professionals, survivors and loved ones who lost family members on 9-11. Nowhere on the planet will you find such a report. Produced by and available exclusively from *American Free Press*. Expect delivery in 2 weeks from the time your order is processed.

8.5 x 11 format, color cover, 108 pages, $19 each. No S&H.

Order your copy from *American Free Press*, 645 Pennsylvania Avenue SE, Suite 100, Washington, D.C. 20003. Call AFP toll free at 1-888-899-NEWS to charge your copy to Visa or MasterCard. See more at americanfreepress.net.

Roy Bullock: 'Charming, Skilled & Clever'

Author, Reporter Michael Collins Piper Recounts His First-Hand Encounters With the ADL's Former Top Spy

SAD TO SAY, SOMETIMES THE PEOPLE who seem most trustworthy and pose as real patriots are actually working for the bad guys. We call such individuals "Judas goats," because a Judas goat is an animal trained to lead other animals to the slaughter. Here is the shocking story of how the author, noted patriot Michael Collins Piper, began to suspect that one of his "friends" was actually a Judas goat.

BY MICHAEL COLLINS PIPER

PIPER

This writer once knew a spy for Israel's intelligence agency, the Mossad. His name was Roy Edward Bullock. Although he was not Jewish, for many years Bullock was an undercover informant for the Mossad's chief American domestic intelligence and propaganda conduit, the Anti-Defamation League (ADL) of B'nai B'rith.

In the end, I played—I am proud to say—a pivotal role in exposing Bullock's activities, although in a way I regretted having to do so. You see, I liked Roy Bullock personally. But I do not like what he did.

It is impossible to tell the whole story of Bullock and the ADL spy scandal without telling my own part in the story.

My first encounter with Bullock, as best I can recall, came sometime in 1983. As the junior staffer in the editorial department of the national populist weekly newspaper *The Spotlight*, published on Capitol Hill in Washington, D.C. by Liberty Lobby, I was frequently asked to attend to visiting *Spotlight* readers who ventured to Liberty Lobby headquarters. Through this, of course, I met many hundreds of *Spotlight* readers. One of them was a likeable chap from San Francisco named Roy Bullock.

A middle-aged man with thinning dark hair and a handlebar mustache, Bullock spoke in a measured baritone voice, with a hint of cynicism. Short, stocky and powerfully built with the shoulders of a professional wrestler, the bull-necked Bullock carried himself with an erect military bearing. Although an art dealer by trade, Bullock could easily be cast by a Hollywood director as a soldier of fortune, fighting in some far corner of the world.

A witty conversationalist with a merry smile, a twinkle in his eyes and a hearty laugh, Bullock was highly inquisitive and would be the life of any party. A teetotaler and vegetarian, Bullock was a health enthusiast. Once, when having lunch with Bullock and one of my *Spotlight* colleagues, I noticed Bullock carried a large amount of cash in big bills. His expenses, of course, were provided by his ADL paymasters. He always insisted on paying the dinner bill for his prey, certainly a benefit for me, considering my own then-pathetic pay scale.

When I first met Bullock, he mentioned that he was in town for some meeting or other of an Arab-American group. In early 1984 Bullock returned to Washington and made a visit to Liberty Lobby once again. This time he asked for me, and I was pleased to renew our acquaintance. Bullock was very much interested in the newly founded Populist Party, which had been established by Liberty Lobby.

Roy was full of questions, more so than most "regular" *Spotlight* readers.

Now this is an important point: as a Liberty Lobby staff member, I had regular occasion over the years to meet with hundreds—if not thousands—of Liberty Lobby supporters. They were always full of questions and comments, and I expected that. Liberty Lobby's supporters were intelligent people who were looking for answers. But 99.99% of them—unlike Bullock—were not looking for "gossip." I realized that the kinds of prying questions that Bullock was asking had nothing to do with facts about political events, the populist position on issues of the day or any other such matters. Bullock, in fact, was looking for dirt about people in the populist movement.

It was at that juncture that it passed through my mind that Bullock might have been an informant. I thought I would have some fun with him. I mentioned the ADL. I actually complained to him that the ADL never mentioned me. "After all I have done to fight the ADL," I commented, "they don't pay me any notice." Bullock chuckled. After a short visit, he went on his way.

Here's What the Anti-Defamation League Is Really All About . . .

Roy Bullock of San Francisco—described in the accompanying article and pictured here—was only one of many undercover informants who've operated on behalf of the Anti-Defamation League (ADL) of B'nai B'rith, but he is probably its most famous. But although the ADL's name appears regularly in American newspaper and magazine articles—almost invariably in the best light possible—the ugly truth about the ADL is seldom told.

BULLOCK

While the ADL—when first established in 1913—touted itself as a "civil rights" organization fighting against the defamation of the Jewish people, many critics say that the real purpose of the ADL, in its early years, was to redirect attention away from increasing concerns in the American law enforcement community that Jewish immigrant elements were a growing and predominant influence in the arena of what was then the infancy of organized crime as it developed during the first half of the 20th century. In fact, Jewish organized crime has been a font of major contributions to the ADL throughout its history.

By the mid-1920s, the ADL had set up shop as a private national spy agency acting through local units in towns and cities across America. The ADL not only kept files on persons and institutions suspected of hostility toward the remarkable rise of Jewish financial, political and cultural influence in America, but also used its considerable clout to wage war—both overt and covert—against its presumed enemies.

In the years leading up to U.S. involvement in World War II, the ADL had forged a close relationship then-FBI Director J. Edgar Hoover who allowed the ADL to function as a virtual arm of the FBI, hunting down critics of the war policies of President Franklin Roosevelt. The ADL also honed its expertise in feeding propaganda to the American people through willing assets in the mass media, including, most notably, famed broadcaster Walter Winchell.

After World War II and the establishment of the state of Israel in 1948, the ADL found itself operating in a whole new realm: acting not only as an intelligence and propaganda arm for Israel, but also as a lobby on its behalf. As such, the ADL became intricately intertwined with Israel's clandestine services agency, the Mossad.

Michael Collins Piper's new book, *The Judas Goats*, has several wide-ranging chapters on the history of the ADL and its intrigue, including data on its collaboration with the FBI in sparking sedition charges against Americans who dared to criticize the war policies of the Roosevelt administration. See page 45 of this issue of TBR for more on The *Judas Goats.* ❖

It was not long after that—perhaps several months later—that Bullock turned up again. I was called to the front office to see a visitor. Sitting on the divan in the lobby was none other than Bullock. I greeted him cheerfully, shook his hand and welcomed him back to Washington. "I have something that will interest you," said Bullock. "Hot off the press," he said, handing me a sheaf of papers. "I just picked it up in New York."

It was an ADL report on the Populist Party, and there was my name mentioned among other Liberty Lobby personnel who were involved in the party's affairs.

I shouted with pleasure: "The SOBs have finally mentioned my name." It was a badge of distinction, I thought then—and still do. Bullock, I noticed, was watching me very carefully. It was at this moment that I realized that my suspicions might be on the mark: Bullock was an agent of the ADL. If he was not, I thought, he should have been.

Frankly, at that moment, I was not sure just how to react, but I once again expressed my delight. "The last time I saw you," Roy said, "you were complaining that the ADL had not ever mentioned your name. Well, now they have."

I did not see Bullock again, as best I can recall, until the early part of 1985. I had been invited to attend, along with Populist Party National Chairman Bill Baker and our colleague, *Spotlight* correspondent Trisha Katson, a meeting sponsored by the Washingon-based Libyan Students Association. It promised to be an entertaining evening. Strolling into the banquet hall, I heard the sound of exotic Arabic music in the background. There—already—were Katson and Bill Baker and an assortment of other friends and acquaintances, including a fellow by the name of Matthew Peter Balic, about whom more later.

Bill Baker was eagerly introducing several American Indian leaders to the gathering. I joined the party, taking a seat at the table where Baker was holding court. As Baker entertained his listeners with an amusing anecdote, I saw a familiar face entering the room. It was Bullock. I stood up and beckoned him to the table, pleased by his arrival. I was intrigued. Bullock was everywhere—everywhere an ADL agent should be.

He spotted me and strolled over. "Somehow I thought I might find the Liberty Lobby crowd here," he chortled, shaking hands. "I could feel the vibrations," he claimed, raising his eyebrows as he glanced from left to right, affecting a comic shiver. He joined us at the table, and the conversation, inevitably—considering the occasion—turned to the Middle East question.

I watched Bullock. I sensed something not right. He was listening, laughing at the appropriate moments and watching the others as carefully as I was watching him.

At one point I interjected what I hoped was a rather biting witticism that cast aspersions upon the state of Israel and its leaders. As the others laughed in amused agreement, Bullock joined in the laughing. But his laughter was not sincere. "Yessss. . . ." he said.

But it was obvious he did not agree. In fact, I realized, Bullock was being quietly sarcastic. He could not contain himself: I saw a flash of

distaste in his eyes. He was playing a role—just barely. No one else noticed, but I did.

And by now it was increasingly clear there was a lot more to Bullock than met the eye. I had no firm evidence, of course, but I was more convinced than ever Bullock was an infiltrator.

As best I can recall, I saw Bullock next in September of 1985, again in Washington. Bullock stopped by Liberty Lobby and advised me that he was going to be attending a meeting of the Arab-American Anti-Discrimination Committee and it just so happened that an Arab-American friend of mine had given me two tickets to a breakfast being held during that conference.

And so it was that my colleague and dear friend, the late Lois Petersen, and I sat with Bullock and several others at that breakfast gathering at the Arab-American meeting.

It was only years later I also found out that sitting at our table was an American spy for Saudi Arabian intelligence, although, at that time, he had no idea that Bullock was working for the ADL.

In 2005, in a personal letter to me from the Saudi spy, he told me of his Saudi connection and that he recalled dining with Bullock and Mrs. Petersen and me.

In any case, following the breakfast, we parted company. Roy had been his ebullient self—as always—but I was dealing with the Devil.

Of course, it was only my gut instinct and at the time I was still relatively young and hardly any veteran in dealing with the Judas goats—the enemy within. I was in no position to make any accusations about Bullock.

"Of course, it was only my gut instinct and at the time I was still relatively young and hardly any veteran in dealing with Judas Goats. I was in no position to make any accusations about Bullock."

It was in the late part of 1985 or early in 1986 that Bullock next made contact with me when in Washington. He wanted to attend the annual conference of a California-based historical organization (which had been founded by Willis Carto of Liberty Lobby) and his application had been rejected. He asked if he could use my name as a reference. I told him "Go right ahead," since, after all, I did not want to rouse his suspicions by saying, "no," because, obviously, he and I had always had friendly contact up until that time.

What I did not know, at that time, was that Willis Carto had already been informed, by Dr. Edward R. Fields of *The Thunderbolt* newspaper, that Bullock was an ADL agent. And it was for this reason that Bullock's application to attend the historical conference had been rejected. I did not hear from Bullock again in regard to this matter, and, in fact, Willis and I did not discuss it—until later.

In any case, it was sometime soon, again in the spring of 1986, that Bullock once again popped up in Washington. He called and asked if I would like to have dinner with him. Although I was wary about the matter, I agreed to meet him for dinner.

But I thought it was time to mention Bullock to Willis Carto. I was scheduled to have dinner with Bullock at 6 p.m. So about 5 p.m. when the Liberty Lobby office was winding down for the day, I stopped in Willis's small corner office. Bullock had told me, when I first met him, that he had known Willis "for years," so I opened up the conversation with Willis, saying:

"Willis, you know Roy Bullock, don't you?"

Willis looked up, a twinkle in his eye and a hint of a smile. "Yes. How do you know him?"

"Well, he has been coming around here for the last couple of years," I said. "In fact, I am having dinner with him tonight."

Willis was still smiling.

"Tell me about him," I prompted, sensing that, yes indeed, I was right about Bullock. I knew what Willis was about to say:

"He is ADL."

That was it. I nodded my head, smiling, but inside my stomach was churning. I was alternately angered, but at the same time I was mentally patting myself on the back for having spotted the enemy in disguise.

"I thought so," I said.

At that juncture Willis asked me the same question I was asking myself: "What have you told him?"

"I don't think I've told him anything that I shouldn't have. But then," I added, honestly, "I'm not sure."

"Where is he now?" asked Willis.

"He is going to be here very shortly. We're supposed to have dinner across the street. Do you think I should cancel?" I asked, uncertain, obviously, about the situation.

"Not necessarily." he responded. "You know," said Willis, thinking aloud, "maybe this is an opportunity for us to find out what he's interested in."

"What do you mean?" I asked, puzzled.

In response, Willis proposed that I have dinner with Bullock and then, frankly, tell him that I had been told that he had "connections" with "people at the ADL" and ask him, "What exactly is it that you'd like to know about us?"

Bullock, of course, would have been surprised by all of this—presumably—and at that point I would offer to tell him whatever he wanted to know (within limits) in return for Bullock using his connections at the ADL to determine something of particular interest to Willis: i.e. who was responsible for the July 4, 1984, arson and bombing of Willis's office (and his warehouse of valuable historical books worth more than $1 million) in Torrance, California.

Willis' proposal made good sense to me, and I thought that, at the very least, it would be a very good learning experience for me—facing down the Devil, literally across the dinner table.

So it was then that I jaunted off to my dinner with Roy.

We went to a popular Capitol Hill nightspot known as the Tune Inn, perhaps best internationally known for having been hailed by *Esquire* magazine as one of the "best dives" in the nation.

A narrow, old-fashioned barroom, complete with stuffed deer heads and other formerly living animals decorating its walls, along with a few choice pieces of weaponry, the Tune Inn had been a rough-and-tumble "joint" that evolved into a yuppie favorite, filled in the evenings with Capitol Hill staffers eagerly spending their taxpayer-financed salaries on some of the lowest-priced drinks around.

Roy and I took a table at the rear of the inn, ordered drinks and dinner and settled down for what I knew would be an interesting evening. Roy, of course, ordered a soda.

A two-fisted drinker back then, I ordered something much stronger, thinking, still, that I would have to keep my wits about me.

But I did need to relax.

Staring across the table at Bullock, I saw him in a different light. He was not the jovial, friendly, amusing and likeable acquaintance of several years. "My God," I remember thinking, "Here is Mike Piper having dinner at the ADL's expense, in the company of one of its covert operatives."

Only moments after the drinks arrived Bullock began quizzing me. It was quizzing. Not friendly chat. There was no doubt in my mind. "Tell me," he asked, raising the name of another individual who—like Bullock—was quite ubiquitous, showing up at various and sundry political events of the same type. "Who is this chap? He is a rather interesting sort. Where is he coming from?"

Bullock was referring to Matthew Peter Balic, an unusual figure, mentioned earlier, who had periodically popped up at Liberty Lobby headquarters over the years, and, like Bullock, had an affinity for attending Arab-American meetings.

(In fact, I still have in my possession a photograph taken of Bullock and myself in the presence of none other than Mr. Balic at the aforementioned Libyan Students Association meeting.)

"Oh, him? I've always suspected he might be an ADL operative," I said, quite seriously. (Inwardly I was surprised at my own brass. I had actually broached the subject of the ADL.)

"Oh? Do you think so?" said Bullock.

"I think it is a good possibility," I said. "He is always showing up, mixing with the Arabs. He travels a lot. Spends a lot of money." (I realized, of course, that this description fit Bullock.)

Either Balic was an ADL agent or an agent of some sort and Bullock knew it—and was trying to find out if I had any suspicions—or perhaps the ADL actually wondered who Balic really was.

Alternatively, I was thinking that perhaps Balic was an ADL agent whom Bullock's ADL superiors had never told him (Bullock) about. That seemed wholly possible in the "wilderness of mirrors" that permeates the strange world of the ADL.

In any case, Bullock was definitely interested in Balic, and I had given him a choice morsel to report to his Mossad-sponsored superiors at ADL headquarters in New York—that Liberty Lobby's Mike Piper suspected Balic of being an ADL agent.

The conversation continued. Bullock got down to business. "This bombing [of Carto's office] was a rather interesting affair," he said.

I practically jumped out of my seat. I could feel my blood boiling. I was certain that Bullock must have seen my reaction—or was it my imagination? Somehow—was it an accident?—Bullock had brought up the very topic of my own covert assignment. Finding out what Bullock knew—or could find out—about the bombing of Willis Carto's offices.

("My God," I thought. "Is the Liberty Lobby office bugged? Did the ADL hear the conversation that Willis and I had engaged in earlier? Did the ADL tip off Bullock as to what was afoot?")

We chatted about the bombing, but in my own mind, Bullock had thrown up a roadblock. It was as though he had deliberately preempted me—and he knew it. I resolved that it was not the time to spring Willis's proposal on Bullock. I was ill prepared, I felt—unskilled, unlike Bullock—to engage in this game of cat-and-mouse, not knowing what Bullock did or did not know about what I knew, or suspected.

We concluded the evening after dinner with several drinks at a

MATTHIAS CHANG
FUTURE FASTFORWARD
The Zionist Anglo-American Empire Meltdown
TRUTH Revealed
A riveting exposé of the crimes, conspiracies, and con-artistry — with the puppets Bush and Blair at the forefront — for total world domination by means of death, devastation and destruction!
THE MOST EXPLOSIVE BOOK OF THE YEAR!! A MUST READ!!!

AUTHOR MATTHIAS CHANG'S ONE-TWO PUNCH KNOCKS OUT THE NEW WORLD ORDER!


THE COMPANION TO THE BESTSELLING FUTURE FASTFORWARD
MATTHIAS CHANG'S
BRAINWASHED FOR WAR
PROGRAMMED TO KILL
THE ZIONIST GLOBAL WAR AGENDA
HOW WE BECAME WAR JUNKIES
ZIONIST-ANGLO-U.S. WAR MACHINE MIND-CONTROL PROGRAMS PLUS OPERATIONS OF THE MOSSAD, CIA, MIG & MORE

Malaysian author Matthias Chang, a Malaysian Christian of Chinese descent, offers two of the hardest hitting exposés ever written about the Zionist-Anglo-American empire and its plan for total global domination.

In ***FUTURE FASTFORWARD***, author Matthias Chang, former top-level political secretary for Malaysia's outspoken longtime prime minister, Dr. Mahathir Mohamad, takes a stark look at the realities of global power politics and the ultimate and inevitable consequences for the not-so-secret forces that are behind the push for a New World Order. The Power Elites of the Zionist Anglo-American Axis have been in control of the political systems throughout the world and there is not a single country in which their evil influence has not been felt. The author describes the rapid and irreversible decline of the Zionist Anglo-American Empire; the forthcoming nuclear wars; Israel as the linchpin of those nuclear wars; the end of Empire Capitalism. Softcover, 400 pages, #444, $25 minus 10% for TBR subscribers.

In ***BRAINWASHED FOR WAR: PROGRAMMED TO KILL***, we learn that we Americans have been brainwashed for war our entire lives. From the Cold War of our youths to Vietnam and now the so-called "War Against Terror" (including the war against Iraq and Afghanistan—and perhaps even Iran) we have been lied to, mind-controlled and duped by president after president (at the behest of America's own intelligence services) with the goal of making us mindless supporters of bloody war. And how many of the wars of the 20th (and now 21st) century have actually been necessary for the defense of the United States? But again and again we support whatever war our presidents choose almost without question. It's "kill the enemy" and "let blood be shed." Why? Tracing back four decades and more, *Brainwashed for War* documents the atrocities carried out by the imperialist, Zionist-driven forces whose goal it is to subjugate the peoples of the world. Softcover, 556 pages, #460, $30 minus 10% for TBR subscribers.

GET BOTH BOOKS AS A SET FOR JUST $50 (#460C) (minus an additional 10% for TBR subscribers)—you save $10! Add $3 S&H per book if ordered singly. Add just $5 S&H for the set of two. Outside U.S. double these charges. Call 1-877-773-9077 to charge to Visa or MC or send payment to TBR Book Club using the form on page 64. Send to TBR, P.O. Box 15877, Washington, D.C. 20003. See more books online at www.barnesreview.org.

restaurant down the street where I encountered a congressman of casual acquaintance. I introduced him to Bullock and vice-versa, knowing full well that Bullock was making a mental note to tell his boss at ADL headquarters in New York, Irwin Suall, that "Mike Piper is personally acquainted with Congressman So-and-So."

(I've always felt guilty about that. There is no question in my mind that, in the unlikely event the ADL did not have a file on that congressman, a harmless soul who has since left office, they certainly do now.)

Bullock and I parted company, shaking hands and agreeing to "keep in touch." ("Indeed," I thought, wondering when I would next hear from Roy Edward Bullock, ADL agent extraordinaire.)

In fact, I did not hear from Bullock for some time, and then under circumstances that will shortly be detailed. But finally the time came when it seemed appropriate to publicly blow the whistle on Bullock's ADL affiliation.

It came at a time when the Populist Party—which Liberty Lobby had played a part in establishing in 1984—had been split down the middle through the ruthless and destructive activities of a long-time troublemaker in third party affairs, William K. Shearer of Lemon Grove, California.

Shearer himself had long been suspected of being an ADL asset or in the employ of the CIA or the FBI—even the GOP, some said. Whether the real truth about Shearer will ever be known remains to be seen.

However, on June 30, 1986, in an article in *The Spotlight*, I detailed Bullock's ties to Shearer, boss of the basically defunct American Independent Party, then the Golden State affiliate of the Populist Party. The relevant portion of the story read in part:

> At the so-called "national committee meeting" of the Populist Party conducted by Shearer in Los Angeles . . . one delegate, who goes by the name of Roy Bullock, was invited to serve on the agriculture committee.
>
> Bullock has long been known, among leaders in the populist movement, to be a charming, skilled and clever full-time professional operative for the ADL. Posing as a populist, Bullock has, over the years, wormed his way into dozens of different organizations, collecting information he reports to Irwin Suall, his superior at ADL headquarters in New York.
>
> Shearer's wife was warned at the meeting by California Populist Charles Ulmschneider that Bullock was a known ADL operative. But instead of showing Bullock the door, she approached him and told him of the charge. Bullock was permitted to remain.

MICHAEL COLLINS PIPER is a frequent contributor to THE BARNES REVIEW and the author of *Final Judgment: The Missing Link in the JFK Assassination Conspiracy* ($25), called the definitive work on the JFK execution. He is also the author of *The New Jerusalem: Zionist Power in America* ($19.95) and *The High Priests of War* ($19.95). Order any of these books from TBR BOOK CLUB by calling 1-877-773-9077 toll free and charging to Visa or MasterCard. TBR subscribers take 10% off book prices. Add $3 per book S&H.

Not long after the *Spotlight* article unmasking Bullock as an ADL operative, I received a call from someone who identified himself to the switchboard operator as "CSC." Taking the call, I recognized Bullock's voice immediately—and I was startled, needless to say—but was even more somewhat mystified by the acronym he used to identify himself.

Recovering from my momentary jolt, I said, "Well, hello Roy, I'm surprised to hear from you. But what does 'CSC' mean?" He laughed, saying, "CSC—that is for charming, skilled and clever." I laughed. "Oh yes, Roy, that you are. I thought you might appreciate that compliment."

He said, "Well, I have to tell you that what you said about me, being an ADL agent, is not true. In fact, I swear on a stack of *Mein Kampfs* [Adolf Hitler's famous volume] that I'm not an ADL spy."

I chuckled about Roy's reference to Hitler. But he continued in a more serious tone, saying, "I've talked to a lawyer about this."

"Well, Roy, if you want to file a lawsuit," I responded, "you'll have to go ahead and do it, because I stand behind the article and I know that my source on that is reliable. In addition, I had suspected it myself for some time, a long time, prior to the time that it was published. We sat on that for a long time."

He responded, asking, "Well, who was your source?" I responded, truthfully, "Willis Carto." Bullock chuckled, making a remark to the effect that Willis was not the most reliable source. I replied, "Well, I would not expect the ADL to consider Willis a reliable source. But I've always found him reliable."

Bullock said, "I'm sorry that you wrote that. I've always liked you. I thought we were friends." I said, "Well, Roy, I've always liked you, but I do believe that you are an ADL agent."

After Bullock commented laughingly, "Oh, and by the way, my name really is Roy Bullock. I do not just travel about under that name." We closed the conversation and it ended at that. No lawsuit was ever filed. A few folks around the country were upset that I had dared to call "a fine patriot like Bullock" an ADL agent. And so it remained.

It took nearly eight years before that passing reference in *The Spotlight* to Bullock's ADL affiliation was proved accurate. In 1993, a San Francisco police investigation exposed a massive domestic ADL surveillance operation in America. Bullock was exposed as one of the star operatives working to gather information on 950 U.S. citizens. Bullock truly was a Judas goat, paid agent and provocateur. ❖

"It took nearly eight years before that passing reference in 'The Spotlight' to Bullock's ADL affiliation was proved accurate—that Bullock truly was a paid agent of 'the enemy within.' "

The unedited story of Bullock's ultimate exposure is found in Chapter 11 of AFP correspondent and TBR contributing editorial board member Michael Collins Piper's latest blockbuster, *The Judas Goats—The Enemy Within.* The book (softcover, 376 pages, #455, $25 minus 10% for TBR subscribers) is available from THE BARNES REVIEW, P.O. Box 15877, Washington, D.C. 20003. Add $3 per book S&H inside the U.S. Add $6 S&H per book outside the U.S. Use the form on page 64 when responding by mail.

THE JUDAS GOATS: THE ENEMY WITHIN



The ALL-NEW NEW BLOCKBUSTER From MICHAEL COLLINS PIPER

THIS IS THE FIRST-EVER BOOK of its kind—the one and only book describing the shocking story of the infiltration and subversion of the American nationalist movement by agent provocateurs of the Mossad, ADL, CIA, FBI and more—an expansive history that encompasses some of the most devastating and world-changing events of our era. The wide-ranging array of material is virtually the secret history of the 20th century as it relates directly to the efforts by alien forces to eviscerate and destroy the patriotic movement in America, an up-to-date and authoritative study that shows how it's all happening before our very eyes—and what we can do to stop it, before it's too late.

This is the book that NAMES NAMES. This is the book that exposes the Judas goats!

---

44 chapters—376 fact-filled pages, including 16 pages of photos

The most devastating political exposé of the year!

The UNCENSORED never-before-told story of the ADL-FBI-CIA spy apparatus and how it's been targeting American patriots for the last 60 years!

The FBI & COINTELPRO

Amazing case studies demonstrating how the FBI (and the ADL) used informants inside dissident political organizations to disrupt their activities. This activity goes all the way back to the days prior to World War II. You'll also learn how FBI chief J. Edgar Hoover was secretly in control of the Communist Party USA! (A fact.)

Zionists in the Vatican?

Here are the shocking facts about an ADL asset who was engaged in intrigue in the Vatican during the days of the Vatican II conference that changed the course of the Catholic Church. You'll be surprised to find out who he was and what he did to undermine Catholicism.

Soviet Trust Model for Controlled Opposition

The early Bolsheviks in Soviet Russia were the first to come up with the idea of financing (and thereby controlling) their own opposition. It's the model the Zionists use to control their opposition in America today. How does it work?

Zionist Intrigue Around Senator Joe McCarthy

Never-before-published revelations show that Trotskyite-Zionists were manipulating McCarthy's sincere attempts to root out Communist infiltration. The Cold War split between Stalin & the Zionists has never been fully explained until now.

What Really Happened in Oklahoma City?

Four solid chapters demonstrating beyond any question that federal undercover informants and foreign intelligence agencies were swirling around Timothy McVeigh priorto the attack. And *for the first time in print*, Michael Collins Piper's provocative analysis of his conclusion that Israel's Mossad was the primary mover behind the Oklahoma bombing, manipulating the events that led to the tragedy. No other writer has ever laid it out in such devastating detail. You'll be convinced he's right!

Many more infiltrators and saboteurs rooted out!

THE JUDAS GOATS: THE ENEMY WITHIN — $25 minus 10% for TBR subscribers.

Add $3 per book S&H inside the U.S. Add $6 per book S&H outside the U.S.

Send payment to TBR Book Club, P.O. Box 15877, Washington, D.C. 20003.

Call **1-877-773-9077** toll free to charge to your Visa or MasterCard!

Psychopathy & History

Does the study of psychopathy give us insights into why so many mass murderers become world leaders?

PSYCHOPATHS—RUTHLESS SOCIAL PREDATORS who manipulate and plow their way through life—leave a trail of broken hearts, shattered expectations and empty wallets. They selfishly take what they want and do as they please, completely lacking in conscience and in feelings for others. Psychopaths are found in every segment of society. There is a good chance that eventually you will have a painful or humiliating encounter with one of these human monsters. Unfortunately, they are particularly prevalent among top politicians and the establishment elite. Their importance in history is an emerging field of study.

BY JOHN NUGENT

What is a psychopath? Are psychopaths usually in prison—or are the vast majority of them moving among us, or lording it over us in society? Are they one in a million or 40,000 in a million? And what about "mattoids"—those dynamic and "gifted" psychopaths who rarely end up in prisons? Who are the most prominent and successful mattoids of today—and yesterday?

Alarming yet enlightening research in the last 15 years has concluded that full or partial psychopathy may be shockingly widespread—one American in 25, and far more in leadership positions. The key traits of the psychopath: he (usually a male) is radically self-centered, slick, lying, manipulative, ruthless, sadistic, focused and, sometimes, insanely fearless.

"Alarming yet enlightening research in the last 15 years has concluded that full or partial psychopathy may be shockingly widespread—one American in 25, and far more in leadership positions."

Here is a list of identifiers for psychopathy, compiled from several authorities. If an individual has at least any four of the below in a very pronounced form, there are grounds for concern. Many people have a touch of several of these traits, but few have a majority of them in full measure. They are:

1) Glib and superficial charm; 2) Grandiose sense of self-worth; narcissism; seeing the self as the center of the universe; feeling "no one else is human, only I"; 3) Focused self-advancement without losing any energy on others except as stepping-stones; 4) No moral taboos or inhibitions as to methods, aiding career success until caught; 5) Need for constant stimulation, action, and new ways to avoid boredom; 6) Lying as an art form to fine-tune and a source of pride; 7) Targeting and manipulation of the gullible; 8) Enticing people they do not love to naively love them; 9) Skill at faking emotions, including love, sincerity and regret; 10) Doing good work and good deeds solely to advance oneself; 11) Ruthlessness and "stopping at nothing"; 12) Enjoyment of the power to coldly end close relationships; 13) "Getting" others back as a peak experience; 14) Desire for vengeance when spurned; 15) Pleasure in firing or ruining people. In the U.S., where highly profitable firms routinely cut good employees to boost stock values, there are professional terminators who roam the country cutting staff and personally firing them; 16) Abuse and literal torture of living creatures; 17) Humiliating others physically, verbally, emotionally, psychologically or sexually; 18) Denigrating one's own child or mate; 19) Callousness; lack of empathy and compassion; 20) Shallow or no feelings for others, even mates, children and friends; 21) No ability to feel remorse or undergo inner repentance; 22) Regret solely at being caught, embarrassed or punished; 23) Incomprehension of the angry reactions of those they hurt; 24) Underestimation of their own anger; 25) No sense of responsibility for one's actions; 26) Parasitical world view: living by scams and not hard work; 27) Contempt for those who "play by the rules"; 28) Criminal talent, energy and innovativeness; 29) Warlike courage far above the norm; 30) Playing on the sympathy of others.

To this one might add—in the purely subjective, non-scientific eye of many beholders—a curious dead look in the eyes of a psychopath, and that is the chilling part. Others speak of looking into such eyes and "having a feeling that nothing is there."

Although the story of Don Juan conjures up for many images of the classic "Latin lover" (above right), he was in fact a brutal psychopath, although a fictitious character, given life by Spanish dramatist Tirso de Molino in his play *The Seducer of Seville*. The painting *Stone Guest* (left), by Russian artist Ilya Repin (1844-1930), is based on the Spanish legend about Don Juan. Don Juan, a heartless and immoral man of noble origin, killed in a duel the commander of Seville. A stone statue was erected on the commander's tomb. Don Juan met Donna Anna, the widow of the commander, at the base of the tomb and seduced her. The statue moved its head in anger. Taunting the statue, Don Juan invited it to a banquet. The invitation was accepted; the stone commander came and crushed Don Juan. The popularity and longevity of the Don Juan legend is a testament to the allure many average people feel for cunning and brutal psychopaths.

It is instructive to check this subjective hypothesis by looking at famous persons, in still photos but especially on moving film or television. Is there a warm life form expressing itself through the eyes, or is there a flat, soulless gaze, not unlike that of a large insect or reptile?

PSYCHOPATHS IN HIGH PLACES

Despite staggering differences between the cultures and peoples spread across the globe, most citizens of the world describe their own caste of politicians with identical words of anger, contempt and alienation. Further, the overwhelming majority see their politicians as incorrigible. But why? What part of society produced this "breed apart"?

This anomaly was most striking in the context of the culture and values of Japan. In personal matters, the Japanese are among the most reliable, honest and rule-observing nations on Earth, still influenced by samurai concepts of honor. Yet the way Japanese describe the behavior of their political "leaders," does not sound Japanese at all. After hours of hearing this same lament from various parts of our planet, it would seem that politicians, in particular, came from another and very twisted world.

The universally praised 2006 film *The Last King of Scotland* relates the story of the fictional Nicholas Garrigan, a young Scottish doctor who came to Uganda to serve its people, yet is sucked into the lifestyle of the very real charismatic psychopath Idi Amin, who ruled and ruined Uganda from 1971 to 1979. The young Scot has his own in-depth encounter with a charming, megalomanic authority figure. There is only one difference between Idi Amin and evil American, Japanese or Indian politicians. Idi Amin was not hindered by any checks and balances whatsoever and so could live out to the fullest his nature's true fantasies.

Amnesty International estimates the Ugandan president killed 500,000 fellow citizens, feeding some to crocodiles. He gave himself the title: "His Excellency, President for Life, Field Marshal Al Hadji Doctor Idi Amin, VC, DSO, MC, Lord of All the Beasts of the Earth and Fishes of the Sea, and Conqueror of the British Empire in Africa in General and Uganda in Particular." Called Idi Amin "Dada," his nickname arose while in the British colonial army in Kenya. Every time he was caught with a woman in his puptent, he would overcome the scowl of his English officers with his considerable charm, claiming the female was only his "*dada*"—Swahili for sister.

CHECKS & BALANCES

Why did the Founding Fathers create checks and balances in the U.S. Constitution, since they demonstrably slow the government and add years or decades to reforms, even the most pressing? Was it the Constitution framers' belief that all men are evil or rather than there was a psychopathically ambitious minority among them thirsting for power? Did they perceive bad traits existing in all men but true evil lurking in some?

Where do such apparently born liars, egomaniacs, terrorists and manipulators come from?

The question expands, and it seems as if we suddenly gain a new prescription for our glasses. How many bosses, politicians, CEOs of

multinational corporations, advertising manipulators, and other powerful figures such as military, police, and, in our private lives, the ex-husbands, wives, boyfriends or sons-in-law "from hell," are not "morally confused" but instead inwardly directed and born to be slick, ruthless, incorrigible, diseased psychopaths?

Yes, and even how many psychologists, psychoanalysts and psychiatrists are afflicted with the very disease they claim to study?

How much do psychopaths influence society and affect life for the vast majority of good, decent people? How much do they affect the moral values of what we watch on TV or in cinema?

Many people are asking themselves this and similar questions, especially since the American election year 2000. When one enters the words "George W. Bush" and "psychopath" into a search engine on the Internet such as Google, it produces 511,000 citations—just in English—linking the two concepts, most of them written or spoken in dead earnest by concerned citizens.

Psychopath JACK THE RIPPER

But foreigners speak of the two in the same breath as well: 19,700 references in the German language, 30,800 in French and 52,500 in Spanish. Venezuelan President Hugo Chavez, who in 2006 openly called Bush *el diablo* from the podium at the UN, must be getting some serious attention.

Normal humans oscillate, and must, between attending to their personal needs, desires and dreams and helping others. Usually the two instincts work hand in hand.

Humans are all in a sense bipolar, hence complicated. They are both individualistic—hence, creative, innovating and at times selfish—and altruistic, other-oriented, often ready literally to die to save their children and, if convinced or prodded, to perish for the survival of their nation or its freedoms. A significant minority, the history-makers among inert humankind, even choose to live and suffer for a noble cause—one that may lead to their personal imprisonment or death.

Psychopaths, however, solely look out for No. 1, and cannot do otherwise. They see society as a collection of walking humanthings that are potential tools, victims, and often both, born for their cruel

Grasping the Nature of the Beast

Over 100 years ago, psychiatrists, psychologists, and criminologists were discussing what they called—groping for a scientific description—"moral imbeciles" or "the morally insane." For thousands of years, religions have recognized the concept of "evil," and of evildoers whom God and man must punish. Governments have recognized evil and evildoers in the citizenry, and either punished or promoted them. Now esteemed scientists in the mental health field have weighed in on the subject of people who are truly evil.[1]

The breakthrough has sped up in the last 15 years from well-meaning attempts at compassion and understanding to this grim recognition of personified evil.

The most recent bombshell in this direction was the bestselling *The Sociopath Next Door* (2005) from Martha Stout, Ph.D., a clinical psychologist who for 25 years was a faculty member of Harvard Medical School.

Stout uses the term "sociopath" to emphasize that almost all psychopaths are out in society. She has been widely reported on and interviewed for website and print publications.

Another powerful figure in the psychopaths-in-society movement is Robert D. Hare, Ph.D., a consultant for the FBI, the creator of the recognized "Hare Psychopathic Checklist" (the standard tool for diagnosing psychopathy), and author of the 1999 book for laypersons *Without Conscience: The Disturbing World of the Psychopaths Among Us.*

One powerful weapon in the struggle to warn the public against psychopaths, in jail and out, has been the work of Adrian Raine, Ph.D., an Oxford graduate in psychology, a former prison psychologist in England, a professor of experimental psychology at the University of Southern California and author of the 1993 classic, *The Psychopathology of Crime: Criminal Behavior As a Clinical Disorder.* More than any written text before or since, his vivid colored brain scans comparing psychopaths with normal people make a powerful case that psychopaths are utterly unlike the other 96% of society. These brain scans show that when psychopaths are shown horrifying images that turn others sick to their stomachs, the pleasure centers of psychopaths are highly activated.

In the 1980s a distinguished Polish psychologist with first-hand experience under Stalinism, and a long-time resident of the United States, Andrew M. Lobaczewski Ph.D., wrote his revolutionary *Political Ponerology: A Science on The Nature of Evil Adjusted for Political Purposes* (from the Greek *poneros*, evil, and *logia* study).[2] He asserts that much of politics, bureaucracy, political philosophy—and thus the whole history of mankind—has been shaped by persons who today would be considered clinically diagnosible psychopaths.

Among his unique observations, Dr. Lobaczewski describes how, after the brutal Stalinization of his native Poland from 1948-52, virtually every psychopath in his country "within two years" had emerged from the dregs of society, recognized himself as among friends in the new system, and become a bureaucrat or other servant of the Stalinist regime.

In effect, they all crawled out from under their rock. He emphasizes that this Stalino-psychopathic regime was as inept and "out of touch" as it was cruel, showing that many psychopaths are not brilliant and great mis-leaders of men, but often despised and shunned "scum." What makes them similar is their desire to dominate, humiliate, prevaricate and cause suffering.[3]

enjoyment. Cult leaders are a classic example of gifted users. One, Sun Myung Moon of the "Unification Church," owns *The Washington Times* daily newspaper, which every Republican in the capital of the United States reads daily. They read a dangerous cult's newspaper, spending as much time with it as they do really talking with their spouse, children or constituents.

A new vision of society is emerging from the research and firm conclusions of some of the world's most distinguished and reputable neurologists, psychologists and psychiatrists. These are men and women of stellar scientific backgrounds that mass-produce Nobel prizes in science and medicine.

These unpleasing but truthful messengers are stating or corroborating one clear, simple finding:

Our culture has been taken over by literal psychopaths, and all who are not psychopaths are nevertheless living under their thumb, affected by them, perhaps influenced by their persistent lies, and suffering miseries through them that mankind would otherwise never experience. This is the message of the experts.

Whether we fight psychopaths or not, we are still on a psychological and moral urban battlefield, suffering wounds, deprivation, misery, despair and sometimes premature death in their world.

And that is just the good part. The bad part is the possibility of World War III, with millions of people turned into hate-zombies with devastating weapons of mass destruction at the command of rejoicing psychopaths.

CATEGORIES OF EVIL

In the United States, three different terms are used to categorize the radically selfish individual or "human monster":

1) Persons with "antisocial personality disorder" (APD),

2) "Sociopaths" and, the scariest word of all,

3) "Psychopaths," of whom serial killers are a special subtype.

APD is, as many in criminology agree, a somewhat "lazy" catch-all phrase for general criminal attitudes and behavior. This is the term usually put in prisoner files.

The word "sociopath" is being used in several similar but truly confusing ways. For some experts, sociopath (from the Latin word for "soci"-ety and "pathy," the Greek word related to disease) means the ruthless manipulators, whereas psychopaths are the violent beaters and killers. It is obvious that some infamous people in history have been both.

A few of these modern examples include, according to various experts: Josef Stalin, Mao Tse Tung, Franklin Delano Roosevelt, Winston Churchill, the Shah of Iran, Pol Pot, Ariel Sharon, Robert

PSYCHOPATHS IN MODERN HISTORY (left to right): Franklin Delano Roosevelt: forced America into WWII and handed Stalin half of Europe; **Josef Stalin**: murdered 21 million Christian Russians; **Winston Churchill**: ordered the firebombing of WWII civilian centers; **Mao Tse Tung**: murdered 50 million Chinese; **Idi Amin**: murdered 500,000 Ugandans including feeding some to crocodiles; **Pol Pot**: murdered 3 million Cambodians—an estimated half of the population.

The great foundational work for the modern professional study of psychopaths was the still-famous 1941 bestseller, *The Mask of Sanity* by Hervey Cleckley, M.D. (1903-84). He graduated in 1924 from the University of Georgia with highest honors, and then from Oxford. With his subsequent M.D. from the University of Georgia Medical School (now "Medical College of Georgia") he became a professor of psychiatry and neurology there and then chief of psychiatry/neurology at University Hospital in Augusta in 1937. In 1956 Cleckley co-authored *The Three Faces of Eve*, the book that led to the famous 1957 film of the same name starring Joanne Woodward, illustrating Multiple Personality Disorder. A Fellow of the American Board of Psychiatry and Neurology, Cleckley was acting psychiatrist in the trial of the psychopathic serial killer Ted Bundy of Florida.

These five researchers, Cleckley, Lobaczewski, Raine, Hare and Stout, have forever lifted psychopathology out of the realm of speculation or what some cynically call "psychobabble" into the realm of science—the science of evil. ❖

ENDNOTES:

[1]A psychiatrist at Canada's only "super max" prison, in Ontario province, who observes and meets with the 100 vilest criminals in Canada (outside of Parliament) was asked: "Have you ever looked into an inmate's eyes and seen evil, just pure evil?" He replied: "Yes, I would say I have—in layman's language—seen pure evil." Source: Philip R., Wheeler, founder, Learn for Living Foundation, Alexandria, Virginia.

[2]A general edition for the public of this academic work, suitable for the educated layperson, was published in 2006 by Red Pill Press under the aegis of Laura Knight-Jadczyk, of www.signs-of-the-times.org and other websites, an American thinker who edited and introduced the English version.

[3]A combat-decorated World War II and Korea hero, Michael Mata Jr., who once guarded generals Eisenhower and Patton in postwar Berlin, told this writer of the sadistic and Big Brotherish attitude in parts of the bureaucracy toward U.S. veterans. When Matas complained about a new resident of his Soldier's Home, a sexual pervert who kept making unwanted advances on him, he was brought up before a staff hearing to be told that he was on "one year's probation" for being "disruptive." He learned through a Veterans Administration document that the VA had created—in its own star chamber procedure and unbeknownst to the individual veteran (hence without possibility of appeal)—a bureaucratic, secret code number in each veteran's file. This file indicated the bureaucracy's secret internal classifications, or defamations: shirker, troublemaker, insane etc. In Mr. Mata's case, "refused a promotion." For years, when he would apply for a job with an employer, he was asked: Were you a U.S. veteran? After saying yes, he would suddenly get rejected, and believes it was due to this secret code.

Mugabe and David Rockefeller. Other experts use the word "sociopath" to mean those who are mis-raised to be vicious, whereas "psychopath" means those born vicious.

More and more, however, as is usual in science, one simple term wins out. "Psychopath" has been gaining currency since 1941 among the world's most experienced clinicians to describe all incorrigible human monsters—however they got that way and whatever methods they use.

In any case, they prove to be, for decent society, an irresistible force and an immovable, incurable object. They are the fountainhead of human suffering that is human-caused, and aside from sickness, aging, death and natural disasters.

Religions have called such persons evil. Yet religions usually teach that almost anyone can be saved. The science of psychopathology says "no." Not all can be "saved." Their brains won't permit it; they do not want it. But if you want to save them, they may find a way to exploit your compassion.

While one may speak of evil and evildoers, this is the category of a diseased force of nature—human parasites.

Most Americans assume that psychopaths are rare—serial killers and other felons. The stunning new revelation of the last 15 years is that as many as one in 25 Americans may be psychopathic. Probably, in view of their notorious traits—according to Martha Stout, Ph.D., a former 25-year veteran of Harvard Medical School and now a practicing psychotherapist—a much higher percentage of psychopaths haunt occupations that take advantage of the traits of the true psychopath listed earlier in this article.

"The original Spanish tale from the 1600s of Don Juan reveals, not the 'lady's man,' but a murderous, raping, diabolically ingenious psychopath who betrays friends and sinks into hell."

Serial killers such as Jack the Ripper and Ted Bundy, and leaders such as Stalin and Pol Pot, are among history's most famous psychopaths. The original, true Spanish tale from the 1600s of Don Juan reveals not the famous "lady's man" but a murderous, raping, diabolically ingenious psychopath who also betrays his friends and sinks into hell. In the end the statue of a former adversary comes to life to punish him for his crimes.

The Samson of the Jewish Old Testament, known to generations of Christian Sunday schoolchildren as a "Bible hero," may have had psychopathic traits. The Talmud tells of his lies to his parents, his cruelty to animals, his torching of Philistine fields, his frequent brawls, and Samson's unremitting bragging after killing "a thousand men." It bears noting here that the Philistines are the ancestors of today's Palestinians.

Actually, a psychopath is more capable of personally killing a thousand men than anyone else. The true berserkers (whence the phrase "to go berserk") were Vikings who possibly were psychopathic. They guarded the Norwegian king and fought battles as unleashed "Dober-men." Heedless of danger, their pre-battle preparation included working themselves into a bloodlust—berserker rage—by banging their helmets (with heads inside) with their own weapons, biting their shields, and howling. Once in a frenzy they would plow through both foe and friend, arms, legs and heads tumbling away like grass clippings. In battle they were said to be immune to pain (or even immune to weapons and fire). Even allies gave the berserkers wide berth. Fearing that their own homesteads and families might be targeted by the unchained "bear-shirts," friendly Norsemen kept loved ones hidden away.

HOW DID PSYCHOPATHY BEGIN IN SOCIETY?

Not just individuals but entire castes and groups in society may manifest these characteristics. Psychopathy can be inherited, often through the mother. It can appear spontaneously as a birth defect. It can also be produced by brain diseases or injuries. These multiple factors can be seen as explaining the relatively high percentage of psychopaths that researchers now perceive in the population.

It has been speculated that the number of psychopaths overall has been increasing since the Age of Bronze, roughly 1000 B.C. in Europe, which was the beginning of a traumatic new era for the European peoples: the age of metal weapons, of warlords owning slaves forced to mine for copper and tin, and of professional soldiers. That was an innovation: men who killed other men for power, and not high-protein animals for food. Hunting by itself is an inborn male instinct that, in literally bringing home the bacon and the meat, brought essential proteins for the growth of larger brains, hence civilization.

Wars, for millennia, have always tended to kill off the decent and the volunteers and often spared the true instigators and also the defective. Warlords and kings have long been polygamous, sometimes having enormous numbers of offspring. It is reliably said that Genghis Khan raped 10,000 women. Genetic studies indicate that 10% of all continental North Asians are descended from him. One of Genghis's memorable lines was: "Happiness is to kill the foe, ride his horses, watch his wife and daughters weep, and seize them to your bosom."

In materialistic societies, ruthless businessmen, such as investment mogul Donald Trump, find the media fawning on them and gold-digging, beautiful and fecund women flocking to them.

How many a tycoon, after letting his first wife help him through medical or business school or the start of a career, dumps her at 45 for a younger "trophy wife" whom he can show off to his fellow magnates, and with her then spread his genes even further? Hollywood is said to be full of beauties with beasts.

One of the most important benefits of understanding the new finding of the prevalence of psychopaths in society is this: whereas so-called conspiracy theories tend to appear to decent people as "off-the-wall," now no more. The main objection to a conspiracy theory, especially one that posits the involvement of many evil people, is this: "I cannot imagine anyone doing such a thing. And it could never be a secret." Wrong: psychopaths are numerous and they do know how to keep a secret and kill to maintain it.

Perhaps the struggle against the psychopaths marauding among us will be the ultimate battle for the human race. ❖

JOHN NUGENT, a Rhode Island native, served both as a machine-gunner and multilingual interrogator in the U.S. Marines. He is fluent in French and German. He has been a European-American rights activist for many years. He now lives in northern Virginia.

Is Christianity Relevant?

Let no man seek to put together That which God hath created asunder

WHITE PEOPLE IN THE UNITED STATES and elsewhere are losing the struggle for survival, badly and fast. As their number decreases, their places are being taken by immigrants, not only from Central and South America but also from Asia and Africa. This scenario is seen in most European nations, as well as the United States, Canada, Australia and New Zealand. Clearly, whites are on the run in the very civilization they have created. Is there an answer? Or will the white race disappear?

BY WILLIS A. CARTO

Merely to sustain a human population in a society where the death rate is similar to that of Canada and the United States requires a minimum of 2.1 births, on average, per woman over her lifetime. To give one example: The white Canadian birthrate is only 1.67 per woman. Canada, as is the United States, is being inundated with hordes of third-worlders.

Up until the 1960s, before Teddy Kennedy and his ilk repealed the McCarran/Walter Immigration Act, the United States was virtually a 90% white Christian nation. In 1960, 122.7 white babies were born for every 1,000 white American females aged 15-44. By 1992 the white birthrate had dropped virtually in half, to only 66.5 per 1,000.

In divided Germany, the heart and mind of the West, 1.36 million babies were born in 1964. In 2005 in "united" Germany only 680,000 babies were born. This shockingly low number includes disproportionate numbers of Turks and third world "guest workers," legal and illegal. The low 1.35 birthrate for white German women is not nearly what is necessary to maintain a static population.

The handwriting is on the wall for the slow-motion genocide of every white nation on Earth. In Europe, Mohammedans (mostly Arabs and Turks) are outbreeding Christians by 3:1. In Iceland, the Vietnamese birthrate is more than 400% greater than the birthrate of the native Icelanders.

Bill Clinton gloated that native white Americans will be a minority by 2050.[1] White Americans could become an endangered species even faster than that if the current Senate bill is passed, opening the floodgates to 100 million new legal non-white immigrants—and a tsunami of even more illegals swarming over the Mexican border.

Third world immigrants have already become the majority in many formerly white areas, such as southern California, Arizona, New Mexico and much of Texas, and will, within one or two generations, outnumber the whites, not only in the U.S. but in many European countries, including Germany, France, Great Britain and Canada. Inevitably, third world conditions will then replace white culture and white civilization.

"For two millennia the embattled white race has been saved from floods of racial aliens from Asia and Africa by Christian champions such as El Cid, Ferdinand & Isabella, Roland, the Teutonic knights etc."

For two millennia the embattled white race has been saved from floods of racial aliens invading from Asia and Africa by Christian champions such as El Cid, Ferdinand and Isabella, Roland, Charles the Hammer, the Teutonic knights etc. Yet today a malignant cancer is eating out the West's soul. This slow metastasis of the soul came about as infiltrated and deceived Christian leaders began to identify themselves as "Judeo-Christians."

Most whites are spiritually and morally adrift, totally oblivious to this reality even when "alarmists" or "extremists" try to awaken them to what is literally a mortal threat. The major media not only ignores the trend but also escalates its lauding of minorities and downgrading, obscuring or attacking anything that may be interpreted as pro-white. Virtually any crime may be excused or rationalized except "racism." Any and all pro-white activity is attacked as "racist" or "nazi" or, worst of all, it is totally ignored whereas Negro, Mexican or other third world events are covered with the greatest sympathy, respect and support. Meanwhile, legions of tax-free foundations, "humanitarians," politicians and bleeding hearts throw billions of dollars to inte-

grationist, liberal and politically correct causes.

Standing against this suicidal syndrome we find only a few scattered religious or political groups that see these problems clearly and are determined that the decline and eventual disappearance of the general white population shall not include them.

Of these, this paper briefly examines the viewpoint of "Identity" Christianity, even though there are non-religious groups that also see the problem.

ESTABLISHMENT CHRISTIANITY

There are three general Christian confessions: Roman Catholicism, Protestantism and Greek or Russian Orthodoxy. The first currently fights for survival against internal diseases, including rampant homosexuality. The holy fathers do not ignore the changing racial scene in the West, they revel in it; the very name, "catholic," expresses the church's thrust—one universal church for all men.

In so doing, they firmly reject God's creation of diverse races and seek to repair what is, to them, a horrible error made by the Creator. For example, the church sees Africa as its greatest opportunity for expansion since the conversion of Europe.

By and large, Protestants—although differing in virtually infinite variety on theological, doctrinal, structural, philosophical and historical questions with Catholicism as well as among themselves—are as one with the Catholics on the question of race.

Ignoring basic biological and genetic facts, Protestant leaders in general endorse the same vision of the future as do the Catholics: a mankind temporally and spiritually united, with the reality of race ignored. Pat Robertson, Jerry Falwell, Tim LaHaye and legions of other Protestant leaders might as well be train carriers or altar boys for the pope when it comes to the most basic issue facing the world today: racial and cultural differences created by God, Himself.

IDENTITY CHRISTIANITY

There is only one "brand" of Christianity that "includes itself out" of the naïve and evil vision of a mongrelized humanity, and that "brand" is known as "Identity." In fact Identity Christians label corrupted Christianity as the deadly enemy of both God and His children. Identity emphatically does not seek to put together that which God has created asunder, and for this reason many intelligent whites are taking another look at what they have formerly ignored, if they even were aware of it. ("Identity" has no connection to "British Israel" and, in fact, is very hostile to it.)

In an article in the March/April 2006 *National Vanguard*, published by the National Alliance, Erich Gliebe discusses the conquest of Spain by Mohammedans in the 8th century and their occupation of it for some 700 years. He credits "popular religion and folk awareness" as imbuing the Spanish with an "indomitable will" to resist and finally expel their overlords in the 15th century. Gliebe and the National Alliance fully realize the need for both spiritual sustenance and racial solidarity for a dynamic society. Identity Christianity can supply this in the 21st century.

Identity Christians have a vision of the future many would consider neo-Nietzschean. They see a future mankind not only physically but also spiritually perfected and free of the disease of self-abnegation, the most pervasive and destructive evil of "orthodox" Christianity. Although the Old Testament plays a large part in their theology and eschatology, they see present-day Jews not as the descendants of the group celebrated in the Old Testament but as a combination of races in no way connected to it.

There is much historical as well as genetic and biblical support for this view. Many believe—including many Jews—that most present-day Jews are descended from Khazars, a Turko-Mongolian race from southern Russia. Arthur Koestler, himself Jewish, has authored *The Thirteenth Tribe,* which clarifies this. Others believe that many of today's Jews are derived from the Neanderthals. The appearance of many Khazars raises this possibility. One of the main proponents of this theory is Stan Gooch.[2]

Identity Christians have no fear or reluctance in discussing race as a life-fact. They believe that the Aryan white races—the Germanic and Keltic peoples in particular—are literally the chosen people of God—and as such they have the profound responsibility to act accordingly. They completely lack the false modesty, sanctimonious humility, guilt and self-hatred force-fed to all other Christians.

Compared to "orthodox" Christian churches and their leaders, Identity leaders and churches are decidedly in the minority. They

The March of the Titans

There are far-seeking intellects in the white world who see a resurgent white race. One of them is Arthur Kemp, who has written an amazing book, *March of the Titans: A History of the White Race.** Kemp was born in Rhodesia and educated in South Africa., a living testament to the influence of a hostile environment on a mighty and creative spirit.

Kemp's massive work took him 23 years to write. It encompasses the history of the white race for 35,000 years, an extremely important compendium of 586 large-size pages. Published in 2005, it is sparking an intense interest and is selling throughout the English-speaking world. Kemp says that he received the impetus for his work as follows:

> The idea for writing this book came from a perusal of the history section of the Jagger Library at the University of Cape Town, South Africa, in 1983. While undertaking some unrelated research, I chanced upon a book dealing with the history of the Chinese people.
>
> Intrigued, I investigated further in that section of the library. I found rows of books dealing with the history of the Japanese, Black race, the Incas, Aztecs, the Australian Aborigines, the Arabs, Native Americans, the Polynesians—in fact there was a history of every people and every race on Earth—except, much to my surprise—the White race.
>
> This lack of a history of the White people of the world has persisted to this day: and it is to correct this imbalance that this book has been written. As it is a history of a defined race, not of any particular country, its narrative follows several continents and centuries, not limiting itself to any one geographical region.

**March of the Titans: A History of the White Race* (oversized hardback, 586 pages, #464, $55 minus 10% for TBR subscribers plus $8 S&H inside the U.S.; $16 S&H outside the U.S.) is available now from TBR BOOK CLUB, P.O. Box 15877, Washington, D.C. 20003. Call 1-877-773-9077 to charge a copy of this masterwork to Visa or MasterCard.

have no multimillionaire Billy Grahams or highly paid public relations counselors. They are vilified, erroneously confused with "British Israel" etc. All they have is blowing in the wind. The times are ripe for them.

The Identity movement is growing because Identity's message is far profounder, more significant and meaningful than that of the others, capturing as it does both the spiritual and temporal sides of man's nature in a time that cries for a healthy alternative to the diseased and suicidal pabulum to be found in Roman Catholicism and all non-Identity Protestant sects.

Based on this reality, one must conclude that the Identity message holds out hope for survival—not only spiritual and cultural survival—but racial survival.

Without a means of confronting the onrushing third world, white civilization is doomed. It can do nothing else but deteriorate to a third world level with all that implies: the final triumph of liberalism; political correctness; a garbage culture; poverty; the extermination of the middle class and then Marxism. It means Jewish political and cultural domination, including a political tyranny comparable to Stalinism.

Such is the future we face unless the West is renewed and rejuvenated by an outlook inspired by the message of Identity. ❖

ENDNOTES:

[1]"Within five years there will be no majority race in our largest state, California. In a little more than 50 years there will be no majority race in the United States. [Applause] No other nation in history has gone through demographic change of this magnitude in so short a time." —President Clinton, Portland State University commencement, June 13, 1998.

[2]Colin Wilson discusses evidence and theories of Neanderthal survival into the modern age, including the possibility of their recent breeding with *Homo sapiens sapiens*, in his book *Unsolved Mysteries*. He notes that Stan Gooch holds to a theory that the Jews are modern descendants of the Neanderthals (while pointing out that Gooch, himself, is a Jew). (wikipedia.org/wiki/Neanderthal) See also "The Neanderthal Code: Will an Extinct Genome Reveal What Makes Us Human?" by Michael Dumiak in *Archaeology* magazine, Nov./Dec. 2006.

WILLIS A. CARTO is the publisher of THE BARNES REVIEW. For the past 50 years he has exposed and opposed the meddlesome and destructive nature of world Zionism. Carto has published more than 200 different books.

PURCHASE ADDITIONAL COPIES of this article in an easy-to-distribute 4-page pamphlet. One copy is $2. Ten to 99 copies are $1 each. 100 or more copies are 50¢ each. Send payment to TBR, P.O. Box 15877, Dept. WAC, Washington, D.C. 20003.

White Americans Target of Extinction

Any person with doubt that Latin American immigration, both legal and illegal, is the No. 1 problem for those who wish to perpetuate traditional white institutions in America should just savor the rancor of some leaders of the invasion. Quotes compiled by Ralph P. Forbes.

"Por la raza todo. Fuera de la raza nada!" ("Everything for the race—nothing outside the race!")

—Motto of **MEChA** (Movimiento Estudiantíl Chicano de Aztlán) the Chicano Student Movement of Aztlan, committed to conquering the American Southwest.

"We have to fight for OUR RACE; we have to find the leaders who represent us."

—GEORGE P. BUSH (son of Florida Governor Jeb Bush and his Mexican-born wife Columba, and nephew of President George W. Bush).

"To the gringos in the audience, I have one final message to convey, 'Up yours, baby. You've had it from now on.' Our devil has pale skin and blue eyes. . . . We have an aging white America. They are not making babies. They are dying. It's a matter of time. [Laughter] The explosion is in our population. . . . I love it. They are [defecating] in their pants with fear. I love it. . . . We have got to eliminate the gringo, and what I mean by that is, if the worst comes to the worst, we have got to kill him."

—Professor Jose Angel Gutierrez, Director of Mexican-American Studies Center at the University of Texas at Arlington. Gutierrez has been praised by Antonio Villaraigosa, LA mayor. See www.americanpatrol.com/reference/joseangel/gutierrezquote.html.

"We are celebrating "the last gasp of white America in California."

—Art Torres, chairman of the California Democratic Party, a former California State Senator, speaking to 400 cheering Latinos at U.C. Riverside on January 14, 1995. www.americanpatrol.com/reference/torres.html.

"California is going to be a Hispanic state, and anyone who doesn't like it should leave. They should go back to Europe."

—Mario Obledo, California state Secretary of Health, Education & Welfare 1975-82, awarded the Presidential Medal of Freedom by Bill Clinton/

"They're afraid we're going to take over their governmental institutions. . . . They're right. We will take them over. . . . We are here to stay."

—Richard Alatorre, Los Angeles City Council (Latino Summit Conference in Los Angeles, September 1996).

"[To Anglo Saxons:] Go back to Boston! Go back to Plymouth Rock, Pilgrims! Get out! We are the future. You are old and tired. Go on. We have beaten you. Leave like beaten rats. You old white people. It is your duty to die. Right now, we're already controlling those elections, whether it's by violence or nonviolence. *Through love of having children, we are going to take over."*

—Augustin Cebada of the Brown Berets de Aztlán, a paramilitary offshoot of the Movimiento Estudiantil Chicano de Aztlán (MEChA), shouted during a 4th of July rally held in Westwood, California, in 1996.

"There's a growing feeling *'Why should we pay for all these senior citizens if the majority of them are white* and all they were willing to pay for us was prisons?"

—Rodolfo Acuna, author of the best-selling *Occupied America* (a book filled with anti-white American vitriol) and professor of Chicano studies at Cal State Northridge.

"Today, here in Los Angeles, we are already seeing ominous signs of an impending social explosion that will make the French rebellion by Muslim and immigrant youths seem 'tame' by comparison. . . . All the ingredients are present including a hostile and racist police as in France. In fact, we came close to having major riots on three separate occasions just this year alone."

—Ernesto Cienfuegos, *Voz de Aztlan*

"Demography is destiny! All we have to do is look at how Latin demographics are changing the complexion of America to see the inevitability of our rise to power."

—This is how **La Raza** (Mexican racists) summarizes the message of Dr. Juan Andrade Jr., president of USHLI (United States Hispanic Leadership Institute). The Mexican racists boast: "Our community is growing at an average rate of over one million persons per year, and it is important that the United States see us coming together." Andrade forecasts that "in the next 20 years the number of [Hispanic] lawmakers will increase from 250 to almost a thousand, and the number of elected [Hispanic] officials will rise from 5,000 to 50,000. In the next 35 years the number of [Hispanics] in Congress will grow from 24 to approximately 100. And in the not-too-distant future we will elect the first [Hispanic] president or vice president of the United States."

German Field Marshal Friedrich Paulus (left) and members of his staff surrender in the city of Stalingrad on February 2, 1943. The Battle of Stalingrad ended in the complete victory of Soviet troops. Leon Degrelle blamed General von Paulus for the defeat, feeling the German armies could have easily pushed the Soviets across the Volga and then turned to capture what Degrelle saw as the real objective of the campaign—the rich oil fields of Azerbaijan. But Paulus's personal foibles and lack of consistent leadership allowed the German troops to be caught in Stalingrad at a time of year when temperatures in Russia were so cold, many of the ill-prepared Germans froze to death. Before it was all over, Germany had lost 25-30% of her invading army group in the USSR.

THE RUSSIAN HELL

Mother Nature & the Bolshevik hordes Team up to crush Hitler's armies

IN THIS CHAPTER, FORMER BELGIAN POLITICAL LEADER LEON DEGRELLE relates what he personally saw and experienced as a soldier and officer on the legendary "eastern front" from the invasion of June 1941 to November 1942, when the Germans were encircled at Stalingrad. It is a tale, on both sides, of unending horror, paralyzing cold, resolve, innovation and heroism.

BY GEN. LEON DEGRELLE

From December 1941 to April 1942 the tragedy was growing all along Germany's 1,500-mile wide eastern front, which stretched from Petsamo, Finland to the Sea of Azov off the Black Sea. We foreign volunteers, as lost as the Germans in those ghastly steppes, were reduced to the same extremities: dying of cold, dying of hunger, but fighting anyway.

My Belgian comrades and I were thrashing about in the snows of the Donets region in Ukraine. Everywhere the arctic north wind was howling. Our enemies were howling. Our fighting positions against wind and man were carved from blocks of ice.

The orders were strict: "No retreat."

The suffering was unspeakable, indescribable. No one would risk going outside unless they had to.

It was horrible, horrible. In our sector of the Ukraine alone, the central peaks of the Donets, more than 11,000 wounded died in a few months in the miserable former school building where, cut off from everything by snow that reached up to 12 feet high, military doctors, trembling with fatigue, amputated hundreds of their feet and arms and sewed up ripped abdomens.

Medical evacuations of the wounded—sprawled out in all directions—from our combat positions to that ghoulish shop, were done with the little carts used by the Russian peasants. The bodies were barely protected by a bit of straw pulled from the thatched roofs of the last *isbas* (huts built of logs) still standing. Sending the wounded back could take several days.

By then the dead were no longer getting a prompt burial. They were just covered over with hard-packed snow as best as possible. They would have to wait for spring thaw to find a proper grave.

A raging swarm of vermin devoured us alive. Our filthy clothes themselves had become mere rags. And our underclothes, now gray-brown, grew more threadbare each week. Still, they sometimes ended up as emergency bandages for the wounded.

Some of our soldiers went mad, screaming as they ran straight out into the endless snow. After every extended hand-to-hand combat, four, five or six men from the battalion would take off like that. The steppe swallowed them up quickly.

Never, I believe, anywhere in the world, have so many men suffered so much.

But the rest of us stood fast in spite of everything. A general retreat at that point across those interminable white, devouring wastes would have been suicide. The steadfast refusal by Hitler to retreat, sending his panicking generals to the devil with their calls for a 50- or 100-mile pullback, saved the army.

That can never be emphasized enough.

In the 40 to 50 degrees-below-zero weather with the tornados of snow that knocked everything down, what would have been the

result of a retreat?

Most of the men would have perished *en route,* as Napoleon's army perished on the road back. And Napoleon was retreating, not in the dead of winter, but in October and November, that is, in the late autumn.

And Napoleon was retreating along a single axis. He was not pulling troops back that were scattered over a 1,500-mile-wide front, over vast steppes shrouded in glacial mystery. But out of the hundreds of thousands of men that Napoleon had taken back with him in his simple autumn retreat, only a few thousand survived.

So what would have happened to the German troops, spread out, swallowed up in the endless snows of January-February 1942 in the most terrible cold in a century?

For a simple liaison operation one day in January 1942, it took us 17 hours to cross two miles of wasteland, cutting our way through the snow with shovels and axes. The only snowplow furnished to our sector had been brought to a halt by walls of ice. The plow never succeeded in breaking through in spite of furious efforts.

And even if, at the cost of the most terrible suffering, we had succeeded in carrying out a retreat of 50 or 100 miles, using up two- or three-weeks, what would have changed?

Would there have been three inches less of snow?

One degree less of cold?

A large part of the army would have perished in the retreat.

The rest would have found themselves in a situation even more frightful, drained of their last physical and moral strength by such an effort. Worse, they would have had to leave their defensive bunkers behind and abandon heavy weapons along the way.

In this struggle with his generals, Hitler was right. We had to dig ourselves in where we were and protect ourselves at any cost. To take it, suffer, endure, but survive.

And even to charge the enemy when, cut off from the rear, we absolutely had to find a little food or a place to bed down.

The Russians, a people of the snow, were not only physically hardier than we were and used to the frightful cold of this climate, but they had known for centuries how to resist it. They possessed the art of making shelters against the cold that were much more protective than our own poor, awkwardly improvised refuges.

Some of the Russian snow camps were small semi-underground hamlets of Mongols. Their small, nervous horses lived with the soldiers. They were tough and stocky, their eyes slanted from squinting into the snow-glare, their cheeks yellow from the grease they smeared on them to keep them warm. Their feet, in their felt boots, were wrapped in thick flannel bands. Their uniforms, double- or triple-thick, were quilted all over. Through this barrier, the north wind could not penetrate.

They had lived thus since time immemorial, and even this particularly grim winter did not overly surprise them. Protected against nature's hostility, they could give themselves over to violent offensive operations all along the front.

We had to counterattack, therefore, to retake the lost steppes; we reconquered the destroyed villages, and in front of the fire-blackened walls of the huts, we built parapets of ice blocks. But miles of snow separated our posts, and the enemy infiltrated everywhere. The hand-to-hand struggles were frightful. On one day, February 28, 1942, in a wiped-out village called Gromovaya-Balka ("Thunder Ravine"), our battalion resisted an attack by 4,000 Russians for eight days. Ideally, a full-strength battalion had up to 1,000 men. In one terrifying brawl that lasted from six in the morning until nightfall, we lost half of our comrades.

We defended ourselves desperately among the frozen cadavers of dead horses, off which the bullets pinged as if they were crystal.

The Russians advanced in closed ranks, draped in their long purplish coats. New waves of them surged over us endlessly, to be shot down by us on the frozen ponds. That is what the Russian winter was like. For seven months there was nothing but blinding whiteness. The cold gnawed into our bodies. The fighting cleaned out our last physical reserves.

Then one morning the sun appeared, all red over the white hills. The snow melted down little by little around the high poles, topped with bundles of straw, which had marked the paths until the day even their snowy tufts had been submerged. Brownish water poured impetuously from all the hills and collected in the valleys. A windmill began to turn again in the blue sky. The Calvary of millions of German and non-German soldiers on the Russian front had come to an end.

"New waves of them surged over us endlessly, to be shot down by us on the frozen ponds. That is what the Russian winter was like. For seven months there was blinding whiteness."

But now we had to resume the business of conquering Russia. Now remember: Hitler's war tactics were based, not only on a new strategy—tanks and fighter planes swooping down together *en masse*—but also on the element of surprise.

By 1942 the element of surprise could no longer be counted on. Stalin was already familiar with our methods; our *supériorité d'initiative* was lost.

Hitler's original plan, the Blitzkrieg, or "lightning-war," was inspired: an overwhelming flood of tanks and troops erupting to the rear of the enemy, cutting off food, supplies and rescue—but only after a massive surprise breakthrough by the main infantry force that disintegrates the enemy's front lines at its weakest points. This in turn was preceded by a battering ram made up of masses of tanks operating on their own, far ahead of the troops—but first, ahead of everything, the second the attack begins, Stukas bomb and strafe, sowing terror, breaking up the ranks of the enemy and opening passageways for those to come.

In Poland, Holland, in the north of France and in Yugoslavia this new method of war had been successful because, in each of these countries, it was the first time it had been employed. So the giant pincers of fire and steel could sweep around and close behind the adversary, squeezing, demoralizing and wiping him out in the blink of an eye. Often, in a few days, 100,000 to 200,000 men were taken prisoner.

Hitler recycled the same scythe-like formula in Russia in 1941, but on an even larger scale, especially in the Ukraine and the Donetz. In four months several million prisoners and thousands of guns and tanks had been captured and catalogued.

But the Urals were much farther than the Pyrenees—600 miles from Germany to the Pyrenees and 1,200 miles to the Urals. We

should have advanced to them sooner, or else have been able, thanks to a very superior tank force, to carry out two or three times more encirclements—instead of what ended up happening: racing up and down a long front line, from north to south and back, with the same limited forces.

The cold had outstripped Hitler; it had fallen upon us with its 40- and 50-degree-below-zero temperatures, stronger than the steel of the tank divisions or the resolution of his intrepid corps commanders. In 1942, it was necessary to start the advance all over again—this time without the element of surprise.

Moreover, Stalin was himself a genius in his own way, an elemental force that each day was reinvigorated by the blood of others. Stalin by then had time not only to figure out the secrets of the Hitlerian strategy that had almost crushed him, but also to formulate a solid defensive strategy against it.

And that strategy was simple: gain time. Gain months—years—during which he could move his weapons industries farther east and equip and form new armies, draining pitilessly the vast reservoir of 200 million Soviet slaves.

He would forge, in his turn, scores of tank divisions that, one day, would soar beyond the 20,000 mark: far beyond Hitler's few thousand panzers—those tank troops that, cleverly deployed, had assured Hitler his astounding victories from 1939 in Poland to the western campaigns of 1940 and to the Balkan and Russian campaigns of 1941.

In the summer of 1942, Hitler was still racking up spectacular victories between the Don and Volga rivers and as far as the Caucasus Mountains. But the new attempts at large encirclements did not succeed. Like a bear that cannot be surprised twice, Russia had now figured out the traps and always escaped in time.

The last major Soviet error was committed in May 1942. And its failure taught Stalin a lesson. His troops paid dearly for taking the initiative against the Wehrmacht prematurely. Perhaps they were thinking to disrupt the massive offensive the Germans were preparing to launch to the south. By the first days of May 1942, at any rate, we seemed to be on the verge of being overwhelmed by an enormous avalanche of Soviet troops that were thrusting from the region of Kharkov, in northeastern Ukraine, down toward the Dnieper and the big city of Dniepropetrovsk to its southwest.

The Russians broke through the German front at breakneck speed. But all they did was bolt ahead into German-held territory. In order to demolish enemy forces after you penetrate their lines, it is not sufficient to outrun them. The Russians had not yet fully grasped the mechanism of the encircling pincers. We allowed them to race behind our lines and out into the void. The German divisions and the foreign volunteers—Belgians, Hungarians, Romanians, Croa-

Devastating Defeat

In September 1942, the German commander of the German Sixth Army, General Friedrich von Paulus, assisted by the Fourth Panzer Army, advanced on the city of Stalingrad. His primary task was originally to secure the huge oil fields at Baku. Ironically, the German Sixth Army need not have gotten entangled in Stalingrad at all. Army Groups A and B were already well on their way to the Caucasus in southwest Russia when the attack on Stalingrad was ordered. However, from a strategic point of view, it would have been unwise to leave a major city unconquered in the rear. Stalingrad was also an important center of communications as well as a hub for manufacturing. In early September 1942, the German army advanced to the city. The Soviets, already devastated by the power of Hitler's blitzkrieg during Operation Barbarossa, made a last-ditch stand. Stalin's order was "Not a step backwards." The Soviet troops held on and Hitler's efforts were thwarted. The Battle of Stalingrad is considered by many historians to have been the turning point in World War II in Europe. It nearly destroyed the German army in Russia, sending it into full retreat. The above photo shows a long line of captive German soldiers being marched from the city of Stalingrad on February 1, 1943.

tians and Italians—did not lose their heads when the meteor entered. They were not scattered by the breakthrough; they stayed glued to the flanks of the enemy's speeding column, keeping up with him. They closed behind him when, unthinking, he had thrust much too deep. So the encircler was encircled. Again, as in the glory days of 1941, hundreds of thousands of Russians were taken prisoner. None of their units escaped.

For the Russians it was a great disaster, and Hitler completed it. Taking advantage of the terrible Soviet losses, he threw his forces farther east to Orel, opening to his troops the plains of the Don, the industrial powerhouse of Stalingrad, and the Caucasus where Soviet oil was located, especially at Baku.

Stalin now understood clearly that he was no match tactically for the victor. He would never risk another direct attack on the Wehrmacht until his forces had grown massively superior to those of the Reich.

Only numbers could trump the superior tactics of the tank troops of Hitler, tactics that were still formidable in the spring of 1942. But the German tactical advantage would steadily grow weaker. The bright young commanders of the Red Army, liberated from the habitual ignorance of their elders, came to understand—through time, determination and analysis of their defeats—the strategy that had made Hitler the victor; it would now make him the vanquished.

"In public squares there awaited us hideous statues made of vulgar concrete, all identical, of Lenin with his Asiatic eyes, dressed as a shopkeeper as usual, or a sportive Turkish Mameluke."

It was still possible to believe, in the summer of 1942, that this time Hitler, by thrusting into the extreme south of Soviet Russia, was going to prevail decisively against the Soviet colossus. The breakthroughs in July and August 1942 had been astounding. We ourselves, who participated in them, were dazed. We crossed the magnificent plains of the Don River, where millions of stalks of corn and sunflowers, 13 feet high, extended from horizon to golden horizon. Automatic weapons slung around our necks, we swam across the green rivers a half-mile wide at the foot of hills topped with old Tatar tombs and festooned with bunches of ripening grapes. We advanced 15 or 20 miles each day. In a few weeks the left wing of the offensive of Army Group South had arrived in the vicinity of Stalingrad.

On the right wing, we ourselves had crossed the river Don and reached the immense lakes of Manich, reflecting the moonlight like millions of surreal daisies on the waves. Camels stood about with their bald humps, hairless as old leather. A whirlwind of dust over 10 miles long announced the column of tanks that followed us and the other thousands of young infantrymen, collars open. We were singing our heads off under the blazing summer sun.

At the beginning of August, beyond the Kuban River, the giant peaks of the Caucasus rose before our dazzled eyes, like shining white glass. In the clearings of the first forests we saw wooden huts perched on stilts to protect the peasants from the wolves in wintertime. Armenians were leading gigantic oxen about. We had advanced over 600 miles. We had reached the borders of Asia. Who could stop us now?

But in reality we had gotten nowhere fast, because while we had grabbed the terrain, we had not grabbed our adversary by the throat. The latter had fled east and north before it could be trapped in our encirclements again. Everywhere, they had vanished. We even believed they no longer existed. They did not make a stand until we had almost reached the end of our course, close to our goals yet terribly far from our supply bases.

We had by then been thinned out numerically. The wounded, the crippled and those sick with dysentery had been left behind on our way—many, many of them. The summer was about to end. It was only then that the Russians confronted us, at the moment when the first rains of autumn pelted down in torrents. Was the Russian weather—rains and winter—again going to stop us? Was nature's wrath going to cause us to fail?

Now lucid, having at last understood that a second bloodbath like that of 1941 would finish him off, Stalin had carefully seen to it that his troops always had freedom to move. Better to give up 500 miles of Russia than 5 million men, as he had done the year before. In a war between large powers, space is an accordion: it contracts and it expands.

We had succeeded in conquering the golden summer air and the naked ground. But the steel rails of the railroads had been severed every ten yards. The factories had been stripped of equipment down to the last workbench, the last nuts and bolts. Coal fires burned everywhere, billowing up in tremendous orange masses that spooked our horses. Nothing remained in the villages but bent old peasant men, their pious, meek wives, and handsome blond children playing near the wooden wells. In public squares there awaited us hideous statues made of vulgar concrete, all identical, of Lenin with his Asiatic eyes, dressed as a shopkeeper as usual, or a sportive Turkish Mameluke.

The only serious resistance we met came just at the end, just at the moment when we should have been wrapping up our victory by seizing the oil wells of Azerbaijan—the real objective of our drive to the south—while Gen. Friedrich Paulus should have been pushing the Russians completely across the Volga River, which would have become the new border of Europe. But there too the Soviets suddenly stood as if turned to stone.

I, like so many others, had experienced the desperate efforts of those past weeks in which we felt for the first time that victory—Russia itself—would escape us. We had gotten within 50 miles of Asia, of eastern Turkey, into high, wild mountains—virgin forests of oak trees through which one could advance only by hatchet blows, a maze of obstacles drowning in autumn rains.

Tanks could not pass. Horses could not pass, or died first of hunger, whipped by the cold winds. We edged sideways through the sodden woods with their endless, spongy vegetation, blocked by thick underbrush and ripped by thousands of wild blackthorns.

There the Russians were kings; they were in their element. They had prepared their positions well in advance, lurking on guard against us in the thick brush or straddling a tree branch in the enormous forest. They set a thousand traps for us and sniped at us; they were invisible and everywhere.

Then the rains, mixed with the first snows, descended on us like a hurricane. They washed away behind us the wooden bridges we

had erected over the mountain torrents during our advance. It was by those wooden bridges, and by them only, that whatever food and ammunition was available could get to us on the mountain.

Thrown back on our own resources, we lived on raw meat from our own horses that had died one or two weeks previously, which surging waters cast up at the bends of the streams. With our knives we reduced the soft, rotting tissue to a sort of blackish paste.

Jaundiced livers then transformed the soldiers into specters; in our sector alone, near Adler and Tuapse on the Black Sea, 12,000 jaundice cases were evacuated in one week. Our Wallonian [French Belgian] Legion, like a number of other units, was no more than a shadow of itself, reduced to a seventh of its fighting force.

Just skin, gristle and bones, we were perched on tempest-swept peaks more than 4,000 feet high, living under trees twisted since saplinghood by the autumnal gale-force winds. The Russians climbed up at night from tree trunk to tree trunk, up to the water-soaked shelters that marked our ridgeline between the mountain crests. We let them approach until they were two or three yards away. Then, in the darkness, we turned to man-to-man mortal combat.

The barrages during the day were heavy, and the Russians could not fetch their dead down from the trees. For two or three weeks they remained there, sometimes dangling upside down, until a combination of decomposition and blasting knocked their heads loose, leaving their vertebrae exposed like an African bone necklace.

Few among us had not been wounded. I had had my stomach punctured and my liver perforated. But what could I do but stay with my men, on the edge of despair? Starving, hirsute, we were human wrecks. How, in that condition, could we survive another Russian winter—when the snows would again cover the entire mountain chain, and efface all the supply routes that lay behind us?

Leon Degrelle was a natural leader of men. Here he is shown relaxing for just a moment with several of his comrades during a lull in fighting.

It was then, on November 19, 1942, at 5 a.m., at the far end of the German southern front, northwest of Stalingrad by the Kremenskaya Bridge over the Don, that thousands of Soviet cannon opened up in a unanimous roar. Thousands of tanks stormed across the positions of our allies in the Third and Fourth Romanian armies. A week later, 230,000 German soldiers would find themselves shrinking eastward into Stalingrad, deeper into Russia, farther from their comrades to the west.

It was an encirclement that was no more serious, in reality, than 20 other encirclements that the Russians had learned by then to make, and one from which we could have escaped. But the stubbornness and apathy of the nitpicking functionary, Paulus, would turn Stalingrad, in the space of a few weeks, into a German disaster.

World War II—the second world war—had come to its fracture line. The invincible Germany of Hitler had been beaten for the first time; it had now toppled onto the slippery slope of defeat. The downward slide would be prolonged, another thousand days of fighting, before the alleged corpse of Hitler would burn in Berlin, amid 50 gallons of gasoline, in the blackened garden of the chancellery.* ❖

* Did Hitler really perish in Germany in 1945, or was he spirited away to a safe location? Read the book ***Escape from the Bunker: The Memoirs of Nazi Spy Don Angel Alcazar de Velasco*** by Harry Cooper (softcover, 90 pages, $16 postpaid inside the U.S.) available from Poisoned Pen Publishing, P.O. Box 2770, Stafford, VA 22555. Check and money orders drawn of U.S. banks accepted. Outside the U.S. add $10 S&H.

BELGIAN WAFFEN SS GEN. LEON DEGRELLE was an individual of exceptional intellect, dedicated to Western culture. What Degrelle has to say is vastly important and has great relevance to the continuing struggle today for the survival of civilization as we know it. This latest chapter of the valuable works of Gen. Degrelle has been translated by ***JOHN NUGENT***, a former Marine machinegunner, linguist and writer and ***MARGARET HUFFSTICKLER***, a linguist, writer and musical vocalist.

NEXT TBR: Chapter 10 of Leon Degrelle's *MY REVOLUTIONARY LIFE*

In Chapter 10 of Gen. Leon Degrelle's *My Revolutionary Life* entitled "Hitler and His Women," Degrelle examines Hitler and the ladies—including Eva Braun. He reveals Hitler's lifestyle and the physical impression he made on others during the 10 years the Walloon knew him. Did evil sparks flow from his handshake, as a hostile Romanian princess claimed? Did his eyes enthrall? Degrelle "tells all" in the next installment.

THE

ALL SIX ISSUES 2006 IN ONE BINDER

TBR BOUND VOLUME!

TBR's BOUND VOLUME for 2006—now available. Contains all issues of 2006 in one vinyl, library-style binder. That's all six issues of TBR's TWELFTH great year in its own sturdy binder. 2006 is $99. No shipping & handling inside the U.S. (Outside U.S add $20 S&H per bound volume.)

JANUARY/FEBRUARY 2006: Shattering the myth of Abraham Lincoln; the United Nations ban on Revisionism; Dr. Robert Faurisson; Europe decimated: white victims of the Barbary North African slavers; FDR's crimes at Yalta; Allied war crimes against nationalist Russians after WWII—Operation Keelhaul; Suppressed history of race science; Life on the Russian Front through the eyes of Otto Skorzeny; Thies Christophersen: The Auschwitz lie; From holocaust to hog heaven; An open letter from Ernst Zuendel; Young Degrelle moves up the political ladder in Belgium.

MARCH/APRIL 2006: Thought criminals in history and today; State of civil rights in Germany today from imprisoned scientist Germar Rudolf; The legal basis of the Nuremberg Trials; Revisionism in Iran, France and the world; David Irving: There were no factories of death; Ernst Zuendel: Letters from his cell block; A history of U.S. mistreatment of its own veterans; Abe Lincoln's overthrow of the legitimate Missouri government; Samuel Adams: America must be independent; Rasputin: The real deal on the "Mad Monk"; Was Rasputin killed by the British?; Myth of the "Wailing Wall"; *DaVinci Code* nonsense; Georges Mandel's mistreatment of prisoners; FDR's suicide?; Hitler's Nazi enemies; Europe explodes by Gen. Leon Degrelle, Part 1.

MAY/JUNE 2006: Jimmy Doolittle; Canadian WWII disasters; Horror of the Battle of Hong Kong; Curtis Dall on FDR; The Revisionist revolt; Germar Rudolf's ordeal; The saga of Reinhold Elstner: martyr for the truth; Interview with Manfred Roeder; Open letter to German prime minister; WWII "war criminal" speaks out; The Judeo-Russian Mafia; The murder of James Forrestal; Historians as tools of the global elite; Pagan religious origins of the ancient Israelites; Europe explodes, Part 2 by Gen. Leon Degrelle.

JULY/AUGUST 2006: TBR takes a good look at the Judas Gospels; Isaiah Bowman: godfather of the neocons; The Zionist-Anglo-American meltdown; The Zionist assault on Palestine; America's Pine Tree rebels; Jefferson Davis bids farewell to the Senate; What was wrong with Lee at Gettysburg?; Searching for modern Vinland; Ancient whites of Baja almost survived; Interview with Pedro Varela; Estonian Waffen SS man's memoirs; Patton fights de-Nazification; Gen. Leon Degrelle: Will Germany rule for 1,000 years?

SEPTEMBER/OCTOBER 2006: Uncensored American history: The saga of "Cattle Kate"; The enigma of Olga Chekhova; What really happened to 9-11's Flight 93?; Interview with Ingrid Rimland Zuendel—the first lady of Revisionism; The calculated assassination of white Rhodesia; War and death of the American dream; Edgar J. Steele talks to the brainwashed; Ice Age America wiped out by supernova; Hard times of the Middle Ages affected modern language; Pinning the blame on Germany for the invasion of Poland; FDR and Truman planned poison gas attack against Japan; The lessons of Nuremberg; Oradour atrocity yarn exposed as myth; Gen. Degrelle fights for Belgium's survival.

NOVEMBER/DECEMBER 2006: New revelations on the life of Jesus; Pagan rituals of Christmas; How the bankers ruined Medieval England; Pope Pius XII: the Pope of Peace; Hitler responds to FDR letter; Importance of Washington's *Farewell Address*; TBR Conference round-up; Anti-Zionist rabbis blast Israel, Zionism; Views on the Talmud, "chosen people" mentality; USSR's Marshal Zhukov's career examined; Soviet hate crimes laws come back to bite; The fraud of the "liquid bomber" plot; Gen. Leon Degrelle on Hitler's failed Russia invasion; Who escaped from the Berlin bunker?

Binders available for years 1996-2007 @ $25 each:

Empty binders to house other existing collections of TBR issues are also available with spine and cover customized for any year. Missing any 2006 issues? We have all available EXCEPT January/February. Just $10 each. Send payment to TBR, P.O. Box 15877, Washington, D.C. 20003 or call 1-877-773-9077 toll free to charge to Visa or MasterCard.

The Truth About the American Revolution

Founding Myths: Stories That Hide Our Patriotic Past

By John Tiffany

Author Ray Raphael has done an outstanding job of giving the reader authentic history that we can believe in his *Founding Myths: Stories That Hide Our Patriotic Past.* He has taken on some of the "creation myths" of the United States in a way that strips the gloss from the schoolboy legends handed down for generations and also gives us a new sense of pride in our forefathers and those who fought for our freedom in the American Revolution.


"RAY RAPHAEL IS AT HIS ICONOCLASTIC BEST IN THIS BOOK, DEMOLISHING HISTORICAL NONSENSE, SUGGESTING A NEW PATRIOTISM BASED ON TRUTH RATHER THAN MYTH." —HOWARD ZINN
FOUNDING MYTHS
Stories That Hide Our Patriotic Past
RAY RAPHAEL

Not only does Ray Raphael debunk baloney in *Founding Myths*, but he also gives the straight scoop on what really happened in those stirring Revolutionary War-era times. Best of all, he analyzes each legend that he tackles, to show the reader just how it came to take the place of reality in our historiography.

For example, he tells us how the Paul Revere fable came into existence and pushed the truth out of its way. As with many tall tales, there is a grain of truth to the Revere story. Of course, Revere was a real person, and he did serve the revolution—actually in a number of capacities, most of which have been generally forgotten in favor of the story of his famous ride. But he was not regarded, in early times, as a central player in the revolutionary saga.

Revere was little known until 1861 when the Henry Wadsworth Longfellow poem was published, 86 years after the events. As Raphael points out, "with the approach of the Civil War, Americans who supported the preservation of the union were in the market for a 'new' revolutionary hero." And it certainly did not hurt if he were to be a Yankee and not a Southerner like so many of the already famous Founding Fathers, many of whom hailed from the colony of Virginia.

But Revere never made it to Lexington; he was arrested soon after he set out on his ride. Others, however, carried the news of the marching Redcoats to the patriots in the countryside. In fact, Raphael goes on for over three pages just listing the real heroes who alerted the patriots, all of them now forgotten in favor of Revere.

The Revere chapter is just one of 13 in the book that seeks to show real, documented truth as it actually happened rather than the way the stories have been handed down today. It is well written, and the knowledge that the people founding the country were regular humans, not gods or plaster saints, does no harm to our understanding of the founding of our constitutional republic.

Among others, he debunks legends of not only Revere but also "Molly Pitcher," Sam Adams, Patrick Henry, British devils and patriotic slaves. He sets the record straight about "the shot heard 'round the world," "the hard winter at Valley Forge," Jefferson's Declaration of Independence, Bunker Hill and the "final battle at Yorktown."

This is an important book for Revisionist students of American history, and many of these yarns are long overdue for debunking. Furthermore, it is about time credit was given to some of the "common" people of the time. But be prepared for some egalitarian bias. Raphael meticulously cites his sources and certainly has done his research, but his interpretations are maybe a little too sugary, perhaps because he was afraid patriots might react in a knee-jerk fashion when seeing some of the shine taken off their Revolutionary-era golden idols. ❖

Founding Myths: Stories That Hide Our Patriotic Past, by Ray Raphael (**EITHER:** hardback, 354 pages, #452, $26.95 **OR** softcover, 354 pages, #452A, $15.95. TBR subscribers get 10% off.) is available from TBR Book Club, P.O. Box 15877, Washington, D.C. 20003. Call 1-877-773-9077 toll free to charge to Visa or MasterCard. Add S&H: $3 per book inside U.S. Add $6 per book outside U.S.

MORE LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Stuck on Stupid

George W. Bush said: "Don't get stuck on stupid." (9-7-06 speech in Georgia) He also said, "Some people in Washington should take this advice."

"Wrong Way George" should follow his own advice. "We'd rather fight the terrorists over there than over here!" shouts "Wrong Way George."

What's your new plan, George? "Stay the course!" shouts George. Same old plan with the same old planners equals same old results. Nothing changes but the larger number of soldiers and innocent civilians killed. People who do not agree with your "Wrong Way" are called "non patriotic" etc.

Hey George, when are you going to do it the "Best Way"? "We will stay, we will fight, and we will win in Iraq!" shouts George (in speech 9-7-06.) "We will replace dictatorships!" George cries. Is George making his case to attack Iran like he did with Iraq?

Hey George, do you really think all Americans are so dumb that you can sell them the same "Wrong Way" story to fight another war in the Middle East? You've fooled the American people once, you've fooled the American people twice, and now you think you can fool them again with the same old story? Get real! After all this time, 90% of all Iraqi troops are not ready to fight under the command of the Iraqi government. How much longer will "Wrong Way George" and his "Wrong Way Leadership" let this continue? How come the new Iraqi government took a vacation instead of taking care of business? How come the American taxpayer is paying for this? George Bush tells lies. Another soldier dies.

ROBERT MORRIS
Georgia

Who Escaped from the Bunker?

Thanks to Harry Cooper of Sharkhunters for publishing the book *Escape from the Bunker.* What a book! It shook me to the core. Going back in time into that era is almost unreal and—thanks to the editor—I found myself right in the middle of events. *Escape from the Bunker* is written incredibly true to life; it's hard to put it down. Now my husband can have it. I had precedence this time!

HERTA RUTHARD
Canada

(*Escape from the Bunker* is available from Poisoned Pen Publishing, P.O. Box 2770, Stafford, VA 22555 for $13 plus $3 S&H inside the U.S. Add $10 S&H per book outside U.S. Checks or money orders drawn on U.S. banks only.)

Restore U.S. Constitution

Congratulations on a superb editorial in the July/August TBR. I only wish it could be widely circulated in other publications. Restoring the U.S. Constitution should be a top priority for every American patriot.

ADRIAN KRIEG
Florida

Crucifixion

It's amazing how many times this falshood about crucifixion keeps coming up. If you check an anatomy book you will see the hand is crisscrossed with ligaments and tendons and that it can certainly support the body during crucifixion. Also you call the Archeologic Institute of America a professional society. I don't think so. I subscribed to their magazine *Archaeology* for many years but gave it up because of some glaring errors.

RICHARD J. SAVADEL
New York

Just What Is Derogatory?

I was flabbergasted by the comment of Dr. Harrell Rhome, who, being an M. Div., surely should know better. Rhome writes: "Gospel writers refer to Judas as a thief and betrayer. The truth is Jesus never referred to Judas in any derogatory fashion."

Mark and Matthew have Jesus saying: "The Son of man goeth as it is written of him; but woe unto that man by whom the Son of man is betrayed. It had been good for that man if he had not been born."

If someone had said about you: "It would be better if you had never been born," would you not consider this to be derogatory? There are so many other inconsistencies and irresponsibly bizarre conclusions by Rhome in this article, there is no point in pointing them out after this pearl of wisdom.

GEORGE LARIN
New York

Judas Gospel

Regarding the "Judas Gospel" article (TBR July/August) view that the temple police would not have needed help in identifying Jesus, consider these Bible verses: Luke 4:29, John 17:6, and John 19:5. I am merely suggesting the TBR writer seems to be missing the essence of the drama.

GLENN DROEGKAMP
Wisconsin

Minding Our Ps and Qs

You deserve enormous credit for striving, through so many years, to make the true facts of history known to all. But this is not to say no mistakes have been made. Past issues of TBR have shown, for example, that you need to be more careful about how you use such a term as "thought control" and to whom you apply it. It is a mistake to give the impression that thought control is in itself always something bad. You surely realize that God Himself is supreme as to thought control. He cannot be criticized for forbidding us ("Thou shalt not . . .") to have wrong ideas in regard to our neighbor's wife and our neighbor's goods.

TBR is very plainly a thought control publication. You give us to understand that your aim is to prevent falsification or suppression of true facts and correct thinking. That is the right kind of thought control.

But it is something decidedly different when you occasionally show the offensive tendency to make the divinely founded Catholic Church look like a wrong-way thought control institution, as happens when you touch upon certain issues that you consider to be controversial. Here is your chance to show that you do not wish to exercise the wrong kind of thought control, which you would do if you abbreviate or alter anything in this letter. Let this letter be published exactly as written, without any omission or change.

FR. MARTIN STEPANICH, O.F.M.
Illinois

MAKE YOUR VOICE HEARD!

Let free speech reign. TBR prints all sides of all issues. Enjoy your freedom of speech while you have it. Send your concise and thoughtful letters (300 words or less please) to TBR Editor, P.O. Box 15877, Washington, D.C. 20003 or email us at editor@barnesreview.org. We do reserve the right to edit letters for length but will strive to retain the spirit of your letter. Please give name, city and state.

Doubts About Galileo

Regarding your March/April 2006 editorial: I do not mean this to be an exhaustive critique of that piece, as I do not have the time to devote to that exercise. Suffice it to comment on this one quote from the first paragraph: "This great thinker (Galileo) tried to explain to his narrow, orthodoxy imprisoned, 'Earth-is-Flat' contemporaries that the Sun did not revolve around the Earth, but *vice versa*." My criticism here is that Galileo was putting forth a theory that is unproved to this day. No one yet has been able to prove that Galileo's theory is true. This was the whole point of his conflict with the "orthodoxy-imprisoned" church. The church maintained that you couldn't teach a theory as a fact. The church's point is still valid today. Yet, you print a whole article with the slant that it is narrow-minded not to accept generally held ideas, even though there is no proof for such ideas.

We should not accept as fact the holocaust (as it is generally understood), heliocentricity or any other unproved ideas without offering a better examination than most other important ideas receive.

Many of the people you list in that article were of the mind that they were going to force their ideas on others as true. It was only the church that stood between truth and unfounded ideas. It was the pride of many of these innovators that, in many cases, led to their downfall. Galileo, more humble than many of these "original" thinkers, did, as he grew older, accept the wisdom of the church in the matter of his ideas.

RONALD KNARR
Via e-mail

A Few Corrections, Please

I just finished reading your otherwise excellent July/August issue. But you had several embarrassing mistakes. In the article by Mr. and Mrs. Bollyn, *Solbuch* should be *Soldbuch*; *Obersturmpanfuehrer* should be *Obersturmbannfuehrer*; *Liebstandarte* should be *Leibstandarte*. I have my doubts about Kuklane too, but that is for another day.

But what Dan Michaels wrote is more serious. He wrote (on p. 11) that Germany was charged a "$15 million indemnity and additional reparations." Such a paltry sum would be laughable. Under the criminal Versailles Treaty, Germany had to pay 269 billion gold marks. In addition, she had to turn over 180,000 milch cows, 43 million tons of coal yearly for 10 years, timber, her complete navy, more than half of the merchant fleet, all her airplanes, and the hunger blockade was still in effect for several years after the war, which cost Germany many lives through starvation.

Also taken away were over a third of Germany's lands, given to Italy, Poland, Belgium, France, Denmark, Japan and to the Czechs. And since 1990, Germany had to resume payments for the Versailles Treaty at $6.9 million and $3.3 million for payments per year.

G. WILD
Ontario

First Rate

Your magazine is first rate; I read almost every issue cover to cover.

JOHN MASSARO
New York

Revisionist David Irving Asks for Your Help

David Irving
No. 70306
JA Josefstadt
A-1080 Vienna

Vienna Prison, October 20, 2006

Dear Willis [Carto, TBR publisher],

I've been held here in Austria's oldest jail for a year—arrested at gunpoint by eight police officers for an opinion I expressed 17 years ago; but rumors that I have suffered a stroke are untrue, planted I suspect on the Internet by the usual people. The rumors caused a lot of worry for my family, but the truth is I am fit and better looked after here in jail than I would be in London—and safer!

Already I have written 4,000 pages of memoirs, and a manuscript on Heinrich Himmler, so, tho' my wife and little girl in the U.K. are suffering great hardship, the time is not lost.

I have received 2,000 letters of support. Only two letters have been hostile.

The prison officials privately treat me like a V.I.P. but we need financial aid to see this nerve-straining battle for Real History through.

I can be reached through P.O. Box 1707, Key West, FL 33041; or send help online through www.fpp.co.uk. Send an email of support to focalp@aol.com.

As for being dead already, I've got bad news for the enemy. *Totgesagte leben lang*, the Germans say—those pronounced dead prematurely live long.

Best regards to your wife, Elisabeth.

Yours Sincerely,
DAVID IRVING

Peace to Men of Goodwill

I found the closing line of your editorial in the November/December issue very quaint ("Peace on Earth, goodwill toward men and women and children"). In olden times, before printing was invented, manuscripts had to be hand copied.

The persons copying the texts are known as scribes. In the New Testament period scribes often were professional copyists and might not have understood all they copied. This fact accounts for a number of the errors they made. Scribal errors are a problem in the Bible, the Koran and other ancient writings. Remember the famous King James Version line: "Glory to God in the highest, and on Earth peace, goodwill toward men" (Luke 2:14), which still gets repeated in nativity plays and is viewed as an example of the nobility of Christianity.

According to Richard C. Carrier (a historian and philosopher specializing in science, philosophy, historical method and Greco-Roman religious and intellectual history), only in recent times was it discovered that some scribe long ago failed to record a single letter (a sigma, "s") at the end of this line, giving rise to the above translation.

The Latin Vulgate Bible, translated late in the 4th century, copied from a correct edition and so preserving the original meaning, renders it as: "peace on Earth toward men of goodwill," which is not quite as noble—as it does not wish peace on anyone else. But the real phrase is perhaps even less noble, since the phrase most likely means "peace on Earth toward men [who enjoy God's] goodwill"—in other words peace only for those of whom God approves.

BRYAN LAVIOLETTE
Baldwin Park, California

TBR subscribers: Remember you get 10% off all book and video purchases.

TBR Book Club Discount Ordering Form

P.O. Box 15877, Washington, D.C. 20003

Item #	Book/Video Item Description	Qty	$ Each	$ Total
EXTRA OPTIONAL DONATION TOWARD TBR'S PUBLISHING EFFORTS:				

Subtotal:	
—10%*	
S&H Domestic:	
S&H Foreign:	
ORDER TOTAL:	

PAYMENT METHOD: ❑ Check/Money Order ❑ Visa ❑ MasterCard

Card # _____

Expires _____ Signature _____

MAILING INFORMATION: (Attach mailing label below if you desire)
Please make any address corrections on label or indicate address change.

❑ MY ADDRESS HAS CHANGED. Corrected address is below.

NAME _____

ADDRESS _____

CITY, STATE, ZIP _____

DAYTIME CONTACT PHONE (OPTIONAL): _____

TBR17

FOR FASTEST SERVICE have your MasterCard or Visa ready and call 1-877-773-9077 toll free to order OR remove this ordering form and mail to TBR, P.O. Box 15877, Washington, D.C. 20003. Order books & subscriptions online at barnesreview.org. A one-year domestic subscription is $46. Outside the U.S. a one-year subscription is $58.

DIST #:

TOLL FREE ORDERS LINE
(FOR ORDERING ONLY)
MasterCard/Visa
1-877-773-9077
M-F 8:30 am to 5:30 pm PST

BECOME A DISTRIBUTOR!
❑ Please send me information about becoming a BARNES REVIEW **Distributor** so I can find out how I can make 50% of every subscription I sell. I have included my daytime contact phone at lower left so you can contact me about the program.

DOMESTIC S&H Charges
Orders up to $50: **$5**

From $50.01 to $100: **$10**

Over $100: **$15**

DOMESTIC Priority Mail:
DOUBLE the above charges.

CANADA S&H Charges:
Double regular Domestic S&H charges listed above.
No insurance.

Canadian Insured delivery: Add $17 for the first 3 books PLUS $4 more for every additional book to regular Domestic S&H rates above.

FOREIGN S&H Charges:
Orders up to $50: **$10**

From $50.01 to $100: **$20**

Over $100: **$30**

FOREIGN S&H Charges:
Add **$10** to Foreign rates above.

*DEDUCTING 10%
TBR subscribers may deduct 10% off the costs of products (books and videos) from TBR BOOK CLUB. No discount on S&H. No discount on subscriptions unless listed.

SEND A SAMPLE OF TBR TO FRIENDS/FAMILY
Send a sample copy of TBR to a friend. Just $1 per name anywhere in the U.S. (Domestic only) Include names and addresses on a separate piece of paper. Enclose $1 per name and add "Sample Issues" in item description line on form.